# **Groundwater Application Review Summary Form**

Application # G- 18636	
GW Reviewer Aurora Bouchier Date I	Review Completed: 7/17/2018
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:	
[ ] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriamounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the action is a section by the proposed use is either over appropriate and the proposed use is ei	will not likely be available within the
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:	
[ ] There is the potential for substantial interference per Sec	ction C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:	
[ ] The well does not appear to meet current well construct review form. Route through Well Construction and Complia	· ·
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and sho basis for determinations and for conditions that may be nece	• ,

Version: 3/30/17

### WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO	)						_	July	. 17	_,20 <u> </u> l	8_
TO:		Applica	ntion G-	180	036		-				
FROM	[: -	GW: _	Aor Reviewe	ora E	Pouchi	~	-				
SUBJE	ECT: Se	cenic W	aterwa	y Interi	ference	Evalua	tion				
	YES NO	The sou	irce of a	ppropri	ation is	within (	or above	e a Scen	ic Wate	rway	
	YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO										
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is <b>able</b> to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.										
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.										
Calcula calculat	te the per ed, per	centage o	INTERI of consum of 390.833 at the Dep	nptive use 5, do not	by mont fill in t	he table	but check	k the "un	able" op	tion abo	ve, thus
Water	way by	the follo	t is calcowing an	mounts			-		e consu		Scenic use by
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

### PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM:	<u>C</u>												
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	cation G-	18636			ewer's Name persedes	review of <u>na</u>			Date of Rev	view(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	<b>90-310-1</b> safety as	30 (1) 7 nd heal ether the	The Depart th as descr e presumpt	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ	resume that 537.525. D ished. OAR	a propose epartment 690-310-	ed ground staff revi	water use will ew groundwates the proposed nd agency poli	r applicat use be mo	e prese ions u	ervation o nder OAI l or condi	f the pub R 690-31 tioned to	0-140 meet
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>ON</u> : A	pplicant's N	lame: WI	CC Inves	tments, LLC/1	TFN Prop	erties	County:	Wasco	
A1.	Applica	ınt(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.0</u>	25 cfs fro	m <u>1</u>	well(	(s) in the	Deschutes					_Basin,
	]	Lower I	Deschtues_			subb	asin						
A2.	Propose	ed use _	Ag	ricultural Ni	ursery	Seas	onality:	Year round					
A3.	Well an	d aquif	er data (att	ach and nu	mber logs i	or existin	g wells; ı	nark proposed	l wells as	such 1	ınder log	gid):	
Well	Logid		Applicant's Well # Proposed Aquife			Prop Rate	(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ 5S/13E-2- NI	2250	tion, mete 'N, 1200'	E fr NW	cor S 36	
2 3					-								
4 5													
	ım, CRB,	Bedrocl	ζ			<u> </u>							
Well 1	Well Elev ft msl ~1925	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) Est 400	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Interval (ft) Est 25		Perforat Or Scre (ft)	eens	Well Yield (gpm) Est 11.2	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
										-			
Use data A4.	Commo	ents: <u>T</u>			10.77	•		s) is within the			-		well
A5. 🛚													
A6. □	Name o	f admin	istrative ar	rea:				tap(s) an aquif					triction.

Version: 05/07/2018

## B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
<b>a.</b>	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s) 7J, 7N, 7T  ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	<ul> <li>Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.</li> <li>Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):</li> </ul>
	<u> </u>
The allumand Local How equipments	nearest State Observation Wells (WASC 3630) is located approximately 8.7 miles to the north and is completed into vial sands and gravels along the White River. The applicant's well will likely produce from water-bearing layers of lava volcaniclastic sediments of the Deschutes Formation (or Deschutes Formation age equivalent, depending on reference). ally, there are no wells with a history of groundwater level observations which are completed into the same formation. wever, within Township 55/Range 13E there are 14 wells which are likewise completed into the Deschutes Fm/age ivalent. An examination of the static water level measurements listed on the well logs indicates that the water levels have ained relatively stable with no apparent decline. The lack of observation data in the area speaks to the need for condition annual water level measurements.
-	

3

#### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Deschutes Fm (or age equivalent similar sequence)	$\boxtimes$	
			- 🗆

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The well logs for most of the wells in township/ranges 5S/12E and 5S/13E list static water levels above the zone at which it was encountered, indicating that the aquifer is more confined than not at this location. It is likely a well at the proposed location will also indicate a confined aquifer.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than 1/4 mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Wapinita Creek	~1500-1600	~1700	5,500		
1	2	Deschutes River**	~1500-1600	~900	17,500		
	I						
				_			

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The groundwater level in nearby wells is below Wapinita Creek at the

\*\*The groundwater elevation in the nearby area (Juniper Flats) is above that of the Deschutes River. However, the groundwater appears to be emerging as distinct springs along the west bank of the Deschutes River canyon, with the nearest mapped or apparent (based on imagery) spring being located greater than 6-miles from the proposed locations near the town of Maupin.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 70087: DESCHUTES R> COLUMBIA R- AB MOUTH AT GAGE 14103000

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
									,	
				•						

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	· .	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	· ·								
Comments: T	his section	does not	apply.						

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells						-					
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS										,		
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	outed Wel	la .				,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		· · ·		
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	<u> </u>	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%	nug %	%	%	%	%
Well (	Q as CFS	~				70	70	70		~		- 70	70
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	as CFS							~		, ,			- 70
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%
Well (	as CFS					-							
Interfer	ence CFS		,				-				<del>-</del>		
		%	%	%	%	%		%	%.	. %	%	%	%
Well (	as CFS							-		(			
Interfer	ence CFS									-			
		%	%	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%
Well (	as CFS	-											
Interfer	ence CFS							-					
		%	%	%	%	%	%	<b>%</b>	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
(A) - Ta	otal Interf.	2	*.	,	1 1 1	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			et sys		· · · · · ·		inger in the
		,	-								;	,	,
	% Nat. Q	1		_									1
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q								_				,
(D) = (	(A) > (C)	· ·	1	1	√	7	V	7	· · ·	V	1	V	<u> </u>
	/B) x 100	· ′		- %	<sup>'</sup> %	- %	- %	<b>%</b> ·		%		*	
(E) = (A	/ D) X 100	70	70	70	70	70		%0	%0	%	%	%	%

Page 5 Date: 7/17/2018 Application G-18636 (A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: The requested rate (0.025 cfs) is much, much less than 4,320 cfs (the 80% exceedance level of the natural stream flow for the Deschutes River during the month of August). In addition, the nature of the aquifer unit precludes the use of available analytical models to evaluate the timing of interference. Therefore this section was not evaluated. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section. C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: The groundwater recharge for Juniper Flats likely originates in the uplands area along the east flank of the Cascade Mountains. The groundwater flow direction across Juniper Flats is generally from west to east and towards the Deschutes River canyon between Maupin and Oak Springs, based on water level data from well logs. Numerous springs exist along the northeast rim of Juniper Flats between Maupin and Oak Springs, some of which appear to originate at or above the contact of the lavas and volcaniclastic sedimentary layers with the underlying Columbia River Basalt Group. References Used: Application file: G-18636. Beebee, Robin A., O'Connor, Jim E., and Grant, Gordon E., 2002; Geology and Geomorphology of the Lower Deschutes River Canyon, Oregon: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Special Paper 36, 2002. Lite, Kenneth E. Jr., Gannett, Marshall W., 2002; Geologic Framework of the Regional Ground-Water Flow System in the Upper Deschutes Basin, Oregon; U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4015.

Sherrod, D.R., and Scott, W.E., 1995. Preliminary Geologic Map of the Mount Hood 30- by 60-Minute Quadrangle, Cascade Range, North-Central Oregon: US Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-219.

OWRD well log and water level database.

### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	a.	TELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE other: (specify)	
D3.	THE W	ELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	
D4.	Route te	to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction	

### Water Availability Tables

		DETAILED REPORT	ON THE WATER AVAILA	ABILITY CALCULATION	N	
Watershed ID Time: 11:25 A		DESCHUTES R >	COLUMBIA R – AB MOUT Basin: DESCHUT			eedance Level: 80 Date: 07/17/2018
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
		Storage is	Monthly values a	are in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUN AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	4,970.00 5,530.00 6,140.00 6,470.00 6,220.00 5,560.00 4,610.00 4,410.00 4,520.00 4,520.00 4,610.00 4,820.00	664.00 775.00 1,080.00 1,140.00 1,150.00 1,220.00 958.00 863.00 747.00 794.00 846.00 771.00	4,310.00 4,800.00 5,060.00 5,330.00 5,070.00 4,340.00 3,650.00 3,460.00 3,730.00 3,760.00 4,050.00	450.00 450.00 450.00 450.00 450.00 450.00 450.00 450.00 450.00 450.00	4,500.00 4,500.00 4,500.00 4,000.00 4,000.00 4,000.00 3,500.00 3,800.00 3,800.00 3,800.00	-644.00 -155.00 109.00 882.00 617.00 -106.00 -798.00 -498.00 -587.00 -524.00 -486.00
ANN	4,820.00 4,390,000	662,000	4,050.00 3,720,000	450.00 326,000	4,500.00 2,950,000	-901.00 496,000

7.

Date: 7/17/2018

**Well Location Map** WAS C0003663 4 5 13 E WAS C0051664 Legend G18636 1/4-mile proposed well location G18536 1-mile. gw\_working\_location\_bouchiac Spring Outrop Stratigraphic Section Well 32 Reservoir water right Sump water right Spring water right Geographic Name al Map, National & o Geographic Name al Mormation System Dand Cover Database, National Structu Dataset, U.S. Genaus Bureau - TIGER 2017. Stream water right Well water right G18636 WICC Investments, 1.65 0.275 0.55 1.1 2.2 LLC/TFN Properties Miles



