Water Resources Department

N	ИЕМО						_1	06/0	21	, 2	006		
F	CO_ CROM UBJEC	G	: W:	ion G- Wave (Reviewa	Same)	U	— Evalu	ation					
	Yes The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway No												
	Yes Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J).  No												
×	PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE FINDING: (Check box only if statement is true)  At this time the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use of ground water will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway in quantities necessary for recreation, fish and wildlife.												
Exe	OW REI	this per by the f	rmit is c	calculate g amou	ed to rec	fuce mo	nthly f	lowe in				~	
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	

#### PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS Date **June 1, 2006** TO: Water Rights Section Ground Water/Hydrology Section \_\_\_\_ Ivan Gall FROM: Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of NA Application G-\_\_\_16647\_\_\_\_\_ SUBJECT: PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. Applicant's Name: Larry Martin County: JACK A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant(s) seek(s) <u>0.39</u> cfs from <u>2</u> well(s) in the <u>Rogue</u> Basin, A1. Applegate River (Slagle Creek) subbasin Quad Map: Applegate Seasonality: April 1 to October 31 A2. Proposed use: Irrigation Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3. Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Applicant's Proposed Proposed Location (T/R-S QQ-Q) Well Logid 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 Well# Aquifer\* Rate(cfs) 37S/04W-38CDB 1060' N, 1610'E fr SW cor S 31 **JACK 14419** 1 bedrock 0.39 1 300' S, 770' E fr SW cor S 31 2 2 0.39 38S/04W-6BBA bedrock na 3 4 Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock Well Liner Perforations Well Draw First Well Seal Casing Test **SWL SWL** Intervals Or Screens Yield Down Well Elev Depth Interval Intervals Water Type ft bls Date (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (gpm) (ft) ft bls (ft) ft msl 0-20 +1-85 60-85 NA 14 11/22/88 250 NA 60 A 1310 60 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 2 1250 NA NA NA Use data from application for proposed wells. Comments: Well #2 is proposed for construction; no details provided. A4.

management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water  $\square$  are, or  $\boxtimes$  are not, activated by this application.

Well(s) # \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: \_\_\_\_\_\_

A5. Provisions of the Rogue

Comments:

Comments:

(Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Version: 08/15/2003

Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or

D	and are a smalleble date. These determined that around uniton* for the proposed year	
Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:	
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	any
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This find is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	ling
c.	$\square$ will not or $\square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or	
d.	<ul> <li>will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:</li> <li>i.  The permit should contain condition #(s)7B, 7C, 7F</li> <li>ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.</li> <li>iii.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;</li> </ul>	
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;	
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;	
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the groun water reservoir;	d
	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are like occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.	ng ound
	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Gro	ng ound
	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.	ng ound
as h aver Acc near	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grow Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference was senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gprough as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than trage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies or the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the anal may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks.	mg and and
as h aver Acc near area the	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grow Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gprough as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than rage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies or the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the anal may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks meta-sediments.	mg ound w/ m an
as h aver Acc near area the	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grow Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference was senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gprough as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than trage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies or the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the anal may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks.	mg ound w/ m an
as h aver Acc near area the The	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grow Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference is senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gproving as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than rage yields for this area.  Cording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies or the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the anal may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks meta-sediments.	mg ound w/ m an
as h aver Acc near area the The	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference as senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gpr nigh as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than rage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies of the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the action and may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks meta-sediments.  Segroundwater section database does not contain any permit condition reporting information for this area. There are groundwater rights in the area. I have received no well interference complaints from this area.	mg and and
as h aver Acc near area the The	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference as senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gpr nigh as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than rage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies of the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the action and may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks meta-sediments.  Segroundwater section database does not contain any permit condition reporting information for this area. There are groundwater rights in the area. I have received no well interference complaints from this area.	ng ound w/ m and
as h aver Acc near area the The	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference as senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gpr nigh as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than rage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies of the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the action and may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks meta-sediments.  Segroundwater section database does not contain any permit condition reporting information for this area. There are groundwater rights in the area. I have received no well interference complaints from this area.	ng ound w/ m and
as h aver Acc near area the The	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference as senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gpr nigh as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than rage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies of the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the action and may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks meta-sediments.  Segroundwater section database does not contain any permit condition reporting information for this area. There are groundwater rights in the area. I have received no well interference complaints from this area.	ng ound w/ m and
as h aver Acc near area the The	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Grew Water Section.  Describe injury—as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference as senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):  Ground water availability remarks: Well yields in the area are relatively good, being generally greater than 10 gpr nigh as 100+ gpm. Well depths are generally less than 250 feet. Overall, the fractured bedrock aquifer has better than rage yields for this area.  Fording to Tom Wiley—DOGAMI, bedrock in the area consists of meta-sediments with some intrusives. The area lies of the contact between the granitic pluton and the meta-sediments. Some meta-volcanics have also been mapped in the action and may appear as "sandstone" in well logs. The end of Slagle Creek Road is the contact between the granitic rocks meta-sediments.  Segroundwater section database does not contain any permit condition reporting information for this area. There are groundwater rights in the area. I have received no well interference complaints from this area.	ng ound w/ m and

