Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G-	18794		
GW Reviewer	J. Hackett	Date Review Completed:	4/25/2019
Summary of GW	Availability and Injury Review:		
amounts request	for the proposed use is either ove ted without injury to prior water ri roundwater resource per Section E	ights, OR will not likely be availab	
Summary of Pote	ential for Substantial Interference	Review:	
[] There is the po	otential for substantial interference	ce per Section C of the attached	review form.
Summary of Wel	I Construction Assessment:		
	not appear to meet current well oute through Well Construction and		on D of the attached
	nmary. Documentation is attached nations and for conditions that mo		

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT April 25 ,20 19 **MEMO** Application G- 18794 TO: GW: J. Hackeft (Reviewer's Name) FROM: **SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation** YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J) NO Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway. DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM	:		r Rights Se ndwater Se												
SUBJE	ECT:	Appl	ication G-	18794		Revi Su	ewer's Nam persedes	review of							
											Date of Re	view(s)			
OAR 69 welfare, to deter	90-310-1 , safety as mine who	30 (1) <i>ind head</i> ether th	The Departr Ith as descri e presumpti	nent shall p bed in ORS on is establ	537.525. Dished. OAR	epartment 690-310-	ed ground staff rev 140 allov	dwater use will iew groundwate vs the proposed and agency pol	er applica use be m	tions u	nder OAl d or condi	R 690-31 tioned to	0-140 meet		
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	<u>ORMATIC</u>	<u>N</u> : A	pplicant's N	Vame:	Taylor I	Camily Trust		(County: _	Linn			
A1.	Applica	int(s) se	eek(s) <u>0.26</u>	67 cfs fro	m <u>1</u>	well((s) in the	Willamette					_Basin,		
						subb	asin								
A2.	Propose	ed use _	Irrig	gation		Seas	sonality:	March 1 – Oc	tober 31						
A3.	Well an	d aquif	er data (atta	ach and nu	mber logs f	or existin	g wells;	mark proposed	l wells as	such	under log	gid):			
Well	Logic	i	Applicant' Well #	s Propos	sed Aquifer*	Prop Rate			Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)		Location, metes and bounds, e.g 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 3				
1 2	Propose	ed	1			0.2			12S/4W-12 SE-NW		710' N, 390' E fr C ¼ cor S 12				
3 4															
5 * Δ11υγίο	um, CRB,	Redroc	l l												
Alluvio					T 337 11 T	0 1									
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	ft ble	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Interval (ft)	s Intervals (ft)	Perfora Or Scr (ft)	eens	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type		
1	240				150 est.	0-18 est.	0-150 es	t.							
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	wells											
A4.															
A5. 🖾	A5. Provisions of the Willamette Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water are, or are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: The applicant's well is not within ¼ mile of any perennial surface water features so the pertinent basin rules (OAR 690-502-0240) do not apply.														
A6. 🗌										triction.					

Version: 05/07/2018

2

Date: April 25, 2019

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Based upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:												
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;											
	b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;											
	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or											
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N; Large water-use reporting ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;											
B2.	a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;											
	b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;											
	c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;											
	d.	 Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): 											
В3.	refer that aqui	undwater availability remarks: The applicant's proposed well is in an area underlain by thick alluvial fan deposits red to as the Lebanon Fan by Woodward et al., (1998). These deposits are composed of coarse- to fine-grained sediments reach > 140 ft thick and are considered to be a very productive aquifer system within the Willamette Valley. Locally, the fer is confined by 10-20 feet of silt and clay (Willamette Silt). The thickness of these deposits and their overall high smissivity suggest little concern of negative impacts from the proposed use.											

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confine	ment:
--	-------

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Nearby well logs and general information in USGS publications indicate semiconfined to confined conditions locally.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Calapooia River	230	200	6300		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Published water table maps indicate that groundwater flows toward, and discharges into, the Calapooia River. Smaller creeks in the immediate area are not perennial.</u>

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Calapooia R. > Willamette R – AB Mouth (ID# 76)

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:								

