Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 18842	
GW Reviewer J. Hackett	Date Review Completed: 8/26/2019
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review	
	over appropriated, will not likely be available in the rrights, OR will not likely be available within the in B of the attached review form.
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interferen	nce Review:
[] There is the potential for substantial interfer	ence per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:	
[] The well does not appear to meet current we review form. Route through Well Construction	ell construction standards per Section D of the attached and Compliance Section.
This is only a summary. Documentation is attact basis for determinations and for conditions that	hed and should be read thoroughly to understand the may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	Ю							August	1 26	_,20_/	3
TO:		Applica	ation G	- 188	42						
FRO	M:	GW: _		lacket er's Name			-				
SUBJ	ECT: S	cenic W	aterwa	y Inter	ferenc	e Evalua	tion				
	YES NO	The sou	arce of a	appropri	ation i	s within	or above	e a Scen	ic Wate	rway	
	YES NO	Use the	Scenic	Waterv	vay cor	ndition (C	Conditio	on 7J)			
	interfe		ith sur	face wa	ater th	r Sectional contributions of the section of the sec				_	
	the De	rence w epartme he pro	ith surf ent is u posed	ace wate nable to use wi	er that o find ll me a	Section contributhat the surably ving cha	tes to a ere is a reduce	scenic prepone the s	waterw deranc surface	ay; then e of ev water	refore, idence
Calculation calculation information Exercised Water	RIBUTION At the period of the state of the two states are the stat	rcentage of criteria in Rights the is permitthe follow	of consum n 390.83. at the De t is calc owing a	nptive use 5, do not partment tulated to mounts	by more fill in is unable oreduced	the table to make to month	but check a Prepon ly flows	k the "ur derance o	able" op of Eviden	otion abo	ve, thus
	surface		low is re	educed.							
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:			Rights Secti						Date .		August	26, 2019		
FROM	:	Ground	dwater Secti	on		J. Hacke	ett ver's Nam					- · ·		
SUBJE	CT:	Applic	ation G- <u>188</u>	342					ew of		D	ate of Revi	ew(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to determ the press	safety and mine whet umption co	0 (1) The description of the des	PRESUME ne Department n as described presumption This review RMATION	nt shall product of in ORS 5 is establis is based u	esume that 6 37.525. De hed. OAR 6 pon availa	a p <mark>roposed</mark> partment s 690-310-14 ble inforn	d ground staff rev 40 allow nation a	iew g ws the and a	groundwater e proposed us	applica se be me ies in pl	tions undodified of lace at t	der OAR or conditi	690-310 oned to r	-140 neet tion .
A1.	Applican	t(s) see	k(s) <u>1.0</u>	_ cfs from	1	well(s)			Umatilla					Basin,
A2.			Industr									nder logi	q).	
Well 1 2 3	Logic	i	Applicant's Well #	Propose	ed Aquifer*	Propo Rate(o	sed cfs)		Location (T/R-S QQ-Q 5N/29E-23 NW-	2)	Location 2250' N	n, metes a I, 1200' E : S, 2145' W	and bound fr NW cor	S 36
4 5 * Alluviu	ım, CRB, E	Bedrock												
Well	Well Elev ft msl 469	First Water ft bls	f SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 600 est.	Seal Interval (ft)	Casi Interv (ft)	vals)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Or S	rations creens ft) ?	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
Use data	from appli	cation fo	or proposed we	ells.										
A4.	Commen	nts:												
A5. 🛛	managen (Not all b Commen	nent of pasin ru	he <u>Umatilla l</u> groundwater les contain so e proposed we nnected to su	hydraulica ach provis ell, if prop	ally connections.) serly cased a	ted to surfa and sealed	into a s	er [are, or	are not	, activat	ed by this	s applicat	tion.
A6. 🗌	Name of	admini	strative area:											
	-													

Version: 05/07/2018

Date: August 26, 2019

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N; Large water-use reporting; The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the Group groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	 Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
Gro	undwater availability remarks:
The	applicant's proposed well is located in an area that contains basalt flows of the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG)
	rubble zones (interflow zones) at the contacts between lava flows. The interiors of the basalt flows generally have low
	osity and permeability and act as confining beds. This geometry generally produces a stack of thin aquifers (interflow
	es) separated by thick confining beds (flow interiors). The low permeability of the basalt flow interiors probably limits the
natu	ral vertical connection between overlying aquifers.
prop of ap likel	ricial geologic mapping (Madin and Geitgy, 2007) and geologic cross-sections (Wozniak, 1995) indicate that the bosed well should encounter the Umatilla Member of the Saddle Mountains Basalt Formation from land surface to a depth approximately 70 feet (elevation 470 to 400 feet above mean sea level (msl)). Beneath the Umatilla Member, the well will be encounter multiple flows of the Frenchman Springs Member of the Wanapum Basalt Formation. Locally, the total leaves of the Frenchman Springs Member of the Wanapum Basalt Formation.
	kness of the Frenchman Springs Member is approximately 700 feet and is found between elevations of 400 feet above and 300 feet below msl.
UM and	ler's logs for nearby wells report multiple water-bearing zones (WBZs) in the Frenchman Springs Member (see logs for AT 5255, UMAT 55889, and UMAT 57027). An upper WBZ is found between elevations of 100 and 200 feet above msl a lower WBZ is found between elevations of 100 and 200 feet below msl. Production from the upper WBZ is limited to 10 gallons per minute (appr), while wells producing from the lower WBZ report yields ranging from 150,400 gaps.

Page

3

The applicant has not proposed a case and seal depth on the proposed POA and has requested a maximum pumping rate of 448.8 gpm (1 cubic foot per second). Both the proposed construction and the requested rate raise several concerns. First, the proposed construction will not meet current OWRD well construction standards as it will allow commingling of the upper and lower WBZs. Also, the requested maximum pumping rate will not likely be available from the upper WBZ as no wells currently completed in the upper WBZ report yields greater than 40 gpm, and some wells report yields of less than 10 gpm. In order to protect the groundwater resource and nearby groundwater users, I recommend the following conditions:

Special Condition #1:

Groundwater production in any well drilled under this permit shall be limited to a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt Group lavas. The well(s) shall be cased and sealed into hard basalt below an elevation of approximately 100 feet below mean sea level or cased and sealed to sufficient depth to ensure that the open interval is no shallower than the deeper water-bearing zone in the Frenchman Springs Member of the Columbia River Basalt Group. The open interval in the well(s) shall be no greater than 100 feet except as noted below. Open interval means the total length of borehole that is not behind sealed casing. The borehole above the open interval shall be continuously cased and sealed to land surface. A larger open interval may be approved by the Department if the applicant can demonstrate, using packer tests or other suitable methods, that the hydraulic heads of water-bearing zones in the proposed open interval are equivalent or if the applicant can demonstrate that the open interval is part of a continuous zone of interconnected porous materials such as a sequence of pillow lavas or a hyaloclastite complex.

Special Condition #2:	
The permittee shall instruct the well constructor t	o contact the Ground Water Section of the Water Resources
Department prior to drilling the well to arrange for	or the collection of drill cuttings.
	<u>'</u>

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer co	C1.	690-09-040	(1) :	Evaluation	of aquifer	confinement:
---	-----	------------	--------------	------------	------------	--------------

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Columbia River Basalt*	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>CRBG</u> aquifers are generally under confined conditions in this area, particularly aquifers in deeper basalt flows that do not outcrop nearby. Well logs from nearby CRBG wells show static water levels much higher than depths were water is encountered (see UMAT 55889) indicating confined conditions.

* This evaluation assumes that the well will be constructed as listed in the conditions B2(c).

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ½ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Cold Springs Wash	340	400-350	2200		
1	2	Columbia River	340	340	5270		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The proposed well will be conditioned to produce from a single CRBG aquifer that will be several hundred feet below the base of both Cold Springs Wash and the Columbia River and so not hydraulically connected.

W	ater A	Availab	oility	Basin t	he we	ll(s)	are	located	within:
---	--------	---------	--------	---------	-------	-------	-----	---------	---------

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
				8						

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

8	SW #		w > cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
]								
		[
ommen	its:									

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	stributed SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
VVCII	3 γγ π	%	%	%	Apr %	wiay %	%	%	Aug %	%	%	%	9
Well Q	os CEC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	nce CFS									7			
Interrete	nce Cr3						and state of the second					10.00	a second
Distribu	ited Wells	S											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS		1										
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q													
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
(A) = Tot	tal Intenf												
	% Nat. Q												
$(C) = 1^{-6}$	% Nat. Q	,											
(D) - (A) > (C)	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	V	√	✓	√
(D) - U													

Version: 05/07/2018

	at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
Basis for impact evaluation:	
. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or de Rights Section.	trimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wa
☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s under this permit can be regulated if it is found to su i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s	
	ition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:	
	4
References Used: Madin, I. P. and R. P. Geitgey, 2007 Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of Counties	
Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of C	
Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of C Industries.	Oregon – Dept. of Geology And Mineral
Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of Counties. "Columbia River Basalt Stratigraphy in the Pacific North	Oregon – Dept. of Geology And Mineral hwest". USGS – Oregon Water Science Center website.
Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of C Industries.	hwest". USGS – Oregon Water Science Center website.
Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of Conductives. "Columbia River Basalt Stratigraphy in the Pacific North http://or.water.usgs.gov/projs_dir/crbg/. Accessed July 2012.	hwest". USGS – Oregon Water Science Center website.
Counties, Oregon. Open-File Report O-07-17. State of Counties. "Columbia River Basalt Stratigraphy in the Pacific North http://or.water.usgs.gov/projs_dir/crbg/. Accessed July 2 Wozniak, K.C., 1995 Chapter 2: Hydrogeology of the L	Oregon – Dept. of Geology And Mineral hwest". USGS – Oregon Water Science Center website.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #: Logi	id:
D2.	c. report of CWRE d. other: (specify)	nt well construction standards based upon: ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency or othe	er comment is described as follows:
D4.	Route to the Well Construction and Compli	liance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Water Availability Tables

Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

COLD SPRINGS WASH > COLUMBIA R - AT MOUTH ÚMATILLA BASIN

Water Availability as of 7/30/2019

Watershed ID #: 30710340 (Map)

Exceedance Level:

Date: August 26, 2019

80% 🔻

Date: 7/30/2019

Time: 3:52 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available	
JAN	0.71	0.22	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.49	
FEB	3.26	0.78	2.48	0.00	0.00	2.48	
MAR	8.64	2.78	5.86	0.00	0.00	5.86	
APR	2.05	2.54	-0.49	0.00	0.00	-0.49	
MAY	0.29	3.42	-3.13	0.00	0.00	-3.13	
JUN	0.11	4.11	-4.00	0.00	0.00	-4.00	
JUL	0.06	4.87	-4.81	0.00	0.00	-4.81	
AUG	0.02	4.14	-4.12	0.00	0.00	-4.12	
SEP	0.00	2.74	-2.74	0.00	0.00	-2.74	
OCT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
NOV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
DEC	0.15	0.09	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.06	
ANN	4,620.00	1,560.00	4,180.00	0.00	0.00	4,180.00	

Date: August 26, 2019

