MEMO



To:

Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager

From:

Joel Jeffery, Well Construction Program Coordinator

Subject:

Review of Water Right Application G-17651

Date:

September 10, 2018

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Mike Zwart originally reviewed the application. Please see Mike's review and the Well Logs.

Applicant's Previous Well #1 (MALH 1072 and MALH 54118): Based on a review of the Well Reports, Applicant's Previous Well #1 has been permanently decommissioned by a licensed well constructor. This brings the well into compliance with current minimum well construction standards.

Applicant's New Well # 1 (MALH 54119): Based on a review of the well report, Applicant's New Well #1 (MALH 54119) appears to adequately protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Applicants New Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

MEMO

To:

Juno Pandian, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager

From:

Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction Program Coordinator

Subject:

Review of Water Right Application G-17651

Date:

August 9, 2013

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Mike Zwart reviewed the application. Please see Mike's review and the Well Log.

Applicant's Well #1 (Malh 1072): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 does not appear to comply with current minimum well construction standards (See OAR 690 Division 210). The annular seal is not adequate. In order to meet minimum well construction standards, the well must be sealed to a minimum depth of 54 feet below ground surface.

My recommendation is that the Department **not issue** a permit for Applicant's Well #1 unless it is brought into compliance with current minimum well construction standards or information is provided showing that it is in compliance with current minimum well construction standards.

Bringing Applicant's Well #1 into compliance with current minimum well construction standards may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.



INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Kris Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section

FROM:

Kerry Kavanagh, Water Rights Section

DATE:

8-5-13

RE:

G-17651 Kim McCreary - Request for determination of compliance with well

construction standards for one well

Please review the well report for Rise and Shine Well 1 (MALH 1072) and make a determination regarding well construction compliance.

Please note that the applicant proposes to deepen MALH 1072 from a current depth of 115 feet bgs to approximately 300 feet with a seal depth to at least 40 feet – see Mike Zwart's 6-28-13 review.

Please route your review to me.

Thanks.

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT June 28,20/3 **MEMO** Application G-_17651 TO: GW: Mike Zwart (Reviewer's Name) FROM: **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation** YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO \Box Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
							,	-			
						<u> </u>					

Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ___

which surface water flow is reduced.

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection				Dat	e	June 28,	2013			
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section _		ael Zwart							
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	ication G-	17651			ewer's Name persedes re	view of						
JUBIL	.01.			2/302			P • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				Date of Re	view(s)		
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	90-310-1 safety at mine whe	30 (1) 2 nd heal ether the	The Depart th as descr e presumpt	ibed in ORS ion is establ	resume tha 537.525. E ished. OAR	t a proposi Department 690-310-	ed groundwe t staff reviev 140 allows t	ater use will w ground wa he proposed l agency pol i	ter ap	plications of the place of the	under OA or condi	R 690-3 tioned to	10-140 meet	
A. GEI	NERAL	INFO	RMATI	ON: A	pplicant's N	Name:	Kim McC	reary		-	County:	Malheu	ır	
A1.				271 cfs from		well((s) in the	Malheur					_ Basin,	
A2. A3.							sonality:	ad Map: V March 1 to	o Oct	ober 31		4d).		
Well	Logi	id	Applican Well #	t's Pro	oposed quifer*	Propose Rate(cf	ed (T	Location /R-S QQ-Q)		Location	ch under logid): tion, metes and bounds, e.g. 50' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1 2	MALH 1072 1 Tertiary Seds 1.626 Proposed 2 Tertiary Seds. 0.200						15E-23 NE-8 5E-24 NW-N				fr NE co			
3	ТТОРО	scu		Teru	ary Seus.	0.200.	3 105/4.	3E-24 INVV-I		100 5				
5						<u> </u>	-							
	ım, CRB,	Bedrocl	ζ.											
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	f SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)		forations Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1 2	2213 2210	23	12	3/24/88	300* 120	0-40* 0-40	0-19 0-40	None	18-2 Non		75	93	P	
2	2210				120	V-4U	U-4U	None	NOI	ie				
A4.	Comme	ents: <u>*I</u> will be	deepened	72 is curren to 300 feet	and sealed	to at leas	t 40 feet. T	and sealed t he proposed usually desc	well	construct	ion will d	levelop v	vater-	
A5. ⊠	(Not all	basin r	ules contai	n such provi	sions.)			ıles relative t □ are , <i>or</i> ⊠					and/or ation.	
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admir	istrative ar	ea:				p(s) an aquif				rative res	triction.	