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Dis	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	1	11 %	11 %	0 %	1 %	2 %	3 %	4 %	5 %	7 %	8 %	9 %	10 %
Well Q	as CFS	0	0	.267	.267	.267	.267	.267	.267	.267	.267	0	0
Interfere	nce CFS	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
Di-4-il-	-4 - 1 337 - 11	_								N/Wile			
Well	ited Well SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Wen	5 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	%	760	Wiai %	Apr %	Wiay %	%	7tii %	Aug %	<u>зер</u>	- OCT	1NOV	Dec %
Well Q	as CES	70	70	70	76	76	76	70	76	%	%	%	%
	nce CFS_												
THEFTETCH	nee er s	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	67	67	C/	C	C
Well Q	as CES	70	70	70	76	96	9/6	%	%	%	%	%	%
	nce CFS												
Interfere	nce Cr3	%	%	%	67	C/	67	67				~	~
Well Q	os CES	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Interferen													
Interiere	nec CI 5	%	%	%	67	C/	C/	C/	67		-		~
Well Q	on CEC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Interfere													
Interfere	nce Cr3	~	-	~	~	~		-	-				
W-II O	CEC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q Interferen													
Interferen	nce CFS			-	-								
Wall O	CEC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	nce CFS	7 Se 10 Sept. 10 Sep					Property of the second						
(A) = Tota	al Interf.	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
$(B) = 80^{\circ}$	% Nat. Q	592.0	650.0	575.0	423.0	234.0	111.0	49.0	26.0	22.7	29.6	133.0	499.0
(C) = 1 %		5.92	6.50	5.75	4.23	2.34	1.11	0.49	0.26	0.227	0.296	1.33	4.99
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A$	4) > (C)	7	/		7	7				/	/		
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{E})$		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%

5

	= total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: Impacts to the Calapooia River were evaluated using parameters appropriate for an alluvial
	aquifer system. Calculated impacts after one year of pumping were < 1% of the monthly exceedance flow for every month.
C41	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
C5.	☐ If properly conditioned , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
C6.	SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:
	References Used: Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. 2014. Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction of Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin, Oregon. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136
	Gannett, M. W. and R. R. Caldwell. 1998. Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington. USGS Professional Paper 1424-A.
	Hunt, B. 1999. Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, Vol 8(1). 12-19
	Woodward, D. G., M. W. Gannett, and J. J. Vaccaro. 1998. <i>Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington</i> . USGS Professional Paper 1424-B.

Application G-18794

Date: April 25, 2019

Page

6

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	a. rev	L does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: iew of the well log; ld inspection by	
	d. doth	er: (specify)	
D3.	THE WELI	L construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	
D4.	Route to th	ne Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.	

Water Availability Tables

CALAPOOIA R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 10/29/2018

Watershed ID #: 76 (Map)

Exceedance Level:

80%

Date: 10/29/2018

Date: April 25, 2019

Time: 4:05 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet


Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	592.00	3.37	589.00	0.00	20.00	569.00
FEB	650.00	3.32	647.00	0.00	20.00	627.00
MAR	575.00	2.24	573.00	0.00	20.00	553.00
APR	423.00	1.95	421.00	0.00	20.00	401.00
MAY	234.00	18.30	216.00	0.00	20.00	196.00
JUN	111.00	12.80	98.20	0.00	20.00	78.20
JUL	49.00	19.60	29.40	0.00	20.00	9.42
AUG	26.00	14.10	11.90	0.00	20.00	-8.09
SEP	22.70	7.36	15.30	0.00	20.00	-4.66
OCT	29.60	1.92	27.70	0.00	20.00	7.68
NOV	133.00	2.39	131.00	0.00	20.00	111.00
DEC	499.00	3.33	496.00	0.00	20.00	476.00
ANN	404,000.00	5,500.00	398,000.00	0.00	14,500.00	384,000.00

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MF76A	CERTIFICATE	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Maximum	Committee of the second	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00

Well Location Map




Application G-18794

Stream Depletion Modeling Results


Application type:	G
Application number:	18794
Well number:	1
Stream Number:	1
Pumping rate (cfs):	0.267
Pumping duration (days):	244.0
Pumping start month number (3=March)	3.0

Parameter	Symbol	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Distance from well to stream	а	6300	6300	6300	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	T	5000	10000	15000	ft2/day
Aquifer storativity	5	0.01	.01	.01	
Aquitard vertical hydraulic conductivity	Kva	0.1	0.1	0.1	ft/day
Aquitard saturated thickness	ba	10.0	10	10	ft
Aquitard thickness below stream	babs	2	2	2	ft
Aquitard specific yield	Sya	0.2	0.2	0.2	-
Stream width	ws	100	100	100	ft

				Str	ream de	pletion t	for Scen	ario 2:					
Days	10	330	360	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300
Depletion (%)	0	11	11	0	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10
Depletion (cfs	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03









Page


9





Model:	Genkins (1968): aquifer only
	C Hunt (1999): streambed
	· Hunt (2003): overlying aquitard
Show popup warnings:	
Percent change for sensitivity test (%):	50

Read disclaimer	Copy parameters from Scenario 2 to 1 and 3	Run model with	
and instructions	Edit parameters in Excel	current parameters	Quit
Open folder with parameter refs	Reload parameters from file for this app, well, and stream	Open results spreadsheet	



Version: 05/07/2018