

Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18637

GW Reviewer Aurora Bochini Date Review Completed: 10/16/2018

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

[] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

[] There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

[] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. ~~Route through~~ Well Construction and Compliance Section.
h 10/16/18

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

[illegible]

MEMO

OK
K. Byrd

To: Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager
From: Joel Jeffery, Well Construction Program Coordinator
Subject: Review of Water Right Application G-18637
Date: October 22, 2018

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Aurora Bouchier reviewed the application. Please see Aurora's Groundwater Review and the Well Log.

Applicant's Well #1 (LINN 851): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 seems to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT.
SALEM, OREGON 97310
within 30 days from the date
of well completion.

STATE OF OREGON

(Please type or print)

(Do not write above this line)

State Well No. 12514W-16

State Permit No. _____

Name Don Stockton
Address 30242 Harvest Drive
Albany, Oregon 97321

If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.

(4) PROPOSED USE (check):

Rotary ☐ Driven ☐ Domestic ☒ Industrial ☐ Municipal ☐
Cable ☒ Jetted ☐ Irrigation ☐ Test Well ☐ Other ☐
L ☐ Bored ☐

Threaded ☐ Welded ☒

6 " Diam. from 0 ft. to 42 ft. Gage 250
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage

Perforated? ☒ Yes ☐ No.

Type of perforator used		Torch cut	
Size of perforations	$\frac{1}{4}$ in.	by 4 in.	
65	perforations from	30	ft. to 40 ft.
	perforations from		ft. to ft.
	perforations from		ft. to ft.

Well screen installed? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Manufacturer's Name

Type Model No.

Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.

Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.

Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level

Was a pump test made? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, by whom?

Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.

" " "

" Tested with air: could fluctuate "

Ballier test 30 gal./min. with 32 ft. drawdown after 4 hrs.

Artesian flow g.p.m.

Temperature of water Depth artesian flow encountered ft.

Well seal—Material used Cement
Well sealed from land surface to 29 ft.
Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal 10 in.
Diameter of well bore below seal 6 in.
Number of sacks of cement used in well seal 8 sacks
How was cement grout placed? Pumped through tremie

Was a drive shoe used? ☒ Yes ☐ No Plugs Size: location ft.
Did any strata contain unusable water? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Type of water? _____ depth of strata _____

Method of sealing strata off _____

Was well gravel packed? ☐ Yes ☒ No Size of gravel: _____

Gravel placed from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

County Linn Driller's well number G/M
16 12S
 1/4 1/4 Section T. R. W.M.
 Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner

Depth at which water was first found 30 ft.

Static level 5 ft. below land surface. Date 3/19/80

Artesian pressure _____ lbs. per square inch. Date _____

Diameter of well below casing 6
ft. Depth of completed well 45 ft.

Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and structure of materials; and show thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation. Report each change in position of Static Water Level and indicate principal water-bearing strata.

[illegible]

Work started	3/19/80	19	Completed	3/20/80	19
Date well drilling machine moved off of well	3/20/80	19			

Drilling Machine Operator's Certification:

This well was constructed under my direct supervision. Materials used and information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.

[Signed] Richard A. Porter Date 3/25/80, 19.....
(Drilling Machine Operator)

Drilling Machine Operator's License No. 1258

Water Well Contractor's Certification:

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name Corvallis Drilling Co. Inc.
(Person, firm or corporation) (Type or print)

Address 3440 SW 3rd St. Corvallis, Oregon 97330

[Signed] Alvin K. Jones
(Water Well Contractor)

Contractor's License No. 721 Date 3/25/80 1980

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

SP-45656-119

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date 10/16/2018
 FROM: Groundwater Section Aurora C Bouchier
 Reviewer's Name
 SUBJECT: Application G- 18637 Supersedes review of na
 Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.*

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Bradly Moss County: Linn

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.15 cfs from 2 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
Upper Willamette subbasin

A2. Proposed use Nursery Use (6-acres) Seasonality: Year round

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	LINN 851	1	Alluvium	0.06*	12S/4W-16 NW-SE	180' S, 1360' W fr E1/4 cor S 16
2	proposed	2	Alluvium	0.06*	12S/4W-16 NW-SE	200' S, 1540' W fr E1/4 cor S 16
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	242	30	5	3/19/1980	45	0-29	0-42	--	30-60	30	32	A
2	242				Est 45	Est 0-29	Est 0-42		Est 30-40			

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** The application requests a total maximum rate of 0.15 cfs, and a per well specific rate of 24.56 and 25 gpm (respectively). **This review evaluates against a per well rate of 25 gpm for a total of 50 gpm (~0.11 cfs).**

A5. ☒ **Provisions of the** Willamette Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ **are, or** ☒ **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
 Comments: The wells will be greater than 1/4 mile from surface water sources, so the pertinent rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not apply.

A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
 Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. ☐ is over appropriated, ☒ is not over appropriated, or ☐ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. ☐ will not or ☒ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. ☐ will not or ☒ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
- d. ☒ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. ☒ The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, medium water use reporting;
 - ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2.
- a. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
 - b. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
 - c. ☐ Condition to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
 - d. ☐ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** _____

The area around the proposed wells is likely underlain by approximately 20 feet of Willamette Silt which is underlain by a series of sand and gravel beds interbedded with silts and clays (Gannett and Caldwell, 1998). The water table occurs near land surface in the Willamette Silt which acts as an extensive confining unit.

The nearest observation well (LINN 10817, located approximately 3.75 miles up-gradient to the southeast) has been monitored since the early 1960's. The hydrograph for LINN 10817 shows a seasonal fluctuation of approximately 10-15 feet and no apparent decline, suggesting the aquifer is stable at the current level of use. However, there has recently been a large amount of groundwater applied for in this area.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040**C1. 690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium of Willamette Aquifer	☒	☐
2	Alluvium of Willamette Aquifer	☒	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The water level listed on LINN 851 and nearby well logs is reported to rise above the water bearing zone at which it was encountered, indicating the aquifer is more confined than unconfined

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Muddy Creek	~225-235	215-220	3,865	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
2	1	Muddy Creek	~225-235	215-220	3,710	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
1	2	Owl Creek	~225-235	~215	10,025	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
2	2	Owl Creek	~225-235	~215	9,910	☒	☐	☐	☐	☒
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevation in nearby wells is coincident with/slightly above Muddy Creek, indicating groundwater is connected to nearby streams. Published water table maps show that groundwater flows towards, and discharges into, perennial streams and their tributaries (Woodward et al., 1998). Nearby Owl Creek has an elevation of approximately 215 feet above mean sea level where it begins being mapped as a perennial stream.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Physically located within Watershed ID: 30200321 [Willamette R> Columbia R- ab Periwinckle Cr at Gage 14174], however the well is physically closer to Muddy Creek than the perennial reaches of tributaries in above mentioned WAB, therefore production at proposed wells will likely have a greater impact to Watershed ID: 30200303 [Muddy Cr > E Channel- at mouth].

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	☐	☐	NA	NA	☐	14.90	☐	<<25%	☐
2	1	☐	☐	NA	NA	☐	14.90	☐	<<25%	☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments: The stream depletion at 30 days was estimated using the Hunt 2003 model. Stream depletion was calculated using the ranges of S, Kv of the streambed, Kh of the Lower Sedimentary Unit as described in Conlon et al. (2005). Only the impact from pumping at the location of Well 2 on Muddy Creek (Watershed ID: 30200303) was modeled – however the full rate of 0.11 cfs (50 gpm) from this well was modeled as a worst case scenario.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: The impact from pumping to the perennial reach of Owl Creek (Watershed ID: 30200321) was not modeled due to the greater distance relative to that from Muddy Creek, and significantly larger natural stream flow.

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b)** The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. ☐ **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
- ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 - ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** _____

References Used: _____

Application file: G-18637 and nearby G-18521.

Conlon, T. D., Wozniak, K. C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fischer, B.J. Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-Water Hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U. S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Gannett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A.

Hunt, B., 2003, Unsteady stream depletion when pumping from semiconfined aquifer: Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, January/February, 2003.

Woodward, Dennis G., Gannett, Marshall W., and Vaccaro, John J., 1998 Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. ☐ review of the well log;
 b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
 c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
 d. ☐ other: (specify) _____


D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:** _____

 _____D4. ☐ **Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.****Water Availability Tables**


DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION						
watershed ID #: 30200303			MUDDY CR > E CHANNEL - AT MOUTH		Exceedance Level: 80	
Time: 11:34 AM			Basin: WILLAMETTE		Date: 10/16/2018	
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net water Available
Monthly values are in cfs. Storage is the annual amount at 50% exceedance in ac-ft.						
JAN	178.00	2.69	175.00	0.00	0.00	175.00
FEB	203.00	2.47	201.00	0.00	0.00	201.00
MAR	174.00	1.26	173.00	0.00	0.00	173.00
APR	91.30	1.15	90.20	0.00	0.00	90.20
MAY	52.50	1.83	50.70	0.00	0.00	50.70
JUN	35.30	2.75	32.50	0.00	0.00	32.50
JUL	26.10	3.76	22.30	0.00	0.00	22.30
AUG	20.30	3.13	17.20	0.00	0.00	17.20
SEP	14.90	2.11	12.80	0.00	0.00	12.80
OCT	15.20	0.86	14.30	0.00	0.00	14.30
NOV	29.00	1.06	27.90	0.00	0.00	27.90
DEC	113.00	2.46	111.00	0.00	0.00	111.00
ANN	114,000	1,540	112,000	0	0	112,000

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION						
watershed ID #: 30200321			WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AB PERIWINKLE CR AT GAGE 14174		Exceedance Level: 80	
Time: 11:43 AM			Basin: WILLAMETTE		Date: 10/16/2018	
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net water Available
Monthly values are in cfs. Storage is the annual amount at 50% exceedance in ac-ft.						
JAN	10,100.00	1,370.00	8,730.00	0.00	1,750.00	6,980.00
FEB	11,600.00	4,290.00	7,310.00	0.00	1,750.00	5,560.00
MAR	11,000.00	4,560.00	6,440.00	0.00	1,750.00	4,690.00
APR	9,760.00	4,260.00	5,500.00	0.00	1,750.00	3,750.00
MAY	8,430.00	2,560.00	5,870.00	0.00	1,750.00	4,120.00
JUN	5,360.00	855.00	4,510.00	0.00	1,750.00	2,760.00
JUL	3,270.00	662.00	2,610.00	0.00	1,750.00	858.00
AUG	2,560.00	601.00	1,960.00	0.00	1,750.00	209.00
SEP	2,540.00	516.00	2,020.00	0.00	1,750.00	274.00
OCT	2,860.00	269.00	2,590.00	0.00	1,750.00	841.00
NOV	4,170.00	354.00	3,820.00	0.00	1,750.00	2,070.00
DEC	8,150.00	379.00	7,770.00	0.00	1,750.00	6,020.00
ANN	7,460,000	1,240,000	6,230,000	0	1,270,000	4,960,000


Well Location Map




Well Location Map – showing where Willamette Silt has been removed



Water-Level Trends in Nearby Wells



Stream Depletion Model Results



Output for Stream Depletion, Scenerio 2 (s2):						Time pump on (pumping duration) = 240 days						
Days	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
J SD	63.2%	73.5%	78.2%	81.1%	83.0%	84.5%	85.6%	86.6%	24.1%	14.5%	10.3%	7.9%
H SD 1999	1.0%	1.8%	2.4%	2.9%	3.4%	3.8%	4.1%	4.5%	3.8%	3.3%	3.0%	2.7%
H SD 2003	0.60%	0.74%	0.78%	0.79%	0.79%	0.80%	0.80%	0.81%	0.21%	0.07%	0.04%	0.03%
Qw, cfs	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110
H SD 99, cfs	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003
H SD 03, cfs	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Parameters:		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Net steady pumping rate of well	Qw	0.11	0.11	0.11	cfs
Time pump on (pumping duration)	tpon	240	240	240	days
Perpendicular from well to stream	a	3710	3710	3710	ft
Well depth	d	45	45	45	ft
Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	K	25	50	200	ft/day
Aquifer saturated thickness	b	20	20	20	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	T	500	1000	4000	ft*ft/day
Aquifer storativity or specific yield	S	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Aquitard vertical hydraulic conductivity	Kva	0.01	0.001	0.001	ft/day
Aquitard saturated thickness	ba	25	25	25	ft
Aquitard thickness below stream	babs	3	3	3	ft
Aquitard porosity	n	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Stream width	ws	20	20	20	ft
Streambed conductance (lambda)	sbc	0.066667	0.006667	0.006667	ft/day
Stream depletion factor	sdf	27.528200	13.764100	3.441025	days
Streambed factor	sbfb	0.494667	0.024733	0.006183	
input #1 for Hunt's Q_4 function	t'	0.036326	0.072653	0.290611	
input #2 for Hunt's Q_4 function	K'	11.011280	0.550564	0.137641	
input #3 for Hunt's Q_4 function	epsilon'	0.005000	0.005000	0.005000	
input #4 for Hunt's Q_4 function	lamda'	0.494667	0.024733	0.006183	