OK. KJ

MEMO

To: Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager

From: Joel Jeffery, Well Construction Program Coordinator

Subject: Review of Water Right Application G-18504

Date: July 12, 2018

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Joe Kemper reviewed the application. Please see Joe's Groundwater Review and the Well Log.

Applicant's Well #1 (JACK 62965): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 appears to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Applicants Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18504

GW Reviewer Joe Kemper **Date Review Completed:** 7/11/2018

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

[ ] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

[X] There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

[ ] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. ✓ Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section. JK 7/11/18

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO 7/11/,2018

TO: **Application** G-18504

FROM: **GW:** Joe Kemper
(Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

☒ YES
The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway
☐ NO

☒ YES
Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)
☐ NO

☒ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.

☐ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.**

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in Rogue Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date 7/11/2018

FROM: Groundwater Section Joe Kemper
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 18504 Supersedes review of NA
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION:

Applicant's Name: **Anthony Tinghitella/John Fulcher** County: **Jackson**

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.1849 cfs from 6 well(s) in the Rogue Basin, Little Applegate River subbasin

A2. Proposed use Nursery (20.13 acres) Seasonality: Year Round

A3. Well and aquifer data (**attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid**):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	JACK 62965	1	Bedrock	.01849	38S/2W-27 SW-NE	945' N, 1856' W fr E ¼ cor S 27
2	Proposed	2	Bedrock	.01849	38S/2W-27 SW-NE	1103' N, 1793' W fr E ¼ cor S 27
3	Proposed	3	Bedrock	.01849	38S/2W-27 SW-NE	1220' N, 1787' W fr E ¼ cor S 27
4	Proposed	4	Bedrock	.01849	38S/2W-27 SW-NE	862' N, 2017' W fr E ¼ cor S 27
5	Proposed	5	Bedrock	.01849	38S/2W-27 SW-NE	553' N, 2181' W fr E ¼ cor S 27
6	Proposed	6	Bedrock	.01849	38S/2W-27 SW-NE	716' N, 2327' W fr E ¼ cor S 27

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	3085	50	26	3/30/2017	340	0-39	0-98	0-340	50-70, 60-100, 320-340	16		Air
2	3105											
3	3110											
4	3080											
5	3045											
6	3055											

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** The reviewer assumes that proposed wells 2-6 will be constructed similarly and will encounter similar geologic and hydraulic conditions as Well 1.

A5. ☒ **Provisions of the** Rogue (690-515) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water ☒ **are**, *or* ☐ **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
Comments: OAR 690-515-0030 restricts use on the waters and tributaries to the Little Applegate River. The nearest surface water to the proposed POA is Sterling Cr which is tributary to the Little Applegate River. If PSI as per OAR-690-009 is found in section C of this application, these basin rules may become applicable.

A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
Name of administrative area: _____
Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

a. ☐ **is** over appropriated, ☐ **is not** over appropriated, *or* ☒ **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;

b. ☐ **will not** *or* ☐ **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;

c. ☐ **will not** *or* ☐ **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or

d. ☒ **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
   i. ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) **7C (7-yr SWL); 7J; Medium Water-use Reporting**;
   ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
   iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. ☐ **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;

b. ☐ **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;

c. ☐ **Condition** to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;

d. ☐ **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:**

The applicant's POAs would produce from metasedimentary units of the Applegate Group. Well yields are typically low in this aquifer system; the median yield for wells in TRS 38S/2W-S27 is 10 gpm. There are no OWRD SWL measurements within two miles of the proposed POA so groundwater over-appropriation cannot be determined.

