Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18733

GW Reviewer M. Thoma Date Review Completed: 05/29/19

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

[] Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

A There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form. For POA#2only

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

[] The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section. Ji 6/4/19

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

129 ,2019

TO: Application G-<u>18733</u>

FROM:

GW: M. Thoma (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation



The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

VES NO

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)

- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore**, **the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway**.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in ______ Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | COLUMN 1 | | | | | | | | | |

MAC

Memo

To: Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager

From: Joel Jeffery, Well Construction Program Coordinator

Subject: Review of Water Right Application G-18733

Date: June 24, 2019

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by Water Rights. Mike Thoma reviewed the application. Please see Mike's Groundwater Review and the Well Logs.

Applicant's Well #1 (LANE 17834): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 does not appear to comply with current minimum well construction standards (See OAR 690 Division 210). The depth of the annular seal is not adequate. In order to meet minimum well construction standards, the well must be continuously cased and continuously sealed with an approved grout to a minimum depth of 23 feet below ground surface.

My recommendation is that the Department **not issue a permit** for Applicant's Well #1 (LANE 17834) unless it is brought into compliance with current minimum well construction standards or information is provided showing that it is in compliance with current minimum well construction standards.

Bringing Applicant's Well #1 into compliance with minimum well construction standards may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

Applicant's Well #2 (LANE 57466): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #2 appears to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Applicants Well #2 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

| RECEIVED | NE | |
|---|--|---|
| SEP 2 4 1999 | LANE6 | |
| STATE OF OREGON | 5 ¹ WELL I.D. # L. 36 130 | |
| WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT (as required by ORS 537.765) WATER RESOURCES DEP WATER RESOURCES DEP | START CARD # 124057 | 7 |
| Instructions for completing this report are on SALLEM apert the m. | T | |
| 1) OWNER: Well Number 1786 | (9) LOCATION OF WELL by legal description: County Lame Latitude Longitude | |
| iame Kichard Van Dehay | Township 185 N or S Range 0560 E | or W. WM. |
| iddress 28292 Contrell Ras | Section 01 NE. 1/4 NE. 1/4 | |
| 2) TYPE OF WORK | Tax Lot <u>LO3</u> Lot Block Subdivis Street Address of Well (or nearest address) <u>Some</u> | sion |
| New Well Deepening Alteration (repair/recondition) Abandonme | Street Address of Well (or nearest address) | |
| 3) DRILL METHOD: Cable Auger | (10) STATIC WATER LEVEL: | 2 12 02 |
| Other | | 9-13-99 |
| 4) PROPOSED USE: | Artesian pressure lb. per square inch. Date | |
| Domestic Community Industrial Inrigation | | |
| (5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION: | Depth at which water was first found | |
| Special Construction approval Yes No Depth of Completed Well | | w Rate SWL |
| Explosives used Yes X No Type Amount HOLE SEAL | From To Estimated Flow | the second se |
| Diamater From To Material From To Sacks or pounds | | |
| 10" o' 19' bentonite o' 19' 8 Sacks | - | |
| 6" 19' 10 | - | |
| | (12) WELL LOG: | |
| How was seal placed: Method A B C D | Ground Elevation | |
| Other Placed @ / Sark pr 5 min 1910 | Material From | To SWL |
| Backfill placed from ft. to ft. ^ Material Gravel placed from ft. to ft. Size of gravel | TUDSOIL | 2 |
| (6) CASING/LINER: | Brown Clay 29 | <u> </u> |
| Diameter From To Gauge Steel Plastic Welded Three Casing: 6 4/ 19 250 5 - 6 25 | (they Same Stone, 12 9 | 2 31' |
| $Casing: 6'' + 7' + 7' + 250 \square \square$ | Red Cinders (Med) 92 11 | 0 31' |
| | | |
| | | |
| Liner: \underline{None} | | |
| Final location of shoe(s) Non6. | | |
| (7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS: | | |
| Perforations Method Screens Type Material | | |
| Slot Tele/pipe | er | |
| Nona | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour | Date started 9-13-99 Completed 9-1 | 13-99 |
| Flowing | (unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification: | |
| Pump Bailer Air Artesian | I certify that the work I performed on the construction, alteration of this well is in compliance with Oregon water supply well constru- | uction standards. |
| Yield gal/min Drawdown Drill stem at Time | Materials used and information reported above are true to the best and belief. | 1/1/1 |
| | WWC Number | |
| | | |
| Temperature of water Depth Artesian Flow Found Was a water analysis done? Yes By whom | I accept responsibility for the construction, alteration, or aband | onment work |
| Did any strata contain water not suitable for intended use? 	[] Too little | performed on this well during the construction dates reported above performed during this time is in compliance with Oregon water su | ve. All work |
| Salty Muddy Odor Colored Other | construction standards. This report is true to the best of my knowledge of the best of | ledge and belief. |
| Depth of strata: | | ate 9-17-90 |
| | | |