Application G- 16647 continued

Date June 1, 2006

#### C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Bedrock		$\boxtimes$
2	Bedrock		$\boxtimes$

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Relatively shallow depth to first water, well-fractured nature of aquifer. Aquifer likely progressively more confined with depth.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than 1/4 mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)		Iydraulio Connecton NO AS	Potentia Subst. In Assum YES	terfer.
1	1	Slagle Creek	1296	1280	2400	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$
2	1	Slagle Creek	na	1260	1500	$\boxtimes$			
1	2	Applegate River	1296	1155	4800	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$
2	2	Applegate River	na	1155	3200	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Aquifer head greater than surface water stage, groundwater							
discharge to streams known from late-summer flows							

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: APPLEGATE R > ROGUE R - AT MOUTH

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \( \subseteq \text{box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.} \)

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			na	na		na		<25%*	
2	1			na	na		na		<25%*	
1	2			MF249	120		45.8		<25%*	
2	2			MF249	120		45.8		<25%*	

©3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: *Given the two surface water boundaries, the complex nature of the fractured bedrock aquifer, and unknown	
hydraulic conductivity and storage values, making a suitable estimate from Jenkins or Hunt is not appropriate at this time.	
Many of the model assumptions are not met within this hydrogeological scenario.	

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
D!-4-!I	43 33/-11	-											
	outed Well SW#		Eab	Mor	Ann	Mov	Jun	Jul	Δμα	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Well	3 W #	Jan %	Feb %	Mar %	Apr %	May %	7u11 %	3 til %	Aug %	Зер %	%	%	%
W 11 0		70	76	70	76	70	70	70	70	76	70	70	70
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS	-		~		67	61	61	61	Cf.	61	Ct.	%
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A$	4) > (C)		1	1	1		1	4	1	/	V	<b>-</b>	1
	(A) > (C)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

cation G-16647 continued	Date	June 1, 2006
		+
(00 00 040 (7) (1) THE COURT OF	4 - U 664 4bb ii - i44 in 40 b	a determined by the We
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detriment	tany affect the public interest is to b	e determined by the wa
Rights Section.		
If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be	e adequately protected from interference	ce, and/or ground water u
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantia	ally interfere with surface water:	
i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7,	I	
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s)	as indicated in "Remarks" below;	
W / GW Remarks and Conditions The Applegate River, a re	gional groundwater discharge area due	to its position in the flor
ystem, is likely to be impacted the greatest from the proposed g	gional gioundwater discharge area du	ch smaller drainage and
ystem, is likely to be impacted the greatest from the proposed g	roundwater use. Stagte Creek is a mu	ch smaller dramage and
nuch less incised into the local geology. The distance between		
otential for substantial interference was not found as the two pr		arter mile from surface
rater and the rate of appropriation does not exceed one percent	of the 80% natural streamflow.	
eferences Used: USGS Applegate topographic map, 1:24,0	00.	
Viley, Tom, May 2006, verbal communication.		

Appl	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards  a.	Date	June 1, 2006		
D. <u>V</u>	WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200				
D1.	Well #: Logid:				
D2.	<ul> <li>a.  review of the well log;</li> <li>b.  field inspection by report of CWRE</li> </ul>				
D3.	<ul> <li>a.    constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;</li> <li>b.    commingles water from more than one ground water</li> <li>c.    permits the loss of artesian head;</li> <li>d.    permits the de-watering of one or more ground water</li> </ul>	r reservoir; er reservoirs;			
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follow	ws:			
D5.			the time of		
	b. I don't know if it met standards a	at the time of construction.			
D6.					
THI	IS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEME	ENT PERSONNEL			
D7.	☐ Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the follow	wing actions:			
			200		
	(Enforcement Section Signature)		, 200		
D8.	☐ Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction	on logs to this page).			