There are no groundwater POAs within 1 mile of the applicant's POAs and relatively few domestic well logs for 38S/2W-27 so it is unlikely that the proposed use would injure adjacent senior water right holders. The reviewer notes that the proposed well field is very dense (6 wells within ~500 ft radius) and will likely result in well-to-well interference between the applicant's POAs.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	**Bedrock of Applegate Group**	☒	☐
2	**Bedrock of Applegate Group**	☒	☐
3	**Bedrock of Applegate Group**	☒	☐
4	**Bedrock of Applegate Group**	☒	☐
5	**Bedrock of Applegate Group**	☒	☐
6	**Bedrock of Applegate Group**	☒	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The well log for the applicant's Well 1 reports "First Water" at 50 feet BLS and a SWL of 26 feet below land surface indicating confined conditions. Well logs for nearby wells report similar confined conditions. The reviewer assumes that proposed wells will encounter similar hydraulic conditions.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES	NO	ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES	NO
1	**1**	**Sterling Creek**	**3059**	**2880**	**2950**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
2	**1**	**Sterling Creek**	*	**2880**	**3080**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
3	**1**	**Sterling Creek**	*	**2880**	**3130**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
4	**1**	**Sterling Creek**	*	**2880**	**2785**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
5	**1**	**Sterling Creek**	*	**2880**	**2550**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
6	**1**	**Sterling Creek**	*	**2880**	**2450**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
1	**2**	**Griffin Creek**	**3059**	**2705**	**2725**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
2	**2**	**Griffin Creek**	*	**2705**	**2695**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
3	**2**	**Griffin Creek**	*	**2705**	**2720**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
4	**2**	**Griffin Creek**	*	**2705**	**2875**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
5	**2**	**Griffin Creek**	*	**2710**	**3000**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
6	**2**	**Griffin Creek**	*	**2710**	**3165**	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevations are higher than nearby surface water sources, indicating that water is flowing towards and discharging to surface water. The proposed POAs are within the Sterling Creek WAB but could affect flow paths that would otherwise contribute to Griffin Creek.

*Wells are proposed, but the reviewer assumes the piezometric surface is similar to Well 1 (20-60 feet BLS).

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: LITTLE APPLEGATE R > APPLEGATE R - AT MOUTH #70982; availability also evaluated for GRIFFIN CR > BEAR CR - AT MOUTH #71200

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	**1**	☐	☐	**NA**	**NA**	☐	**0.11**	☒	**	☒
2	**1**	☐	☐	**NA**	**NA**	☐	**0.11**	☒	**	☒
3	**1**	☐	☐	**NA**	**NA**	☐	**0.11**	☒	**	☒
4	**1**	☐	☐	**NA**	**NA**	☐	**0.11**	☒	**	☒
5	**1**	☐	☐	**NA**	**NA**	☐	**0.11**	☒	**	☒
6	**1**	☐	☐	**NA**	**NA**	☐	**0.11**	☒	**	☒
1	**2**	☐	☐	**IS71200A**	**0.4**	☒	**0.31**	☒	**	☒
2	**2**	☐	☐	**IS71200A**	**0.4**	☒	**0.31**	☒	**	☒
3	**2**	☐	☐	**IS71200A**	**0.4**	☒	**0.31**	☒	**	☒
4	**2**	☐	☐	**IS71200A**	**0.4**	☒	**0.31**	☒	**	☒
5	**2**	☐	☐	**IS71200A**	**0.4**	☒	**0.31**	☒	**	☒
6	**2**	☐	☐	**IS71200A**	**0.4**	☒	**0.31**	☒	**	☒

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: **Impacts of surface water could not be reliably estimated because the complex geology (high relief slopes, complex fractured bedrock aquifer) do not meet model assumptions of the widely accepted techniques for determining stream depletion (e.g., Hunt 1999, 2003).

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells Well SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS												
Interference CFS												
(A) = Total Interf.												
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q												
(D) = (A) > (C)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: No streams beyond 1 mile were evaluated for PSI.

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

C5. ☐ **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)_____;

ii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** The applicant's wells would produce from an aquifer system that has been found to be hydraulically connected to adjacent surface water sources. The proposed rate, 0.1849 cfs, is larger than 1% of expected natural flows in Griffin Creek WAB and the Little Applegate River WAB. It is also larger than 1% of the instream water right for Griffin Creek. As a result, the proposed use is assumed to have the Potential for Substantial Interference (PSI) as per OAR 690-009.

References Used:

Hunt, B. 1999. *Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

Hunt, B. 2003. *Unsteady Stream Depletion when Pumping from a Semiconfined Aquifer*. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering. Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

OWRD Groundwater Site Information System Database – Accessed 7/11/2018.

