# **Groundwater Application Review Summary Form**

Application # G-							
GW Reviewer	Joe	Kemper	t	Date Review (	Completed:	5/10/2	2019
Summary of GW	Availabi	lity and Injury Revi	iew:				
amounts request	ted witho	roposed use is eithout injury to prior water resource per Sec	ater rights	, OR will not l	ikely be ava	ilable within	
Summary of Pote	ential for	Substantial Interfe	erence Rev	/iew:			
[ ] There is the p	otential f	or substantial inter	rference pe	er Section C o	f the attach	ed review for	rm.
Summary of We	li Constru	ction Assessment:	1				
		ear to meet current gh Well Construction				ction D of th	e attached
-	-	ocumentation is att and for conditions th			•	•	

#### WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	О	May 10,2019							
то:		Application G- 18660							
FROM:		GW: Joe Kemper (Reviewer's Name)							
SUBJ	ECT: S	cenic Waterway Interference Evaluation							
ď	YES	The course of appropriation is within an above to Cont. W.							
	NO	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway							
Ø	YES	Jse the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)							
	NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
<b>1</b>	interfe	RS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is <b>able</b> to calculate ground water brence with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The ated interference is distributed below.							
	interfe the De that	RS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water brence with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, epartment is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows eary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.							

#### DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>Illinois</u> /Regue Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0.083	0.083	0.683	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.063	0.083	0.083	0,083	0.083

# PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:			r Rights S			Date5/10/2019							
FROM	<b>1</b> :	Groui	ndwater S	ection		Joe Ke	mper						
						Revi	ewer's Name	9					
SUBJ	ECT:	`Appli	cation G-	18660		Su	persedes	review of N.	A				
						•	-			I	Date of Re	view(s)	
<b>PUBL</b>	<u>IC INTI</u>	EREST	r Presu	<u>MPTION;</u>	GROUNI	DWATE:	<u>R</u>						
OAR 6	590-310-13	30 (1) 7	The Depart	ment shall p	resume that	a propose	ed ground	lwater use will	ensure the	preser	rvation c	f the pub	lic
								ew groundwate					
								s the proposed					
								nd agency pol					
	<b>r</b>								<b> F</b> -				
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>ON</u> : A	pplicant's N	lame:	Steven &	Laurie Trelea	aven	_ c	ounty: _	Josephin	ne
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.0</u>	5 cfs from	m <u>1</u>	well(	(s) in the _	Rogue					_Basin,
	I	llinois				subb	asin						
A2.	Propose	d use _	Irri	gation/Nurse	ery	Seas	onality:	April 1 <sup>st</sup> – No	v 1 <sup>st</sup> / Yea	r Roun	d		
A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):													
—	-		<b>A</b> 1	, ,			<del>-</del> , -		<del> </del>	<del>-</del>			
Well	Logid	ı	Applicant	S Propos	ed Aquifer*	Prop		Location				s and bou	
-			Well #	•		Rate		(T/R-S QQ		2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 10'S, 790'E fr NW cor, SWNW, S25			
1	PROP		1		Bedrock	0.0	05	39S/8W-25 S	WNW	10'8, 7	/90'E fr N	w cor, Sw	NW, S25
* Alluv	ium, CRB,	Bearock	(										
	Well	First			Well	Seal	Casing	Liner	Perforat	ione	Well	Draw	
Well	Elev	Water	SWL	SWL	Depth	Interval	Interval		Or Scre		Yield	Down	Test
,,,,,,,	ft msl	ft bls	ft bls	Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(gpm)	(ft)	Type
1	1,500	-	-	-	100	0-18	0-20	-	-		-	-	
Use dat		ication	for proposed	l wells.			<u> </u>						
			-o. p.opoo.									,	
A4.	Comme	nts: T	he applicar	nt's well and	l its construc	ction detai	ils are pro	posed.					
1													
												,	
A5. 🛛	Provisi	ons of	the Roque	(OAR 690-	.515)		Racin	rules relative t	to the deve	lonme	nt class	ification	and/or
715.						etad to sur		$r \square are, or \square$					
				n such provi		cica to sui	Tace wate	ı ∟ are, or ⊵	y are not,	activa	icu by ii	us applica	auon.
	Comme	nts: <u>I n</u>	ie Rogue B	asin contain	is no such pi	rovisions.	•						
A6	(- )							tap(s) an aquif	er limited	by an a	administ	rative res	triction.
				rea:									
	Comments:												
													·
							·						_

Version: 05/07/2018

Date: 5/10/2019

## B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	$\square$ will not or $\square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-yr SWL); 7J (Scenic); Medium Water-use Reporting ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper thanft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.  Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
PO. The wou	A so Capacity of the Resource cannot be determined and water-level reporting conditions in B1(d) are recommended. There are no groundwater rights within 1 mile of the applicant's proposed POA, and it is unlikely that the applicant's use all result in injury to this or other permitted water rights given the low requested rate. However, standard interference ditions should be applied.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·
	<u> </u>

Date: 5/10/2019

#### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
. 1	Fractured Bedrock of Applegate Group		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: In fractured-bedrock aquifer systems, the primary movement of water is through discrete but connected fracture sets. These fractures generally extend to near the surface, and so water within these fractures is likely under atmospheric pressure (unconfined) despite an overall low storage coefficient for the aquifer system as a whole and static water levels often reported above water-bearing zones on driller's logs.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential Subst. Inte Assume YES	erfer.
1	1	Chapman Creek	>1460*	1420	1320			$\square$
1	2	Tycer Creek	>1460*	1427	1330			

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevations are above or coincident with adjacent stream elevations, indicating that water can flow between the aquifer and surface water sources.

*SWL is assumed to be less than 40 ft BLS, based on report	ted SWLs in well logs for adjacent wells (see Figure 3).
Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:	

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1						41.5		- '	
1	2						41.5		-	

Date: 5/10/2019

C3b. <b>690-09-040</b> <b>connected</b> a												
evaluation a				a above.	nstream		809		w > 1%		· .	Potential
SV #		Qw 5 cfs		ter ght	Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	Natu	ral o	f 80% Iatural Flow?	Interfere @ 30 da (%)	nce for	or Subst. Interfer.
							1					
adjacent geo						-	,				mile as a	-
percentage of This table en additional sho	the proposition that the following the follo	osed pump s the consi	ing rate. l derations	Limit eva required	luation to by 09-040	the effect 0 (5)(a), (b	s that will o), (c) and	occur up	to one ye	ar after pu	imping b	
Well SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
VCII SVV	3an %	700	WIAI %	7.pr	Wilay %	7 till %	7 til	Aug %	Зер	- Oct	110V %	
Well Q as CFS	76	70	70	76	70	76	70	70	76	76	70	+ 7
Interference CFS						<u> </u>						+
Interference Cr 5	h War	, the fact of the	3 <sup>25</sup> 1.3	الود العلمان		\ \text{\tin}\text{\ti}\text{\tex{\tex	<u> </u>		nitat of the	1000		Total Control
(A) = Total Interf.												
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q			-									
(C) = 1 %  Nat.  Q				i								1
(D) = (A) > (C)	1	V	**** 67% */	√	V	√ × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	1 pm	ont of the s	<b>1</b>	- 🗸	- 1390 mg - 1
$(E) = (A / B) \times 100$	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/6
b) = total interferent FS; (D) = highlight Basis for in	nt the check	kmark for e	ach month	where (A	) is greater	than (C); (	E) = total i	nterferenc	e divided b	y 80% flov		
5. 🔲 If proper	Section.  ly condition permit can	ioned, the	surface w	vater sour	ce(s) can		tely protec	cted from	interfere	be determ	-	
i. L ii. [		rmit shoul rmit shoul				s) as indica	ated in "Re	emarks" l	pelow;	_	· ,	

(PSI) as per OAR 690	009.		•	
	<u> </u>			
				)
				-
<u> </u>				
			/ ~	
				<u> </u>
	•			
References Used:				
Hunt, B. 1999. Unstea	dy Stream Depletion from	m Ground Water Pu	nping. Journal of Hydrologic	Engineering, Vol 8(1), pp 1
Oragon Danortmant of	Goology and Mineral In	dustrios Gaelegia M	ap of Oregon. http://www.ore	ogongoology org/goologian
oregon Department of	Geology and Ivinicial in	idustries, Geologic N	nap oj Oregon. http://www.or	Skoukeoroka.orkakeorokieur
		TTG\	10010	
OWRD Groundwater 1	Information System (GW	/IS) – Accessed U3/5	<i>[2</i> 019	

Application G-18660

Page

Date: 5/10/2019

Application G-18660

Page

6

#### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	a. review of	ction by	
	d. dother: (spe	CWRE	
D3.	THE WELL const	ruction deficiency or other comment is described as follows	::
D4. [	☐ Route to the Well	Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existi	ing well construction.
	,	·	

Figure 1. Water Availability Tables

# Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

# E FK ILLINOIS R > ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH ROGUE BASIN

Water Availability as of 1/30/2019

Watershed ID #: 70980 (Map)

Date: 1/30/2019

Exceedance Level: 80% •

Time: 9:36 AM

Water Availability Calculation

Consumptive Uses and Storages

Instream Flow Requirements

Reservations

Water Rights

Watershed Characteristics

Date: 5/10/2019

## **Water Availability Calculation**

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	342.00	4.09	338.00	0.00	135.00	203.00
FEB	535.00	4.24	531.00	0.00	135.00	396.00
MAR	556.00	4.27	552.00	0.00	135.00	417.00
APR	498.00	8.84	489.00	0.00	135.00	354.00
MAY	317.00	11.70	305.00	0.00	135.00	170.00
JUN	139.00	14.90	124.00	0.00	80.00	44.10
JUL	66.30	18.80	47.50	0.00	60.00	-12.50
AUG	46.10	16.10	30.00	0.00	54.00	-24.00
SEP	41.50	. 11.80	29.70	0.00	70.00	-40.30
OCT	47.70	6.19	41.50	0.00	100.00	58.50
NOV	102.00	, 3.70	98.30	0.00	135.00	-36.70
DEC	290.00	3.95	286.00	0.00	135.00	151.00
ANN	330,000.00	6,570.00	323,000.00	0.00	78,900.00	249,000.00

Application G-18660


Date: 5/10/2019

Page

7


Figure 2. Well Location Map

### POA Location Map for Application G-18660



Version: 05/07/2018

Figure 3. Summary Statistics for Well Logs for TRS Q 39S/8W-sec 25 NW, sec 26 NE, sec 23 SE, & sec 24 SW.



Version: 05/07/2018