#### Water Availability as of 6/1/2006 for

#### APPLEGATE R > ROGUE R - AT MOUTH

Time: 13:23

Watershed ID #: 249 Basin: ROGUE Exceedance Level: 80

Date: 06/01/2006 Select an Item Number for More Details

Item # Watershed ID # Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Sto .....

266 YES YES YES YES YES YES NO NO NO YES NO YES YES 31531008 NO NO NO NO YES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO YES

31531001 NO NO NO NO YES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO YES 3 31531002 NO YES 4

249 NO YES 5 DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION

Water Availability as of 6/1/2006 for

#### APPLEGATE R > ROGUE R - AT MOUTH

Watershed ID #: 249

Basin: ROGUE

Exceedance Level: 80

Time: 13:23

Date: 06/01/2006

Mont	h   Noturo	II Concum	ntivl Evn	octodl B	ocorvodi I	-  nstream  Net	ŧΙ
I	h   Natura					e-  Water	.1
					ments A		
						-	
1 1 1	373.00	4.97	368.00	0.00	300.00	68.00	
2	674.00	438.00	235.00	0.00	300.00	-64.70	
i 3 i	792.00		354.00	0.00	340.00	14.40	
i 4 i	662.00	459.00	203.00	0.00	340.00	-137.00	
5	591.00	41.60	549.00	0.00	360.00	189.00	
j 6 j	222.00	57.20	165.00	0.00	360.00	-195.00	
j 7 j	91.80	75.50	16.20	0.00	120.00  -	104.00	
8	59.00	62.70	-3.74	0.00	120.00	124.00	
j 9 j	45.80	41.90	3.87	0.00	120.00  -1	16.00	
10	56.00	15.30	40.70	0.00	360.00	-319.00	
11	146.00	3.48	143.00	0.00	360.00	-217.00	
12	244.00	4.26	240.00	0.00	300.00		
Stor-5	0% 4210	00 974	484 323	000	0 2040	000  160000	
						-	


#### DETAILED REPORT OF INSTREAM REQUIREMENTS

Water Availability as of 6/1/2006 for

APPLEGATE R > ROGUE R - AT MOUTH

	Watershed ID #: 249 Time: 13:23						Exceedance Level: 8 5/01/2006		
	l.	# MF 24			6WRs 0	0		0  MAXIMUM	
	Statu	ıs  Cert.						-	
	1	300.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00  300.00	
ĺ	2	300.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 300.00	
	3	340.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 340.00	
	4	340.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 340.00	
	5	360.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00  360.00	
	6	360.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00  360.00	
	7	120.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00   120.00	
	8	120.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00  120.00	
	9	120.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00  120.00	
	10	360.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	11	360.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00  360.00	
	12	i 300.00i	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 300.00	