Version: 08/15/2003

B. <u>G</u> l	ROUI	ND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070										
B1.	Bas	Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:										
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;										
	b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;										
	c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or										
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, 7K (40 feet, the Quaternary sand and gravel aquifer) ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;										
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;										
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;										
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;										
	d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):										
В3.	<u>wit</u> wh	ound water availability remarks: There are no nearby wells penetrating exclusively the Glenns Ferry Formation h long-term water-level records. Most such wells also develop the overlying Quaternary sand and gravel aquifer, ich is usually the higher yielding aquifer. Water levels are generally stable in the local observation wells netrating this aquifer.										
	Cor	ndition 7K above is recommended to ensure that the applicant constructs the well as is proposed in the application,										
	The	e proposed seal depth is likely to be adequate to prevent development of the shallow alluvial sand and gravel uifer in this area.										
	<u>aqı</u>	inta in this area.										
												

Date: June 28, 2013

Application G-17651 continued

		NSURFACE WATER CONStallulation of aquifer confinement:				. ,
We	ell	Aquifer or Proposed Aqu	ifer	Co	nfined	Unconfined
1,2	Glenns F	erry Formation (Tig) of GW Re	eport #34.			
'	.					
<u>whic</u>	h is typically	confinement evaluation: <u>The exunconfined. However, this appl</u> The water-bearing zones in the	ication proposes to	modify the v	vell to produce only	from the Glenns
Herr		nd are typically confined.	Gloring I City I C	muion di c	outing in Gibeometric	ous suria or
		**				

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Malheur River	2201	2198	650		
2	1	Malheur River	2195±	2197	550		
,							
-							

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation likely discharges to the overlying or adjacent alluvial deposits and therefore is in indirect and generally inefficient hydraulic connection with surface water. The Malheur River is locally incised only to the Quaternary sand and gravel aquifer.</u>

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Malheur R > Snake R at mouth (31011701).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
									÷	
	_									
							_			
	ļ 		Щ.	•		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
ļ.,		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ᆜᆜ			<u> </u>		 		ᆜ
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	닏	-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
			▎▕▃▏				*	! 📙		∐

Version: 08/15/2003

•		•
Date: June 28,	, 2013	•

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comm	nents: _	This sect	ion does	not apply.			-			

			-	
24a.	690-09-040 (5):	: Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greate	r than one mile as a	

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul .	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	. %	%	%
Well Q	as CFS				,								
Interfere	ence CFS		-										
			-										
	outed Well		.				_			· ·	_		_
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	<u> </u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	. %	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS										-		
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS										-		
Interfere	ence CFS	,											
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS							;					
-		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	. %	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS				_		Ţ	,					
	ence CFS												-
-											-		
(A) = To	tal Interf.	•											
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	A) > (C)	4	√	√	1	·	√	4	√	√	. 1	✓	√
(E) = (A	/B) x 100	%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003

I	Basis for impact evaluation:
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	
_	
-	
_	
-	
_	
-	
-	
	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
	under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
N	V / GW Remarks and Conditions
_	
_	
	
_	
_	
_	
_	
	
lei	ferences Used: Ground Water Report #34 by Marshall Gannett; local well logs; local reviews.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Date: June 28, 2013

Application G-17651

continued

D. <u>W</u>	ELL CO	NSTRUCTIO!	N, OAR 690-200	
DI.	Well #:	1	Logid: MALH 1072	
D2.	a. b. c.	review of the w field inspection report of CWRI	meet current well construction standards based upon: yell log; by E	
D3.	a.	commingles was permits the loss permits the de-v	ion deficiency: alth threat under Division 200 rules; ter from more than one ground water reservoir; of artesian head; watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;	
D4.	THE W	/ELL constructi ed to be modifie	on deficiency is described as follows: <u>I have no issues with the construed (see comments at A4).</u>	ction of this well. It is
D5.	THE W	ÆLL a. [was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the original construction or most recent modification.	time of
		b. [I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.	
D6.	Route to	to the Enforcem with the Departm	nent Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence tent and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	of well reconstruction
THIS	S SECTIO	ON TO BE CO	MPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	☐ Well co	nstruction deficie	ency has been corrected by the following actions:	
	-			
		(Enforcement S	ection Signature)	, 200
	— -	•	•	
D8.	∐ Koute t	to Water Rights	Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	

Date: June 28, 2013

Application G-17651 continued

