Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 1864Z
Application # G- 18642 GW Reviewer Gen Scandella Jan Woody Date Review Completed: 12121/18
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:
[] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:
[] There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:
[] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).



MEMO

To:

Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager

From:

Joel Jeffery, Well Construction Program Coordinator

Subject:

Review of Water Right Application G-18642

Date:

December 27, 2018

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Ben Scandella and Jen Woody reviewed the application. Please see Ben's and Jen's Groundwater Review and the Well Log.

Applicant's Well #2 (YAMH 52323): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #2 seems to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Well #2 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

Page 1 of 1

State Well ID L42900 Start Card # 133812

(1) OWNER: Well No. 2002 Name BERNARD LACROUTE Address 19143 NE LAUGHLIN RD City YAMHILL St OR Zip 97148 (2) TYPE OF WORK: NEW WELL (3) DRILL METHOD: ROTARY AIR	(9) LOCATION OF WELL by legal description: County YAMHILL Lat. ' " Long. ' " Township 3 S Range 3 W WM. Section 23 NE 1/4 SE 1/4 Tax Lot 2300 Lot Block Subdivision Street Address of Well (or nearest Address) 10275 NE RED HILLS RD DUNDEE, OR RECEIVED SEP 2 7 2000 WATER RESOURCES DEPT
(4) PROPOSED USE: IRRIGATION	(10) STATIC WATER LEVEL: 332 ft. below land surface. Date 09/22/00 Artesian pressure lb per square in. Date
(5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION: Special Construction Approval NO Depth of Compl. Well 545 ft Explosives used NO Type Amount HOLE SEAL Diam. From To Material From To Amount 10 0 118 BENTONITE CHIP 0 48 24 SAX 6 118 545 CEMENT W/GEL 48 118 28 SAX	(11) WATER BEARING ZONES: Depth at which water was first found 347 From To Est Plow Rate SWL 347 361 2 332 456 528 55 332
Backfill: from ft to ft Material Gravel: from ft to ft Size	(12) WELL LOG: Ground elevation 790 Material From To SWL TOP SOIL 0 4
(6) CASING/LINER:	CLAY, BROWN/RED W/SOME DECAYED BASALT 4 15 BASALT, DECAYED/BROWN W/CLAY 15 55 BASALT, ROUGH DECAY, LOOSE 55 105 BASALT, GRAY HARD 105 175 BASALT, RED CINDER LIKE 175 181 BASALT, GRAY HARD 181 243 BASALT, MEDIUM GRAY W/SOME DECAY 243 248 BASALT, GRAY HARD 248 347
Final Location of shoe(s) 118 (7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS: [X] Perf. Method ELECTRIC SAW [_] Screens Type Material Slot Tele/pipe	BASALT, MEDIUM GRAY W/SOME DECAY 347 361 332 BASALT, GRAY HARD 361 451 BASALT, BLACK SOFT 451 456 BASALT, DECAY/VESICULAR/ROUGH 456 528 332 BASALT, GRAY HARD 528 531 BASALT, MEDIUM GRAY W/CLAY 531 533
From To Size Number Diam. Size Casing/liner 465 485 .1X7" 36	CLAY, MARINE GRAY/GREEN/BLUE 533 545 BLUE WATER DRILLING CO. (503) 868-7878 Date started 09/20/00 Completed 09/22/00
(8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour	(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification: I certify that the work I performed on the construction, alteration, or aband- onment of this well is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. Materials used and information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief. Signed
57.5 <u>— 540 2</u> 57.5 <u>— 525 1</u>	(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification: I accept responsibility for the construction, alteration, or abandonment work performed on this well during the construction dates reported above. All work performed during this time is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	ection				Date	e12/21/2	018	-	
FROM	:	Grou	ndwater S	ection				lella, Jen Wo	ody			
SUBJE	CT.	Appl	ication G-	18642			ewer's Name	of				
SOBJE	.C1.	Аррі	ication G-	10042		Supersed	ics review	OI		Date of Re	eview(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to determ the pres A. GEN YAMH	90-310-1 safety armine who umption NERAL ILL	30 (1) nd hea ether the criteria INF(The Depart. Ith as descr. ie presumpt This revie ORMATIO	ibed in ORS ion is establicew is based of the DN:	resume that 537.525. D shed. OAR upon avail	a propose epartment 690-310- able infor	ed groundw staff review 140 allows rmation and CKSON FA	water use will on the proposed dagency policy	r application use be modificies in place	s under OA Tied or cond to at the time	R 690-31 itioned to e of evalu	0-140 meet nation.
A1.			eek(s) <u>0.120</u> Willamette		OT PER SI	ECOND II	rom	2	we	II(s) in the	Willameti	e Basin,
A2.	Propose	ed use <u>l</u>	RRIGATIO	<u>ON</u>		Seasor	nality: <u>M</u>	ARCH 1 THI	ROUGH OC	TOBER 31		
A3.	Well an	d aqui	fer data (att	ach and nur	nber logs f	or existin	g wells; m	ark proposed	wells as suc	h under lo	gid):	
Well	Logic	i	Applicant	's Propose	ed Aquifer*		osed	Location		ocation, mete		
1	YAMH 5	2323	Well #		CRB	Rate 0.1		(T/R-S QQ- 3S/3W-23 NW	V-SW	250' N, 1200' 1425' N, 40'		
2 3	Propos	ed	3		CRB	0.1	11	3S/3W-23 SW	/-SW	1350'N, 40'l	E fr SW co	r S 23
4												
5	GDD	- I	,									
* Alluvii	um, CRB,	Bedroc	ck									
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Wate ft bls	r SWL	SWL Date 03/13/2013	Well Depth (ft) 545	Seal Interval (ft) 0-118	Casing Intervals (ft) +2-118	Liner Intervals (ft) 0-545	Perforation Or Screens (ft) 465-485, 505	Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft) unkno	Test Type air
2	700	N/A	N/A	N/A	540	0-118	+2-118	Not specified	545 465-485', 50 545'	5- N/A	N/A	N/A
Usa data	from onn	ligation	for proposed	Lwalls				0.				
A4.	Commo The rate for YAI original informa had a F impacts	ents: 2 e of 57 MH 52 application re O issue to stre	2.5 gpm is ab 323 in this eation, but the produced in ed on 4/17/2 eams, the co	nt proposed a bove the over review is 53. ne applicant's the table ab 2017, and 62 mbined rate	rall propose 9 gpm. We s agent sub ove. YAM gpm under of (62+65+	d maximu Il construction mitted an H 52323 i Certificat 54=) 181	57.5 gpm 1 am of 0.120 ction the pro- amended p s already at e 87261. The gpm, or 0.4	for YAMH 52 cfs (=53.9 gp oposed well w . 5 of the appl athorized to w herefore, for the 403 cfs, was ex-	om), so the war as not compication show ithdraw 65 ghe purpose ovaluated in the other develors.	ell-specific letely specific letely specifing the well pm under L f evaluating his review.	proposed rate evaluated on the constructure L-1693, potentia	uated e etion which l and/or
	(Not all Comme aquifer	basin ents: <u>6</u> in the	rules contai 90-502-024 Columbia R	n such provis 0 classifies u iver Basalt C	sions.) ase from un Group (CRI	confined a	alluvial aqu is rule is no		plication pro	poses use fi	om a con	ifined
A6.	Name o	f admi	nistrative a	rea: , _				ap(s) an aquif	er limited by	an adminis	trative res	striction.

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, ⊠ is not over appropriated, or □ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7i (Large Water Use Reporting Condition); ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
B2.	a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt Group groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.
		Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

- Each new or deepened basalt well shall be cased and continuously sealed from land surface to a depth of at least 118 feet below land surface.
- 2. Each new or deepened basalt well shall be open to a single aquifer of the Columbia River Basalt Group and shall meet the applicable well construction standards (OAR 690-200 and OAR 690-210). In addition, the open interval in every such well shall be no greater than 190 feet. However, an open interval of greater than 190 feet may be allowed if substantial evidence of a single aquifer completion can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Department hydrogeologists, using information from a video log, downhole flowmeter, water chemistry and temperature, or other downhole geophysical methods. These methods shall characterize the nature of the basalt rock and assess whether water is moving in the borehole. Any discernable movement of water within the well bore when the well is not being pumped shall be assumed as evidence of the presence of multiple aquifers in the open interval.
- 3. If during well construction it becomes apparent that the new or deepened basalt well can be constructed to eliminate hydraulic connection between multiple aquifers in a manner other than specified in this permit (including but not limited to SPECIAL CONDITIONS 1 and 2 above), the permittee can contact the Department Hydrogeologist for this permit or the Groundwater Hydrology Section Manager to request approval of such construction. The request shall be in writing, and shall include a rough well log and a proposed construction design for approval by the Department. The request can be approved only if it is received and reviewed prior to placement of any permanent casing and sealing material. If the request is made after casing and seal are placed, the requested modification will not be approved. If approved, the new well depth and construction specifications will be incorporated into any certificate issued for this permit.
- 4. A dedicated water-level measuring tube shall be installed in each well at the time of pump installation, pump repair or pump replacement. The measuring tube shall meet the standards described in OAR 690-215-0060. When requested, access to the wells shall be provided to Department staff in order to make water-level measurements.
- 5. The applicant shall coordinate with the driller to ensure that drill cuttings are collected at 10-ft intervals and at changes in formation in each new or deepened basalt well. A split of each sampled interval shall be provided to the Department.

- 6. Copies of all geologic and hydrogeologic reports completed for the permittee during the development of the new or deepened basalt wells, including geophysical well logs and borehole video logs, shall be provided to the Department. Except for borehole video logs, two paper copies, or a single electronic copy, shall be provided of each report. Digital tables of any data shall be provided upon request.
- 7. Prior to using water on this permit, the permittee shall ensure that each new or deepened basalt well on this permit has an OWRD Well Identification Number (Well ID or Well tag number). If a well does not have a Well ID, the permittee shall apply for one from the Department. The Well ID shall be attached to the well and shall be used as a reference identification number for any correspondence regarding the well including any water use, water level, or pump test reports.

Groundwater availability remarks: The applicant's wells will produce from one or more water-bearing zones in the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG), a series of lava flows with a composite thickness that ranges from 300 to 400 feet in this area (Conlon et al., 2005), and likely thicker on topographic high such as those on which the subject wells are located. Each flow is characterized by a series of internal features, including a thin rubble zone at the contact between flows and a thick, dense, low porosity and low permeability interior zone. In some cases, sedimentary layers were deposited during the time between basalt flow emplacements. A flow top, sedimentary interbed and flow bottom are collectively referred to as an interflow zone. Unconfined groundwater occurs near the weathered top of the basalts, but most water occurs in interflow zones at the contacts between lava flows. CRBG flow features result in a series of stacked, thin aquifers that are confined by dense flow interiors. The low permeability of the basalt flow interiors usually results in little connection between stacked aquifers, which generally results in tabular aquifers with unique water level heads.

The proposed use of 10 acre-feet per year at a maximum rate of 54 gallons per minute (gpm) is unlikely to create drawdown interference with nearby wells that prevents access to water. Nearby wells access a variety of water-bearing zones within the CRBG aquifer system. Well logs in T3S/R3W- Section 23 report yields ranging from 1 to 140 gpm, with a median yield of 30 gpm. Therefore, it is unlikely that YAMH 52323 will be able to withdraw at its fully-permitted rate of 181 gpm, especially given the yield of 57.5 gpm reported on the well log.

Water levels in wells located higher on the hill and to the West show relatively stable trends (see Figures 2 and 3), while wells located to the East (YAMH 50854, YAMH 4548, and YAMH 121) show evidence of long-term decline. YAMH 52323 (YAMH 52323) is among the former group and has shown relatively stable water levels for the entire period of record, from 2000-2018. Given the nearby location nearly identical proposed construction for the proposed well, it is expected to access the same water-bearing zones and show similar water levels to YAMH 52323. The two water-bearing zones in YAMH 52323 appear to be hydraulically connected, given their identical water levels in the well log, and they are likely also connected with water-bearing zones accessed by nearby wells (see Figures 1 and 4). However, the proposed magnitude of development is not expected to cause injurious well-to-well interference with neighbors or long-term water level decline. Water use and water level monitoring conditions are recommended to protect existing users.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) Aquifer		
2	Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) Aquifer		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: According to nearby well logs, static water levels rise above water-bearing zones, indicating the aquifer is confined.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	Elev Elev		Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Harvey Creek	375-385	140-650	2000		
2	1	Harvey Creek	375-385	140-650	1965		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater levels were estimated using the range of measurements reported in YAMH 52323 since 2006, and surface water elevations represent the range of elevations within 1 mile of the wells. Water-bearing zones are reported in the confined interflow zones of the CRBG. These water-bearing zones are coincident with or above perennial reaches of Harvey Creek within a mile. The creeks have incised through several hundred feet of the Grande Ronde Basalt Formation of the CRBG. Groundwater from the uplands likely discharges to surface water, providing baseflow or spring flow to sustain nearby perennial reaches of the creek.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Watershed ID 182: WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AB MOLALLA R.</u>

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1						3830		*	П
2	1						3830		*	

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
					-			
			1					

Comments: YAMH 52323 is already authorized to withdraw 65 gpm under LL-1693, which had a FO issued on 4/17/2017, and 62 gpm under Certificate 87261. Therefore, for the purpose of evaluating potential impacts to streams, the combined rate of (62+65+54=) 181 gpm, or 0.403 cfs, was evaluated in this review.

C4a. **690-09-040** (5): Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells						**************************************					
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												
	ence CFS												
51													
	uted Well		E-1	Man	A	Mari	T	T1	A	Cam	Oat	Mari	Das
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
W-11 C) CEC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	ence CFS												
merier	elice CFS	67	67	%	67	%	%	%	67	%	%	%	%
Wall C	as CFS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	ence CFS												
mener	elice CFS	CT.	61	61	, Of	61.	C/	%	%	%	%	%	%
Wall C	as CFS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	ence CFS	,											
Interier	ence Crs	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Wall	as CFS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	ence CFS												
mener	Chec Cr3	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS	76	70	70	70	76	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
	ence CFS												F
merici		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
	ence CFS				/								
THICHICI													
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.											-	
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q						1						
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q								1-				
(D) =	(A) > (C)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: N/A

^{*}There is no appropriate model to estimate streamflow depletion from pumping in CRBG interflow zones that are incised by streams or discharge to point sources such as springs. Therefore, the percentage of interference at 30 days is not calculated.

_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
. 69	90-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wat Rights Section.
I	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater used under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW	GW Remarks and Conditions:
_	
Refe	rences Used:
	on, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, nd-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.
Reide	el, S.P., Johnson, V.G., and Spane, F.A., 2002, Natural gas storage in basalt aquifers of the Columbia Basin, Pacific Northwest USA—A guide to site characterization: Richland, Wash., Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 277 p.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	a.	review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE other: (specify)
D3.	THE W	ELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:
D4. [Route	to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION

Watershed ID # Time: 11:15 AM	: 182	WILLAMET		Exceedance Level: 80 Date: 12/19/2018		
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
			Monthly values a	are in cfs.		
		Storage is	the annual amount at	50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN FEB MAR APR JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV	21,400.00 23,200.00 22,400.00 19,900.00 16,600.00 8,740.00 4,980.00 3,830.00 3,830.00 4,850.00 10,200.00	2,290.00 7,470.00 7,250.00 6,900.00 4,240.00 1,970.00 1,800.00 1,650.00 1,390.00 745.00 878.00	19,100.00 15,700.00 15,200.00 13,000.00 12,400.00 6,770.00 3,180.00 2,180.00 2,500.00 4,100.00 9,320.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,500.00	17,600.00 14,200.00 13,700.00 11,500.00 10,900.00 5,270.00 1,680.00 685.00 998.00 2,600.00 7,820.00
DEC	19,300.00 15,200,000	960.00 2,250,000	18,300.00 13,000,000	0.00	1,500.00 1,090,000	16,800.00 11,900,000

Figure 1. Well Location Map

Well location map for G-18642 (Jackson Family Investments III LLC) T3S/R3W-S23




Figure 2. Water levels in Nearby Wells




Figure 3. Water levels in nearby wells, focusing on a subset of the wells in the near vicinity of YAMH 52323.




Figure 4. Lithographic cross-section of selected wells (YAMH 2859, YAMH 4550, YAMH 54149, YAMH 52323, YAMH 55230, YAMH 50854, and YAMH 121, reading from left to right).

