

Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18556

GW Reviewer J. Hackett

Date Review Completed: 4/19/2018

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

[] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

[] There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

[] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

MEMO



To: Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager
From: Joel Jeffery, Well Construction Program Coordinator
Subject: Review of Water Right Application G-18556
Date: September 12, 2018

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Josh Hackett reviewed the application. Please see Josh's Groundwater Review and the Well Log.

Applicant's Well #1 (LINN 7713): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 appears to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Applicants Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

[Stamp] Permit No.

SP*12658-690

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date April 19, 2018
 FROM: Groundwater Section J. Hackett
 SUBJECT: Application G- 18556 Reviewer's Name
 Supersedes review of _____ Date of Review(s) _____

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.*

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Mark & Bethany Wahl County: Linn

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.68 cfs from 3 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
Calapooia subbasin

A2. Proposed use Irrigation Seasonality: March 1 – October 31

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	LINN 7713	1	Alluvium	0.68	12S/02W-7 SW-SE	1040' N, 2130' W fr SE cor S 7
2	Proposed	2	Alluvium	0.68	12S/02W-7 SW-SE	740' N, 2070' W fr SE cor S 7
3	Proposed	3	Alluvium	0.68	12S/02W-7 NE-SW	1170' N, 2880' W fr SE cor S 7
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	312	90	10.83	6/26/20114	115	0-20	0-114		94-114	160	81	Pump
2	311				125 est.	0-20 est.	0-125 est.		90-120 est.			
3	311				125 est.	0-20 est.	0-125 est.		90-120 est.			

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** Static water level, yield and drawdown are from a pump test of LINN 7713. This well is also the authorized POA on certificate 53882 and permit G-17384.

A5. ☒ **Provisions of the** Willamette Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ **are,** or ☒ **are not,** activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: The wells are not within ¼ mile from the nearest surface water source so the pertinent rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not apply.

A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. ☐ is over appropriated, ☒ **is not** over appropriated, or ☐ **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. ☐ **will not** or ☐ **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. ☐ **will not** or ☐ **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. ☒ **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N, large water-use reporting;
 - ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2.
- a. ☐ **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
 - b. ☐ **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
 - c. ☐ **Condition** to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
 - d. ☐ **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

- B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** The proposed POAs are underlain by over 100 feet of productive sands and gravels that are part of the Willamette Aquifer described in USGS Professional Paper 1424A & B. The water table occurs within 10-20 feet of land surface. Very little groundwater development has occurred in the area and the surrounding lands are rural farmland with a very low tax lot density. Only 18 wells are recorded in the OWRD well log database in sections 7 and 18. There are no long-term observation wells in the immediate area but measurements from two pump test reports on the subject well indicate that static water levels are essentially unchanged from 1991 to the present. A pump test on LINN 7713 indicates a static water level of 10.83 feet below land surface and a 4-hour yield of 160 gpm with a drawdown of 81 feet. The shallow water table, the thick saturated sequence of sand and gravels, and the low well density indicate that groundwater is not likely to be over appropriated in the area. The pump test indicates that groundwater is likely to be available in the amounts requested. Interference with nearby wells is expected to be minor.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040**C1. 690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvial Aquifer System	☐	☒
2	Alluvial Aquifer System	☐	☒
3	Alluvial Aquifer System	☐	☒
		☐	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The POAs penetrate a thick sequence of sand and gravels that are saturated to within a few 10s of feet of land surface. Well logs do not show any aerially extensive confining layers.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Oak Creek	300		>>1 mile	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
1	2	Little Oak Creek	300		>>1 mile	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
2	1	Oak Creek	300		>>1 mile	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
2	2	Little Oak Creek	300		>>1 mile	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
3	1	Oak Creek	300		>>1 mile	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
3	2	Little Oak Creek	300		>>1 mile	☐	☒	☐	☐	☒
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: USGS 7.5-minute topographic maps indicate that the nearest perennial stream reaches (Oak Creek and Little Oak Creek) are well over 1 mile from the POAs. This is consistent with published water table maps which indicate that the water table occurs below the bottom of stream drainages in areas less than 1 mile from the well. This suggests that the aquifer is not hydraulically connected to these streams within 1 mile of the POAs.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: CALAPOOIA R> WILLAMETTE R- AB MOUTH

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: No perennial streams occur within 1 mile of the proposed POAs.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: Stream depletion was not evaluated for perennial stream reaches greater than 1 mile since modelling in similar scenarios indicates that impacts would be much less than 1% of the 80% natural flow in any month of the year.

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b)** The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. ☐ **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

- i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
- ii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:

References Used: Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B. 82 p.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. ☐ review of the well log;
- b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
- c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
- d. ☐ other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

D4. ☐ **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.**

Water Availability Tables

CALAPOOIA R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH
WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 4/19/2018

Watershed ID #: 76 ([Map](#))

Exceedance Level: 80% ▼

Date: 4/19/2018

Time: 1:37 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Consumptive Uses and Storages

Instream Flow Requirements

Reservations

Water Rights

Watershed Characteristics

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second
 Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	592.00	3.40	589.00	0.00	20.00	569.00
FEB	650.00	3.35	647.00	0.00	20.00	627.00
MAR	575.00	2.27	573.00	0.00	20.00	553.00
APR	423.00	1.98	421.00	0.00	20.00	401.00
MAY	234.00	7.09	227.00	0.00	20.00	207.00
JUN	111.00	12.80	98.20	0.00	20.00	78.20
JUL	49.00	19.60	29.40	0.00	20.00	9.42
AUG	26.00	14.10	11.90	0.00	20.00	-8.09
SEP	22.70	7.36	15.30	0.00	20.00	-4.66
OCT	29.60	1.92	27.70	0.00	20.00	7.68
NOV	133.00	2.41	131.00	0.00	20.00	111.00
DEC	499.00	3.36	496.00	0.00	20.00	476.00
ANN	404,000.00	4,830.00	399,000.00	0.00	14,500.00	385,000.00

Well Location Map

G-18556, Wahl

1:24,000 scale

