Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>18735</u>	
GW Reviewer J. Hackett	Date Review Completed: 11-15-2018
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:	
[] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over a amounts requested without injury to prior water right capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of	nts, OR will not likely be available within the
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference R	Review:
[] There is the potential for substantial interference	per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:	
[] The well does not appear to meet current well conserved form. Route through Well Construction and Constr	
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached a basis for determinations and for conditions that may	

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	O							Novem	ber 18	_,20/	8	
TO:		Applic	cation (i/87	35		_					
FROM	FROM: GW: J. Hackett (Reviewer's Name)											
SUBJ	ECT: S	cenic V	Vaterwa	ay Inter	ference	Evalua	ation					
	YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO											
	YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO											
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.									water y. The		
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.										refore, idence	
Calcula calcula	te the per	ON OF rcentage of criteria in Rights the	of consum n 390.83.	nptive use 5, do not	by mont	he table	but chec.	k the "ur	nable" op	tion abo	ve, thus	
Water	way by	is permi the follo water fl	owing a	mounts	o reduce express	e month ed as a	ly flows proporti	on of th	e consu	mptive	Scenic use by	
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
						E 4 = ()						

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM	۲.	Wate	er Rights S	ection				Dat	e	Nove	mber 15,	2018	-
TROM	1.	Grot	muwater S	ection									
SUBJE	ECT:	Appl	ication G-	18735		Su	persedes	review of					
							r				Date of Re	eview(s)	
OAR 6 welfare to deter the pres	90-310-1 s, safety a mine wh sumption	130 (1) and head ether the criteria	The Departi lth as descri le presumpt	ment shall placed in ORS ion is establew is based	5 537.525. Elished. OAR l upon avai l	DWATE t a propos Departmen 3 690-310- lable info	R ted ground t staff revi -140 allow rmation a	water use will ew groundwate s the proposed nd agency pol	ensure ther applica use be m icies in p	e pres tions u odified lace a	ervation of the conduction of the time	of the put R 690-33 itioned to e of eval	10-140
A1.								Willamette					D :
	тррпо	ant(b) 50						willamette					_ Basin,
A2.	Propose	ed use _	Indi					Year Round					
A3.	Well ar	nd aquif	er data (att a	ach and nu	mber logs i	for existin	ng wells; n	nark proposed	wells as	such	under log	gid):	
Well	Logid Applicant's Well # Proposed Aquifer* Proposed Well 1 Alluvium			Rate	osed (cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)		Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 560' S, 60' E fr NW cor S31					
2	rioposea	,,сп	•		anu vium	0.0	769	11S/3W-31 NV	V - IN VV	3	5, 50° E	e ir NW co	831
3 4		-				-							
5													
* Alluvii	um, CRB,	Bedroc	k										
Well	Well Elev ft msl 240	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 250 est.	Seal Interval (ft) 0-18 est.	Casing Intervals (ft) 0-220 est.	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perfora Or Scre (ft)	eens	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	wells.			L						
A4.	Commo	ents: _											
A5. ⊠	manage (Not all Comme rules (O	basin rents: The DAR 690	f groundwat ules contain ne applicant 0-502-0240	er hydrauli such provi s proposed do not app	cally connections.) well is not only.	within 1/4)	face water	rules relative to are, or perennial surre ap(s) an aquife	are not,	r featu	ated by the	is applic	ation.
	Comme	r admin	iistrative are	ea:							,		

Version: 05/07/2018

Date: November 15, 2018

2

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Das	sed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
d.	 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N; 'Medium' water-use reporting ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	 Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
refe reac aqui	bundwater availability remarks: The applicant's proposed well is in an area underlain by thick alluvial fan deposits rred to as the Lebanon Fan by Woodward et al., (1998). These deposits are composed of coarse to fine sediments that the > 140 ft thick and are considered to be a very productive aquifer system within the Willamette Valley. Locally, the ifer is confined by 10-20 feet of silt and clay (Willamette Silt). The thickness of these deposits and their overall high smissivity suggest little concern of negative impacts from the proposed use.
_	

3

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Nearby well logs and general information in USGS publications indicate semiconfined to confined conditions locally.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Calapooia R.	215	200	7500		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Published water table maps indicate that g	groundwater flows toward, and
discharges into, the Calapooia River. Smaller creeks in the immediate area are not perennial.	

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Calapooia R. > Willamette R – AB Mouth (ID# 76)

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¹ / ₄ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
-										
									-	

Page

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: The applicant's well is not within 1 mile of a perennial surface water source.	

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS											70	70
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS					,,,	70	70	70	70	70	%	%
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	O7	61	~
Well (as CFS			,,,	70	70	70	70	70	70	%	%	%
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS							,,,	70	70	70	70	76
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS										- 70	- 1	70
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS		v									70	7.0
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.			T	T					T			
	% Nat. Q												
	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A) > (C)												
		C/	67		~								×
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A} /$	B) X 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Version: 05/07/2018

A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed, as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. (CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage
Basis for impact evaluation: Impacts to the Calapooia River were not evaluated because the maximum requested rate (0 cfs) is less than 1% of the lowest monthly 80% exceedance flow in the river.
b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W Rights Section.
. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW/GW Remarks and Conditions: Pumping impacts from the applicant's well will be spread out over a large area and very minimal impact to perennial surface water reaches.
,
References Used: Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. 2014. Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin, Oregon. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136
Gannett, M. W. and R. R. Caldwell. 1998. <i>Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington</i> . USGS Professional Paper 1424-A.
Hunt, B. 1999. <i>Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping</i> . Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1). 12-19
2. 2.7. Onsieddy Stream Depiction from Ground water Fumping. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1). 12-19
Woodward, D. G., M. W. Gannett, and J. J. Vaccaro. 1998. Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer

Application G-18735

I	Date:	November	15, 2018	P

D. <u>W</u>]	ELL CONSTRUCTION, O	AR 690-200		
D1.	Well #:	Logid:		
D2.	 a. review of the well lo b. field inspection by _ c. report of CWRE 		ction standards based upon:	
D3.	THE WELL construction do	ficiency or other comment is d	lescribed as follows:	

Version: 05/07/2018

7

Water Availability Tables

CALAPOOIA R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 10/29/2018

Watershed ID #: 76 (Map)

Exceedance Level:

80% •

Date: 10/29/2018

Time: 4:05 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	592.00	3.37	589.00	0.00	20.00	569.00
FEB	650.00	3.32	647.00	0.00	20.00	627.00
MAR	575.00	2.24	573.00	0.00	20.00	553.00
APR	423.00	1.95	421.00	0.00	20.00	401.00
MAY	234.00	18.30	216.00	0.00	20.00	196.00
JUN	111.00	12.80	98.20	0.00	20.00	78.20
JUL	49.00	19.60	29.40	0.00	20.00	9.42
AUG	26.00	14.10	11.90	0.00	20.00	-8.09
SEP	22.70	7.36	15.30	0.00	20.00	-4.66
OCT	29.60	1.92	27.70	0.00	20.00	7.68
NOV	133.00	2.39	131.00	0.00	20.00	111.00
DEC	499.00	3.33	496.00	0.00	20.00	476.00
ANN	404,000.00	5,500.00	398,000.00	0.00	14,500.00	384,000.00

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MF76A	CERTIFICATE	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Maximum		20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00

Well Location Map