ORIGINAL - WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT FIRST COPY - CONSTRUCTOR SECOND COPY - CUSTOMER ł,

-

.

• .

/--

WATER WELL REPORT

STATE OF OREGON

RECEIVED

LANE

APR 5 1983



WATER RESOURCES DEPT tate Permit No. SALEM, OREGON

| 17834 WA | SALEM, OREGON | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1) OWNER: | (10) LOCATION OF WELL: | | |
| | County Lane Driller's well | number | |
| Name Dick Vandehey | NE 4 NE 4 Section 1 T. 188 | r. 5W | W.M. |
| Address 90570 Nadeau Rd. | Tax Lot # Lot Blk | Subdivision | 1 |
| , | Address at well location: Cantrell Rd. | | |
| (2) TYPE OF WORK (check): | | | |
| New Well 🕱 Deepening 🗆 Reconditioning 🗆 Abandon 🗆 | (11) WATER LEVEL: Completed w | ell. | |
| If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12. | Depth at which water was first found 72 | | ft. |
| (3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check): | Static level 12 ft. below la | and surface. Date | 3-14-8 |
| Rotary Air Driven Domestic Gr Industrial Municipal Dimensional Dug Dug Inrigation Test Well Dother D | | r square inch. Dat | |
| With Jacob Distantion | (12) WELLLOG: Diameter of well below | casing | |
| X Boled I Indula | | completed well | |
| (5) CASING INSTALLED: Steel X Plastic X 8" H018 100' Threaded □ Welded □ 6"Diam. from 26ft. to 26 ft. Gauge | Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and stru- thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer pene- for each change of formation. Report each change in p and indicate principal water-bearing strata. | trated, with at lea | st one entry |
| LINER INSTALLED: | MATERIAL | From To | SWL |
| | Top Soil | 0 1 | |
| | Sonsolidated clay/ | | |
| (6) PERFORATIONS: Perforated? X Yes □ No Type of perforator used 4/4/// torch | fine gravel | 1 18 | 12 |
| | Soft gray shale/blue & | | |
| Size of perforations // pro/if by/ / if B | gray | 18 24 | |
| perforations from | Soft blue/gray shale & | | |
| perforations from | | 24 42 | |
| | -Soft clay/blue/gray | | |
| (7) SCREENS: Well screen installed? | shale | 42 47 | |
| Manufacturer's Name | Blue/gray shale & clay | 47 78 100 | |
| TypePlasticModel No. 160PSI DiamSlot Size/8 Set fromft. toft. | -Blue/gray shale & clay | 10 100 | |
| Diam. Slot Size Set from ft. to ft. | | | |
| (8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level | | | |
| • | | | |
| a pump test made? X Yes □ No If yes, by whom? driller 30 gal/min. with 43 ft. drawdown after 4½ hrs. | ··· | | + |
| 30 gal/min. with 43 ft. drawdown after 4 the hrs. | | | |
| Air test gal/min. with drill stem at ft. hrs. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Air test gal/min. with drill stem at ft. nrs. Bailer test gal/min. with ft. drawdown after hrs. | | | |
| sian flow g.p.m. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| perature of water Depth artesian flow encountered ft. | Work started 3-7/ 19 83 Comple | ted 3-18 | 19 8 |
| • • • • • • • • • | Work started 3_14 19 83 Complete Date well drilling machine moved off of well | 3_18 | 19 82 |
| acmont | Drilling Machine Operator's Certification: | | |
| Well sealed from land surface to | This well was constructed under my direct | supervision. Ma | terials used |
| Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal | and information reported above are true to my | best knowledge | and belief. |
| Diameter of well bore below seal | [Signed Drilling Machine Operator) | Date 4/.4 | , 19 |
| Number of sacks of cement used in well seal | | 7 | |
| How was cement grout placed? pressure grout | | * | |
| | Water Well Contractor's Certification: | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | This well was drilled under my jurisdiction the best of my knowledge and belief. | on and this repo | rt is true to |
| Was pump installed? Type HP ft | Mike's Well Drills | ing | |
| Was a drive shoe used? Yes X No Plugs | (Person, firm or corporation) | leasant | 1111.0 |
| Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No | Address 04051 F100al Lane 12 | ********************* | 97401 |
| Type of Water? depth of strata | [Signed] MAR (Melan | - | |
| Method of sealing strata off Was well gravel packed? Yes rank of Size of gravel: | - Contractor's License No. 620Date | 4-2 | 19 |
| Gravel placed from ft. | - Contractor s License No | | , 10 |
| NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR The original and first copy of this report are to be filed with the | WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT, SALEM, OREGON 97310 within 30 days from the date of well completion. | | SP*12658-690 |

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

| TO: | Water Rights Section | Date | 05/28/2019 |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| FROM: | Groundwater Section | Michael Thoma | |
| | | Reviewer's Name | |
| SUBJECT: | Application G- 18733 | Supersedes review of | |
| | 11 | ¥ | |

Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: ____Apex BioSciencse _____ County: __Lane

| A1. | Applicant(s) seek(s) | 0.655 | _cfs from | 2 | well(s) in the | Willamette | Basin |
|-----|----------------------|-------|-----------|---|----------------|------------|-----------|
| | Long Tom | | | | subbasin | | |

A2. Proposed use Irrigation (52.4 acres) Seasonality: Mar 1 – Oct 31

A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):

| Well | Logid | Applicant's Well # | Proposed Aquifer* Bedrock Bedrock | Proposed Rate(cfs) | Location (T/R-S QQ-Q) | Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 |
|------|------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1 | LANE 17834 | 1 | Bedrock | 0.655 | 18S/05W-01 NENE | 70'S, 530'W of NE cor S 01 |
| 2 | LANE 57466 | 2 | Bedrock | 0.655 | 18S/05W-01 NENE | 1490'S, 570'W of NE cor S 01 |
| 3 | | | | | | 1 |

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

| Well | Well Elev ft msl | First Water ft bls | SWL ft bls | SWL Date | Well Depth (ft) | Seal Interval (ft) | Casing Intervals (ft) | Liner Intervals (ft) | Perforations Or Screens (ft) | Well Yield (gpm) | Draw Down (ft) | Test Type |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 430 | 72 | 12 | 3/14/83 | 100 | 0-18 | 0-26 | 26-100 | 80-100 | 30 | | |
| 2 | 430 | 88 | 31 | 9/13/99 | 110 | 0-19 | +1-19 | - | - | 40 | | А |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. Comments:

A5. Provisions of the <u>Willamette (OAR 690-502)</u> Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are, or \boxtimes are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:

A6. Well(s)

_____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: Comments:

Page

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. will not *or* will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-yr SWL); Medium Water Use Reporting
 - ii.
 The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii.
 The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** There is limited water level data in the area so groundwater over-appropriation cannot be determine and SWL condition is recommended. The nearest permitted groundwater POA is nearly 1 mile to the north of the proposed POAs and groundwater injury is unlikely but Medium Water-Use Reporting is recommended to ascertain that the proposed use is within the capacity of the resource.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

| Well | Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer | Confined | Unconfined |
|------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1 | Fractured Bedrock of Fisher Fm. | \square | |
| 2 | Fractured Bedrock of Fisher Fm. | | |
| | | | |

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Reported 'SWL' is above 'First Water' on many of the well logs for the area.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

| Well | SW # | Surface Water Name | GW Elev ft msl | SW Elev ft msl | Distance (ft) | Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO |
|------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | Coyote Creek | 360 | 370-375 | 5676 | | |
| 2 | 1 | Coyote Creek | 340 | 370-375 | 4908 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Groundwater elevations are near surface water elevations implying that</u> groundwater is flowing between surface water and the adjacent aquifer system.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Long Tom R > Willamette R – AB Mouth (ID# 114)

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

| Well | SW # | Well < ¼ mile? | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw> 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|---------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | 1 | | | None | NA | | 32.10 | \square | << 10% | \square |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments: Stream depletion was estimated using the Hunt (2003) stream-depletion model and hydraulic parameter values in the range of what is expected for the geology of the area. However, given the limited amount of information on aquifer and model parameters (including transmissivity and thickness of alluvial sediments), more-precise estimates of stream-depletion are not reasonable but, assuming that the thickness of alluvial sediments beneath Coyote Creek is greater than 10 ft, stream-depletion is very likely to be less than 10% at 30 days as well as at the end of the irrigation season (244 days).

C3b. **690-09-040** (**4**): Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| SW # | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw> 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|---------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |

Comments:

3

Page

Version: 05/07/2018

4

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| Non-Di | stributed | Wells | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|---------------|------------------|--------|
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| 1 | 1 | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% | < 10% |
| Well Q | as CFS | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Interfere | ence CFS | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Distrib | uted Well | s | | | | | | A CONTRACTOR OF A | | | | | |
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfere | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (A) = Tot | tal Interf. | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 | < 0.07 |
| (B) = 80 | % Nat. Q | 568 | 697 | 596 | 373 | 215 | 105 | 50.6 | 35.4 | 32.1 | 35.3 | 82.5 | 364 |
| (C) = 1 ° | % Nat. Q | 5.68 | 6.97 | 5.96 | 3.73 | 2.15 | 1.05 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.83 | 3.64 |
| (D) = (A) | $\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$ | → 1 | ✓ · | √ | 1 | 1000 - 1000 | √ | anaan √ | | ✓ | C = 1 = 0 = 1 | Searcher and the | 1 |
| (E) = (A / A) | (B) x 100 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation: See comments on C3a for estimates of stream depletion

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)_
- ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
- C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: The applicant's proposed POAs would be producing from an aquifer that has been found to be hydraulically connected to surface water – specifically Coyote Creek at a distance of around 1 mile. POA #2 is within 1 mile of Coyote Creek and the proposed maximum rate of appropriation is greater than 1% of the pertinent adopted perennial streamflow. Per OAR 690-009-0040(4), POA #2 is assumed to have the Potential for Substantial Interference. If the proposed rate were reduced to < 0.32 cfs (144 gpm) the automatic assumption of PSI would not be required.

POA #1 is greater than 1 mile from Coyote Creek and the estimated stream-depletion is less than 1% of the 80%-exceedance flows for the surrounding WAB so POA #1 is not assumed to have the Potential for Substantial Interference.

References Used:

Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. 2014. *Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction of Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin*, Oregon. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136.

Hunt, B. 2003. Unsteady Stream Depletion when Pumping from a Semiconfined Aquifer. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering. Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

McClaughry, J. D., T. J. Wiley, M. L. Ferns, and I. P Madin. 2010. *Digital Geologic Map of the Southern Willamette Valley*, *Benton, Lane, Linn, Marion, and Polk Counties, Oregon.* Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries. Open File Report O-10-13.