# **G-16647 Martin** 37S & 38S/04W-31/6



#### STATE OF OREGON

#### WATER WELL REPORT (as required by ORS 537.765)

TACK RECEIVED

01/2/3	3/
3 B/HOW) 137	<i>y</i>
8541	
σ) π <del> </del>	

(1) OWNER: Well Number: W/ATER	(9) LOCATION OF WELL by legal de	escription:
Name Bill Seurs Address 13696 N. Apple gate Ad	Comby Jackson Latitude	LongitudeE & WM.
City Grants Pass State On Zip 97527	Township 37 Not Range 4	
- 01411/2 1 722	Tax Lot 800 Lot Block	
(2) TYPE OF WORK:	Street Address of Well (or nearest address)	
New Well Deepen Recondition Abandon	Street Address of Well (or nearest address)	<i></i>
(3) DRILL METHOD_		
Rotary Air Rotary Mud Cable	(10) STATIC WATER LEVEL:	
Other	ft. below land surface.	Date 11-22-88
(4) PROPOSED USE:	Artesian pressure lb. per square inch.	Date
Domestic Community Industrial Irrigation	(11) WATER BEARING ZONES:	
☐ Thermal ☐ Injection ☐ Other	Depth at which water was first found60'	
(5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION:		· Im D · Cur
Special Construction approval Yes No Depth of Completed Well 2.50 ft.		nated Flow Rate SWL
Yes No L Z  Explosives used Type Amount	150' 160'	15-7- 14
	150' 160'	14+- 14'
HOLE SEAL Amount Diameter, From, To Material From, To sacks or pounds		
Diameter, From, To, Material From, To, sacks or pounds	(4.5)	
8" 20' 250	(12) WELL LOG: Ground elevation	
	Material	From To SWL
	Brown Clay	0 15' 14'
How was seal placed: Method	Graw synd stone	15' 250'
Other		
Backfill placed fromft. toft. Material		
Gravel placed fromft. toft. Size of gravel		
(6) CASING/LINER:		
Diameter From To Gauge Steel Plastic Welded Threaded		
Casing: 6" +1 85 250 0		
Liner:		
Final location of shoe(s)		
(7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS:		
Perforations Method Air perforator	Date And the September	
Screens Type Material	HECEIVED	
Slot Tele/pipe From To size Number Diameter, size // Casing Liner		
From To size Number Diameter, size // Casing Liner	MAR 3 0 2006	
	MARTE DECOUDATE DES	
	SALEM, OREGON	
	SALEWI, UNEGUIY	
	Date started //-2/-88 Completed	11-22-88
	(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certificati	
(8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour	I certify that the work I performed on the co	
☐ Pump ☐ Bailer ☐ Air ☐ Artesian	abandonment of this well is in compliance with (	Oregon well construction
İ . Z	standards. Materials used and information reported a knowledge and belief.	above are true to my best
		WC Number 1449
60+- 2-45 1hr.	Signed	ate 17-13-88
	(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification:	
Temperature of waterDepth Artesian Flow Found	I accept responsibility for the construction, alt work performed on this well during the construction	dates reported above all
Was a water analysis done? Yes By whom	work performed during this time is in complis	ance with Oregon well
Did any strata contain water not suitable for intended use?   Too little	construction standards. This report is true to the be	1774
☐ Salty ☐ Muddy ☐ Odor ☐ Colored ☐ Other	) 1/1/5 //w/// W	WC Number 377
Depth of strata:		ate 12-14-58
ORIGINAL & FIRST COPY - WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT SECON	D COPY - CONSTRUCTOR THIRD COPY - CUS'	TOMER 9809C 3/88

NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR The original and first copy of this report are to be filed with the

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, SALEM, OREGON 97310 within 30 days from the date

Gravel placed from \_\_\_\_\_ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ft.

WATER WELL REPORT CEIVED

STATE OF OREGON

(Please type or print) (Do not write above this line) ER RESOURCE State Permit No. of well completion. SALEM. C. F. (1) OWNER: (10) LOCATION OF WELL: Name OSIEPHIME Driller's well number 34-78 MW 14 MW Section 36 T. 375 R. 5 W Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner (2) TYPE OF WORK (check): Reconditioning 
Abandon Deepening [ If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12. (11) WATER LEVEL: Completed well. (3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check): Depth at which water was first found Rotary Driven 🗆 Domestic P Industrial Municipal Static level ft. below land surface. Date Cable Irrigation | Test Well | Other Dug Bored [ Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch. Date CASING INSTALLED: Threaded [ Welded [ (12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well below casing .. 6 ft. to 80 ft. Gage 250 Depth drilled 85 ft. Depth of completed well Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and structure of materials; and show thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation. Report each change in PERFORATIONS: position of Static Water Level and indicate principal water-bearing strata. Perforated? | Yes | No. Type of perforator used From To Size of perforations in. by perforations from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_ ft. perforations from ...... ft. to ...... ft. perforations from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_ ft. (7) SCREENS: Well screen installed? | Yes | No Manufacturer's Name ..... Diam. ..... Slot size ...... Set from ..... ft. to ..... ft. Diam. Slot size ...... Set from ..... ft. to ..... ft. Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level (8) WELL TESTS: Was a pump test made? Yes K'No If yes, by whom? Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs. gal./min. with of ft. drawdown after / g.p.m. erature of water 5 Depth artesian flow encountered ...... Work started 5 -1978 Completed 5 Date well drilling machine moved off of well (9) CONSTRUCTION: Well seal-Material used ... Drilling Machine Operator's Certification: This well was constructed under my direct supervision. Well sealed from land surface to ..... Materials used and information reported above are true to my Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal \_\_\_\_in. best knowledge and belief. Diameter of well bore below seal ..... [Signed] Cath Motor (Drilling Machine Operator) Number of sacks of cement used in well seal ..... How was cement grout placed? Pounto 14 Drilling Machine Operator's License No. ... Water Well Contractor's Certification: This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name ASTIN (Person, firm or corporation) Was a drive shoe used? 🂢 Yes 🗌 No Plugs ...... Size: location ...... ft. Did any strata contain unusable water? 🗌 Yes 👿 No Type of water? depth of strata Method of sealing strata off Was well gravel packed? [ Yes No Size of gravel: .....