Wiley, T. J., J. D. McClaughry, and J. A. D'Allura. 2011. *Geologic Database and Generalized Geologic Map of Bear Creek Valley, Jackson County, Oregon*. Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries. OFR O-11-11.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. **Well #:** _____ **Logid:** _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

a. ☐ review of the well log;
b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
d. ☐ other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. ☐ **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Figure 1. Water Availability Tables

LITTLE APPLEGATE R > APPLEGATE R - AT MOUTH
ROGUE BASIN

Water Availability as of 7/9/2018

Watershed ID #: 70982 (Map)
Date: 7/9/2018

Exceedance Level: 80%
Time: 12:40 PM

Water Availability Calculation | Consumptive Uses and Storages | Instream Flow Requirements | Reservations
Water Rights | Watershed Characteristics

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	18.70	1.28	17.40	0.00	45.90	-28.50
FEB	33.10	1.82	31.30	0.00	85.00	-53.70
MAR	44.30	1.32	43.00	0.00	76.20	-33.20
APR	56.30	10.30	46.00	0.00	75.90	-29.90
MAY	63.40	15.80	47.60	0.00	73.20	-25.70
JUN	25.50	21.90	3.61	0.00	50.00	-46.40
JUL	1.87	29.00	-27.10	0.00	14.60	-41.70
AUG	3.56	24.10	-20.50	0.00	2.01	-22.50
SEP	0.11	16.10	-16.00	0.00	1.51	-17.50
OCT	1.29	5.91	-4.62	0.00	11.50	-16.10
NOV	15.90	1.25	14.60	0.00	25.40	-10.70
DEC	17.90	1.26	16.60	0.00	29.40	-12.80
ANN	31,700.00	7,890.00	26,900.00	0.00	29,400.00	880.00

GRIFFIN CR > BEAR CR - AT MOUTH
ROGUE BASIN

Water Availability as of 7/9/2018

Watershed ID #: 71200 (Map)
Date: 7/9/2018

Exceedance Level: 80%
Time: 12:41 PM

Water Availability Calculation | Consumptive Uses and Storages | Instream Flow Requirements | Reservations
Water Rights | Watershed Characteristics

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	4.60	0.03	4.57	0.00	10.00	-5.43
FEB	5.79	0.04	5.75	0.00	13.00	-7.25
MAR	5.43	0.03	5.40	0.00	11.00	-5.60
APR	3.64	0.14	3.50	0.00	7.00	-3.50
MAY	2.38	0.22	2.16	0.00	5.00	-2.84
JUN	1.56	0.31	1.25	0.00	3.00	-1.75
JUL	0.60	0.41	0.19	0.00	1.00	-0.81
AUG	0.37	0.34	0.03	0.00	0.50	-0.47
SEP	0.31	0.23	0.08	0.00	0.40	-0.32
OCT	0.35	0.08	0.27	0.00	0.50	-0.23
NOV	0.75	0.01	0.74	0.00	2.00	-1.26
DEC	2.44	0.02	2.42	0.00	7.00	-4.58
ANN	3,610.00	113.00	3,500.00	0.00	3,620.00	19.10

Figure 2. Well Location Map



STATE OF OREGON
WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT
(as required by ORS 537.765 & OAR 690-205-0210)

JACK 62965

4/6/2017

WELL I.D. LABEL# L 125241
START CARD # 1033879
ORIGINAL LOG #

(1) LAND OWNER

Owner Well I.D.
First Name ANTHONY Last Name TINGHITELLA
Company
Address 621 DATE PALM RD.
City VERO BEACH State FL Zip 32963

(2) TYPE OF WORK

[X] New Well [ ] Deepening [ ] Conversion [ ] Alteration (complete 2a & 10) [ ] Abandonment(complete 5a)

(2a) PRE-ALTERATION

	Dia	+	From	To	Gauge	Stl	Plstc	Wld	Thrd
Casing:									

	Material	From	To	Amt	sacks/lbs
Seal:					

(3) DRILL METHOD

[X] Rotary Air [ ] Rotary Mud [ ] Cable [ ] Auger [ ] Cable Mud
[ ] Reverse Rotary [ ] Other

(4) PROPOSED USE

[X] Domestic [ ] Irrigation [ ] Community
[ ] Industrial/ Commericial [ ] Livestock [ ] Dewatering
[ ] Thermal [ ] Injection [ ] Other

(5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION

Special Standard [ ] (Attach copy)
Depth of Completed Well 340.00 ft.

