RA

Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application: T-10387 Review Due Date: June 20, 2007

Applicant Name: Basco Bros. LLC

Proposed Changes: ☒ POU ☐ POD ☐ POA ☐ USE ☐ OTHER

Reviewer(s): David S. Williams Date of Review: Jun. 8, 2007

1. Do you have information suggesting that the water rights may be subject to forfeiture? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes", describe the information and indicate if you intend to file a cancellation affidavit or if you need additional time to determine if a cancellation affidavit should be filed: _____

2. Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights? ☐ Yes ☒ No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred:

   _____

3. Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the right? ☐ If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most:

   _____

4. Are there upstream water rights that would be affected by the proposed change? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes", describe how the rights would be affected and list the rights most affected: _____

5. For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? ☐ If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses: _____

6. Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes", explain: _____

7. For POU changes, would the original place of use continue to receive water from the same source? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A If "Yes", explain: _____

8. For POU or USE changes, would use of the existing right at "full face value," result in the diversion of more water than can be used beneficially and without waste? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "Yes", explain: _____

RECEIVED
JUN 12 2007
WATER RESOURCES DEPT
SALEM, OREGON

9. *Are there* other issues not identified through the above questions? ☒ Yes ☐ No If "Yes", explain: On Page 2 of the transfer the application number for the right is listed as 9102. It should be listed as 33099. On the "To" map the second column heading listed as NE/NW should be listed as NW/NE. On the "To" map there is a notation in the SESE quarter-quarter stating "part of 8.15 remaining water right area". The correct number of acres should be listed as 8.21. Our distribution maps as well as notations on the final proof survey map for Permit 26192 support the CWRE's redesciption of the place of use for this water right.

10. What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above: Make the necessary corrections to remedy the scriveners errors and redescribe the place of use for the water right subject to transfer.

11. Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? ☐ Yes ☒ No

12. What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer:

Measurement Devices	☐ Present and should be maintained.	☒ Should be required prior to diverting water.	☐ May be required in the future.
Headgates	☐ Present and should be maintained.	☐ Should be required prior to diverting water.	☐ May be required in the future.