OWRD Well Log Database - Accessed 05/28/2019

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

5

Page

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

| D1. | Well #: Logid: |
|-----|---|
| D2. | THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by; c. report of CWRE; d. other: (specify); |
| D3. | THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows: |

D4. D4. Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

| | | | ailability A etailed Reports | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | | AB MOUTH | R > WILLAMETTE R - A WILLAMETTE BASIN | | | |
| | | 019 | Availability as of 5/29/2 | Water | | |
| e Level: 80% • | Excoodance | .010 | rivandonity as of 5/20/2 | (and a second s | ID #: 114 (Map) | Watershed |
| Time: 8:46 AN | Exceedance | | | | 1-/ | Date: 5/29/ |
| TIME: 0.40 AN | | | | | 2013 | Date: 5/2.5/ |
| | | | | | | |
| tions | Reserva | Flow Requirements | torages Instream | Consumptive Uses and S | vailability Calculation | Water Av |
| | haracteristics | Watershed C | | ights | Water F | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | ulation | ullability Cale | Mator A | | |
| | | ulation | ailability Calco | water A | | |
| | | er Second | amflow in Cubic Feet p | Monthly Stre | | |
| | | | e at 50% Exceedance i | | | |
| | CI | | | | ural Straam Flour Consumpt | Month Natu |
| | 1 Flow Requirement No 0 00 | ed Stream Flow Instream 0.00 | 419.00 | ve uses and storages Expe 149.00 | ural Stream Flow Consumpt 568.00 | JAN |
| 419.0 308.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 308.00 | 389.00 | 697.00 | FEB |
| 40.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.40 | 556.00 | 596.00 | MAR |
| 40.4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 123.00 | 250.00 | 373.00 | APR |
| 123.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 151.00 | 64 40 | 215.00 | MAY |
| 74.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 74 90 | 30.10 | 105 00 | JUN |
| 2.2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2 23 | 48 40 | 50 60 | JUL |
| -3.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3 95 | 39.30 | 35.40 | AUG |
| -3.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -5.95 | 22.00 | 32.10 | SEP |
| 29.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29 00 | 6.28 | 35.30 | OCT |
| 76.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 76.50 | 6.02 | 82.50 | NOV |
| 258.0 | | | | | | |
| 262.000.0 | | | | | | |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 258.00 262,000.00 | 106.00 99,700.00 | 364.00 362,000.00 | DEC ANN |

Well Location Map



6

Stream-Depletion Model Results

(Scenario 3 values predict maximum probable stream-depletion)

7⁄8 PyHunt stream depletion analysis tool

| Application type: | G | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Application number: | 18733 | | | |
| Well number: | 1 | | | |
| Stream Number: | 1 | | | |
| Pumping rate (cfs): | 0.66 | | | |
| Pumping duration (days): | 244.0 | | | |
| Pumping start month number (3=March) | 3.0 | | | |

| Parameter | Symbol | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Units |
|--|--------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Distance from well to stream | а | 4910 | 4910 | 4910 | ft |
| Aquifer transmissivity | Т | 600 | 600 | 2000 | ft2/day |
| Aquifer storativity | S | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | - |
| Aquitard vertical hydraulic conductivity | Kva | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.005 | ft/day |
| Aquitard saturated thickness | ba | 15 | 15 | 15 | ft |
| Aquitard thickness below stream | babs | 10 | 10 | 10 | ft |
| Aquitard specific yield | Sya | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.001 | - |
| Stream width | ws | 50 | 50 | 50 | ft |

| Stream | don | lation | for | Scon | aria | 2. |
|--------|-----|--------|-----|-------|------|----|
| Sucam | ucp | ICLIUT | 101 | SCEII | anu | 6 |

| Days | 10 | 330 | 360 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 240 | 270 | 300 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Depletion (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Depletion (cfs) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



7