BORE HOLE

Dia	From	To
10	0	39
6	39	340

SEAL

Material	From	To	Amt	sacks/lbs
Bentonite Chips	0	39	23	S
		Calculated	17.8	
		Calculated		

How was seal placed: Method [ ] A [ ] B [ ] C [ ] D [ ] E
[X] Other DRY POURED
Backfill placed from ft. to ft. Material
Filter pack from ft. to ft. Material Size
Explosives used: [ ] Yes Type Amount

(5a) ABANDONMENT USING UNHYDRATED BENTONITE

Proposed Amount Actual Amount

(6) CASING/LINER

Casing	Liner	Dia	+	From	To	Gauge	Stl	Plstc	Wld	Thrd
•		6	[X]	2	98	.250	•		X	
	•	4	[ ]	2	340	Sch 40		•		
			[ ]							
			[ ]							
			[ ]							

Shoe [ ] Inside [X] Outside [ ] Other Location of shoe(s) 98
Temp casing [ ] Yes Dia From + To

(7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS

Perforations Method AIR/SAW CUT
Screens Type Material

Perf/ Screen	Casing/ Liner	Screen Dia	From	To	Scrn/slot width	Slot length	# of slots	Tele/ pipe size
Perf	Casing	6	50	70	.188	1	480	
Perf	Liner	4	60	100	.188	4	120	
Perf	Liner	4	320	340	.188	4	60	

(8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour

( ) Pump ( ) Bailer (•) Air ( ) Flowing Artesian

Yield gal/min	Drawdown	Drill stem/Pump depth	Duration (hr)
16		340	1

Temperature 55 °F Lab analysis [ ] Yes By
Water quality concerns? [ ] Yes (describe below) TDS amount 215 ppm

From	To	Description	Amount	Units

(9) LOCATION OF WELL (legal description)

County JACKSON Twp 38.00 S N/S Range 2.00 W E/W WM
Sec 27 SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 Tax Lot 211
Tax Map Number Lot
Lat ° ' " or 42.23903000 DMS or DD
Long ° ' " or -122.92149000 DMS or DD
(•) Street address of well ( ) Nearest address
7117 GRIFFIN LANE MEDFORD, OR 97501

(10) STATIC WATER LEVEL

	Date	SWL(psi)	+	SWL(ft)
Existing Well / Pre-Alteration			[ ]	
Completed Well	3/30/2017		[ ]	26

Flowing Artesian? [ ] Dry Hole? [ ]

WATER BEARING ZONES Depth water was first found 50.00

SWL Date	From	To	Est Flow	SWL(psi)	+	SWL(ft)
3/30/2017	50	70	14		[ ]	26
3/30/2017	218	220	2		[ ]	26
					[ ]	
					[ ]	
					[ ]	

(11) WELL LOG

Ground Elevation 3085.00

Material	From	To
RED/BROWN CLAY MEDIUM SOFT	0	32
LIGHT GREY BASALT MEDIUM	32	82
DARK GREY BASALT HARD	82	340

Date Started 3/28/2017 Completed 3/30/2017

(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification

I certify that the work I performed on the construction, deepening, alteration, or abandonment of this well is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. Materials used and information reported above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

License Number 1994 Date 3/30/2017

Signed SHAWN PETERSON (E-filed)

(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification

I accept responsibility for the construction, deepening, alteration, or abandonment work performed on this well during the construction dates reported above. All work performed during this time is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

License Number 1835 Date 3/30/2017

Signed KEVIN D GILL (E-filed)

Contact Info (optional) CLOUSER DRILLING INC.

ORIGINAL - WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

THIS REPORT MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF WORK Form Version: