WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT MEMO TO: Application G- 18590 FROM: GW: M. Thoma (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJ	ECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation
	YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO
	YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO
M	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.
	Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in Royal Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083

Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18590 GW Reviewer	Date Review Completed: 01-30-19
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review: [] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over a	appropriated, will not likely be available in the
amounts requested without injury to prior water right capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of	
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference R	Review:
[] There is the potential for substantial interference	per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:	
[] The well does not appear to meet current well co review form. Koute through Well Construction and C	

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM	: :		r Rights Sondwater So	ection ection		Micha	el Thoma	Date	e	01/30/	2019		
							ewer's Name						
SUBJE	ECT:	Appli	cation G-	18590		Suj	persedes re	eview of			Date of Rev	view(a)	
											Date of Ke	view(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to deter	90-310-1 ; , <i>safety ar</i> mine whe	30 (1) To the sther the	The Departs th as descri e presumpt	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establi	resume tha 537.525. D ished. OAR	t a propose Department 2 690-310-	ed groundwe t staff reviev 140 allows	ater use will over a groundwate the proposed agency poli	r applicat use be me	ions u	nder OAl l or condi	R 690-31 tioned to	0-140 meet
A. <u>GE</u>	<u>NERAL</u>	INFO	RMATIO	<u>DN</u> : A ₁	pplicant's N	Name:	Nick Kerby	y		(County: _	Josephi	ne
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.0</u> 2	56* cfs from	n2	well((s) in the	Rogue					_Basin,
	I	llinois				subb	asin						
A2.	Propose	d use _	Irri	gation (11 ac	eres)	Seas	sonality: <u>Y</u>	ear Round					
A3.	Well an	d aquif	er data (att	ach and nu	mber logs			ırk proposed	wells as	such	under log	gid):	
Well	Logid	ı	Applicant Well #	's Propos	sed Aquifer	Proposed Rate(cfs)		Location (T/R-S QQ		Location, metes and bounds, e.g 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1	JOSE 573		L-85497		edrock	0.03	56*	39S/8W-22 S	ENE	550'	S, 850'W o	f E cor NE	1/4 S 22
2	JOSE 573	356	L-87914	В	edrock	0.03	56*	39S/8W-22 S	ENE	780'	S, 1310'W o	of E cor NE	1/4 S 22
*** 11	Well	First	SWL	SWL	Well	Seal	Casing	Liner	Perfora		Well	Draw	Test
Well	Elev ft msl	Water ft bls	ft bls	Date	Depth (ft)	Interval (ft)	Intervals (ft)	Intervals (ft)	Or Scro (ft)		Yield (gpm)	Down (ft)	Type
1	1,442	77	53	10/18/2006	240	0-20	-1-26	-	-		0.5	-	A
2	1,410	82	24	10/26/2006	240	0-20	-2-78	0-240	220-2	40	5	-	A
Use data	i from appl	ication :	for proposed	l wells.									
A4.	Comments: *The application lists a total maximum rate of 0.056 cfs but then list well-specific rates of 0.001 and 0.01 cfs in the well-development section of the application; the well-specific rate reflect the well-yields reported on the well logs so this review assumes that the applicant is not requesting well-specific rates. Therefore the maximum requested rate will be evaluated for each proposed POA.												
A5. 🗌	A5. Provisions of the Rogue (690-515) Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:												
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name of	# f admin nts:	nistrative ar	ea:	, _	,	, ta	p(s) an aquif	er limited	by an	administ	rative res	triction.

Version: 05/07/2018

Application G-18590 Date: 1/30/2019 Page 2

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	■ will not or ■ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-yr SWL); 7J (Scenic); Medium Water-use Reporting; ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
B2.	a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	■ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.
		Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
В3.	<u>pro</u> 1 m rigl	posed POAs so Capacity of the Resource cannot be determined. While there are four permitted groundwater POAs within aile of the applicant's proposed POAs it is unlikely that the applicant's use would result in injury to these permitted water at given the low rate of appropriation and generally low transmissivity of the aquifer in the area. However, standard exference conditions should be applied.