Contractor's License No. 599 Date 5-1

NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR
The original and first copy of this report
are to be filed with the

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT,
SALEM, OREGON 97310
within 30 days from the date
of well completion.

### WATER WELL REPORT

STATE OF OREGON

Please type or print)

JACK 33910 State Well No. 375/4W-3/66

State Permit No.

NUV 14 1977		
(1) OWNER:	(10) LOCATION OF WELL:	
Name Dick Troom TER RESOURCES DEPT.	County Jack Son Driller's well number	
Address 1475 Kubli RSALEM, OREGON	NE 14 SW 14 Section 31 T. 37 R.4W	W.M.
Grants Pass, OR 97526	Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corr	ner .
(2) TYPE OF WORK (check):		
New Wellx Deepening Reconditioning Abandon		
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.	(11) WATER LEVEL: Completed well.	
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check):	Depth at which water was first found 105	ft.
Rotary 😡 Driven 🗆 Domestic 🖾 Industrial 🗌 Municipal 🗀	Static level 10 ft. below land surface.	
Dug	Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch.	
CASING INSTALLED: Threaded Welded & Welded & Gage 250	(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well below co	asing6
" Diam. fromft. toft. Gage	Depth drilled 200 ft. Depth of completed we	200
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage	Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and stru	icture of materials;
PERFORATIONS: Perforated?	and show thickness and nature of each stratum and with at least one entry for each change of formation. Re position of Static Water Level and indicate principal w	port each change in
Type of perforator used	MATERIAL From	To SWL
Size of perforations in. by in.	Clay, brown & decomposed	
perforations fromft. toft.	granite 0	90
perforations from ft. to ft.	Granite, brown med hard	
perforations fromft. toft.	w/fractures 90	125
(7) SCREENS: Well screen installed?   Yes XX No	Granite, gray hard w/fract, 125	200 10
Manufacturer's Name Model No.	2 142	
Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.		
Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.		
		-
(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level		
Was a pump test made?   Yes XX No If yes, by whom?		
Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.		
" " " "		
II		
Air (0		
Artesian flow g.p.m.	<u> </u>	
perature of water Depth artesian flow encountered ft.	Work started 10/31 1977 Completed 11	/2 1977.
(9) CONSTRUCTION:	Date well drilling machine moved off of well	11/2 1977
Well seal—Material used Cement Grout	Drilling Machine Operator's Certification:	
Well sealed from land surface to95ft.	This well was constructed under my dine	t supervision.
Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal	Materials used and information reported above best knowledge and belief	are true to my
Diameter of well bore below sealb. in.	[Signed] Date 1	
Number of sacks of cement used in well sal	( Delatol)	
How was cement grout placed? Front pump and drop pipe.	Drilling Machine Operator's License No.	69.5
- T	Water Well Contractor's Certification:	
	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction at true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	nd this report is
Was a drive shoe used? XYes No Plus Size: location ft.	Name McClanahan Well Drilling	
Did any strata contain unusable water 7 Yes XXNo	(Person, firm or corporation) (T	vpe or print)
Type of water? degi of strata	Address 141 NE Beach Drive Charts	Pass OR
Method of sealing strata off	[Signed Harla Mark	1,
Was well gravel packed? Yes M No Size of gravel:	(Water Well Contractor)	
Gravel placed fromftft.	Contractor's License No 614. Date November	er 3 19 77

Received Date:

8-11-03

County Well Log ID #

**=**JACK

Well Identification Tag #

2.66133

## WELL IDENTIFICATION APPLICATION FORM

For Official Use Only:

33910

	RECEIVED
BUYER/CURRENT WELL OWNER:	AUG 1 1 2003
Name: Richard Troon	WATER RESOUNCES DES
Mailing Address: 1475 Kubli Road	
City: Grants Pass State: DR Zip: 97527 Phone: (	MA
NOTE: Well Identification Tag will be sent to the above address unless otherwise specified.	
WELL LOCATION:	# 2.
County: Jackson Owner's Well Number (1st or 2nd well on property, e	etc)
Township: 37 N or S Range: 4 E or W Section: 31	$_{-}$ , $\frac{5W}{1/4}\frac{5W}{1/4}$
Tax Lot Number: 1900 Type of Well: water supply X	monitoring
Address of Well (if different from above): 1475 Kubli Pd., Gran	ts Pass 9757
(Number) (Street)  Does this well have a formal water right associated with it? Yes:	No:
If Yes: Application #: Permit #: Certificate	
(Optional): Latitude Longitude (May sometimes be obtained	from Well Log Report)
WELL INFORMATION: (do not complete remainder of application if drill See "Dear Landowner" letter for instructions in completing this portion of the applicate the Well Identification Program at (503) 378-8455, extension 260.	ers well report is attached ion, or contact
Start Card Number: Approx. Well Construction Date:	
Well Constructor: Paquin	
Name of Land Owner at Time of Construction:	
Well Depth (in feet): 220' Static Water Level (in feet):	20'6"
Diameter of Exposed Well Casing (in inches):	
Please Return Completed Form to: Well ID Program @ Oregon Water Resources Department 158 12th Street NE - Salem, OR 97301-4172	
PEVIS	ED: 10/9/2001

## RECEIVED

# WATER WELL REPORT STATE OF OREGON

MAR 0 9 1981

### WATER RESOURCES DEPT SALEM, OREGON

\$1575 11575

State Well No.	385/4W-6 bc
----------------	-------------

State Permit No.

(1) OWNER:	(10) LOCATION OF WELL:			
(1) OWNER:				
Name STEVIT WHITE	County Dekso 4 Driller's w	ell number	2-2	7
Address 362 Hamilton BD a	Sw 4 / W 4 Section 6 T. 38		4)	W.M.
City JACKSOHVILLIE State On	Tax Lot # Lot Blk		division	
(2) TYPE OF WORK (check):	Address at well location: 35.555 H	Appliz	AT	× 80
New Well    Deepening □ Reconditioning □ Abandon □	HPD/FG ATR ON		0	
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.	(11) WATER LEVEL: Completed	well.		
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check):	Depth at which water was first found	5		ft.
Rotary Air X Driven   Domestic   Industrial   Municipal		v land surface		7-4-81
Mud □ Dug □ Irrigation □ Test Well □ Other □		per square in	ch. Date	
	(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well below			
(5) CASING INSTALLED: Steel No Plastic Welded Property Diam from ft. to 20 ft. Gauge 250	Depth drilled ft. Depth Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and st thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer per for each change of formation. Report each change in and indicate principal water-bearing strata.	netrated, with	aterials;	one entry
LINER INSTALLED:	MATERIAL	T		
"Diam. from		From	То	SWL
(A) PERFOR A PROSEC	Clark (-nava/	39	30	
(6) PERFORATIONS: Perforated? □ Yes No	A H A COST OF THE	1/0	10	2-
Type of perforator used  Size of perforations in. by in.	10405 707115	170	140	25_
perforations from ft. to ft.				
perforations from	f ·			
% CODETEN				
(7) SCREENS: Well screen installed?   Yes No				
Manufacturer's Name Type				
Diam. Slot Size Set from ft. to ft.	1			
Diam. Slot Size Set from ft. to ft.				
(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level				
a pump test made?    Yes  No If yes, by whom?		+		
l: gal/min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.		1	_	
" " " "				
Air test /5 gal./min. with drill stem at /40 ft. / hrs.				
Bailer test gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	-			
sian flow g.p.m.				
perature of water Depth artesian flow encountered ft.	Work started 2 -3 19 8/ Comple	eted 2-	4	198/
(9) CONSTRUCTION Special standards: Yes I No X	Date well drilling machine moved off of well	2-4		19 8/
Well seal—Material used	Drilling Machine Operator's Certification:			
Well sealed from land surface to3.5	This well was constructed under my direct	supervision	. Mater	ials used
Diameter of well bore to bottom of sealin.  Diameter of well bore below sealin.	and information reported above are true to my [Signed]		-	19.8
Diameter of well bore below seal	(Drilling Machine Operator)	CO '3	JJ	, 19/
How was gement grout placed? Pumpho Down	Drilling Machine Operator's License No	77.		
AMULAR SPACE	Water Well Contractor's Certification:			
	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction	on and this	report i	s true to
Was pump installed? Type HP Depth ft.	the best of my knowledge and belief.	V- 11	12	11,00
Was a drive shoe used? XYes \( \subseteq No \) Plugs Size: location ft.	Name	120.1.1	(Type or p	rint)
Did any strata contain unusable water?  Yes No	Address Och MSq.	בנצי		
Type of Water? depth of strata  Method of sealing strata off	[Signed] (set m (se	1-		
Was well gravel packed? ☐ Yes XNo Size of gravel:	(Water Well Contra	actor)		n.
Gravel placed from	Contractor's License No. 3.7.7Date	<i>J</i>	•••••	, 19.