Application G-18590 Date: 1/30/2019 Page 3

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Fractured bedrock of Applegate Group		\boxtimes
2	Fractured bedrock of Applegate Group		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: In fractured-bedrock aquifer systems the primary movement of water is through discrete but connected fracture sets. These fractures generally extend to near the surface and so water within these fractures is likely under atmospheric pressure (unconfined) despite an overall low storage coefficient for the aquifer system as a whole. Additionally, both wells on this application penetrate the same aquifer zone but report different static water levels that corresponding roughly to the different elevations of the two wells and implies potentiometric surface sub-parallel to land surface which further implies unconfined aquifer conditions.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			10 11101	14 11101			YES NO
1	1	E Fk Illinois River	1390	1280-1310	5210		
2	1	E Fk Illinois River	1390	1280-1310	4850		
1	2	George Cr.	1390	< 1300	7100*		
2	2	George Cr.	1390	< 1300	7000*		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevations are above surface water elevations implying that groundwater is flowing towards and discharging to surface water

*George Cr. is a small, seasonally dry creek at its upper reaches near the applicant's POAs but there is a surface water POD near the lower 1/3 of the creek. It is likely that George Cr. is not perennially hydraulically connected nor would be considered a "viable surface water source" until its lower reaches. Therefor the distance measured to George Cr. for this review is to where the most-upstream surface water POD exists – this distance is over 1 mile.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>E FK ILLINOIS R > ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH (ID# 70980)</u> and hydraulically connected to ILLINOIS R > ROGUE R - AB JOSEPHENE CR

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \) box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ½ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			IS70980A	51.40		41.50		< 25%*	
2	1			IS70980A	51.40		41.50		< 25%*	

Comments: **Stream-depletion was not estimated because the hydrogeology (high-relief bedrock aquifer juxtaposed with alluvial sediments) does not reasonably meet the model assumptions of widely-used stream-depletion models (e.g., Hunt, 1999). However, modeling in more-simplistic hydrogeologic regimes, which would predict higher stream-depletion than this regime, yields stream-depletion estimates much less than 25% after 30 days and implies that interference for this proposed use would not exceed 25%.

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: This review assumes that Q is not distributed

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

eb Mar % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	Apr %	May %	Jun % Jun	Jul %	Aug %	Sep %	Oct %	Nov	Dec %
eb Mar	Apr	May	Jun						
	•			Jul	Aug	Sen	Oat	N	D
	•			Jul	Aug	Sen	Oot	N	D
	•			Jul	Aug	Sen	Oct	N	D
	•			Jul	Aug	Sen	Oct	NI	D
06 0.06		Sec			6	БСР	OCI	Nov	Dec
06 0.06		see comments below							
0.00	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
% %	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
				l					
64 981	810	469	192	83.6	53.5	47.9	60.2	161	529
64 9.81	8.10	4.69	1.92	0.84	0.54	0.48	0.60	1.61	5.29
/ /	_/	-/	-/	_/	_/	_/	_/	_/	
*	*				-	-	-	-	< 1 %
(54 981 64 9.81	54 981 810 64 9.81 8.10	54 981 810 469 64 9.81 8.10 4.69	54 981 810 469 192 64 9.81 8.10 4.69 1.92	54 981 810 469 192 83.6 64 9.81 8.10 4.69 1.92 0.84	54 981 810 469 192 83.6 53.5 64 9.81 8.10 4.69 1.92 0.84 0.54	54 981 810 469 192 83.6 53.5 47.9 64 9.81 8.10 4.69 1.92 0.84 0.54 0.48	64 981 810 469 192 83.6 53.5 47.9 60.2 64 9.81 8.10 4.69 1.92 0.84 0.54 0.48 0.60	54 981 810 469 192 83.6 53.5 47.9 60.2 161 64 9.81 8.10 4.69 1.92 0.84 0.54 0.48 0.60 1.61

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:
Comments: A suitable model is not available to estimate monthly stream-depletion caused by the proposed use, nor can
monthly values be reasonable assumed from available modeling. However, the maximum proposed rate of appropriation is less
than 1% of the 80% Natural Flows for the given WAB in all months.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5.	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use
	under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
	i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

		Conditions: The applicant's proposed POAs would be producing from an aquifer that has been foun exted to surface water – specifically the East Fork Illinois River at a distance of less than 1 mile, and to	<u>d</u>
		ce of greater than 1 mile. The proposed maximum rate of appropriation is less than 1% of the pertinent	
		flow and also less than 1% of the adopted instream water rights for either surface water source. Per OA	R
9	690-009-0040(4) the POA	As are assumed to not have the Potential for Substantial Interference.	
-			
-			_
-			_
	References Used:		
]	Hunt, B. 1999. Unsteady	Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 12-19	<u>)</u>
	Ousses Demontrasent of C	colory and Minaral Industries. Coologie Man of Ouscou, http://www.coopengoology.org/goologieman/	
	Oregon Department of G	eology and Mineral Industries, Geologic Map of Oregon. http://www.oregongeology.org/geologicmap/	
(OWRD Well Log Databa	ase – Accessed 3/29/2019.	
	<u> </u>		
	Ramp, L. and Peterson, N. 2004. Geologic Map of Josephine County, Oregon. Oregon Dept. of Geol. and Mineral Industries,		
9	OFR O-04-13		
n v	VELL CONSTRUCT	ION OAD 600 200	
υ. <u>ν</u>	VELL CONSTRUCT	ION, OAK 070-200	
D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.		ot appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:	
	a. review of the		
	c report of CV	tion by	_:
	d. other: (spec	wreify)	
	u. 🗀 outer. (spee	,)	_
D3.	THE WELL constr	ruction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	_
D3.	THE WELL constr	ruction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	_
D3.	THE WELL constr	ruction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	_
D3. D4.		Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.	_

Application G-18590

Page

5

Date: 1/30/2019

Application G-18590 Date: 1/30/2019 Page 6

Water Availability Tables

Water Availability Analysis **Detailed Reports** E FK ILLINOIS R > ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH ROGUE BASIN Water Availability as of 1/25/2019 Watershed ID #: 70980 (Map) Exceedance Level: 80% v Date: 1/25/2019 Time: 4:42 PM Water Availability Calculation Consumptive Uses and Storages Instream Flow Requirements Reservations Water Rights Watershed Characteristics Water Availability Calculation Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet Month Natural Stream Flow Consumptive Uses an Storages Expected Stream Flow Reserved Stream Flow Instream Flow Requirement Net Water Available JAN 342.00 4.09 338.00 0.00 135.00 203.00 535.00 4.24 531.00 0.00 135.00 396.00 MAR 556.00 4.27 552.00 0.00 135.00 417.00 **APR** 498.00 8.84 489.00 0.00 135.00 354.00 317.00 11.70 305.00 0.00 135.00 170.00 MAY 139.00 14.90 124.00 0.00 80.00 44.10 JUN 18.80 60.00 JUL 66.30 47.50 0.00 -12.50 AUG 46.10 16.10 30.00 0.00 54.00 -24.00 0.00 70.00 -40.30 SEP 41.50 11.80 29.70 47.70 0.00 100.00 OCT 6.19 41.50 -58.50 NOV 102.00 3.70 98.30 0.00 135.00 -36.70 DEC 290.00 3.95 286.00 0.00 135.00 151.00 ANN 330,000.00 6,570.00 323,000.00 0.00 78,900.00 249,000.00

Version: 05/07/2018

POA Location Map for Application G-18590 Legend JOSE0050270 JOSE0057727 Reservoir Sump Well Sites Spring Stream Winter Runoff Waste Water USA Topo Maps Cave Junction JOSE0006563 JOSE0057315 JOSE0057356 ILLINOIS RIVER PARK JOSE0006640 1 Miles Copyright: 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed