Approved: The H

Мемо

To: Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager

From: Travis Kelly, Well Construction Program Coordinator

Subject: Review of Water Right Application G-19026

Date: December 7, 2020

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by the Groundwater Section. Aurora Bouchier reviewed the application. Please see Aurora's Groundwater Review and the Well Report.

Applicant's Well #1 (JEFF 325): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 seems to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Applicant's Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR The original and first copy of this report are to be filed with the

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT. SALEM, OREGON 97310 within 30 days from the date of well completion.

WATER WELL REPORT UEIVED

STATE OF OREGON AUG 5 1981 State Well No. A.C.

(Please type or WATER RESOURCES DEPLormit No. (Do not write above this lingALEM. OREGON

(1) OWNER.

Name Homest	and Inventme		
Address 120/1 C		nt Co.	County]
LCU4 U	S.E. 34		
<u>Madras</u>	<u>, Oregon</u>		Bearing an
(2) TYPE OF	WORK (check):	
New Well 🚺 🛛 D	eepening 🗌 🛛 Re	econditioning 📋 🛛 Abandon 🗌	
If abandonment, des	cribe material and j	procedure in Item 12.	(11) WA
(3) TYPE OF	WELL: (4) P	ROPOSED USE (check):	Depth at w
Rotary 🕅 Drive			
Cable 🗍 Jetted Dug 🗍 Bored	1 []	tic 🔀 Industrial 🗋 Municipal 🗌 ion 🗌 Test Well 🗋 Other 🗌	Static level
Dug [] Dored			Artesian pr
(CASING II	NSTALLED:	Threaded 🔲 🛛 Welded 🙀	(12) W
		o 122 ft. Gage . 250	Depth drill
6 " Diam. fro	m 110 ft. t	o 250 ft. Gage	Formation:
Diam. fro	m ft. t	o ft. Gage	and show
PERFORA	TIONS.		with at leas
		Perforated? 🛛 Yes 📋 No.	
_ pe of perforator u			
Size of perforations		by 6 in.	topsoi
		23 ft. to 182 ft.	brown
perf	orations from	ft. to ft.	blue c
perf	orations from	ft. to ft.	_clayst
(7) SCREENS:	Well screen	installed? 🗌 Yes 🙀 No	_rock-d
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>gray</u> c
			browni
-		m ft. to ft.	
		m ft. to ft.	
(8) WELL TES	STS: Drawdo lowered	own is amount water level is d below static level	
(8) WELL TES Was a pump test ma Yield: 52	ade? [] Yes [X No	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom?	
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52	ade? [] Yes [X No	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom?	
Was a pump test ma	ade? [] Yes []X No gal./min. with [10]	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.	
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52	ade? <u>Ves</u> XNo gal/min. with to "	wn is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft drawdown after 1½ hrs. " "	
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test	ade? ] Yes ]X No gal./min. with to " gal./min. with	wn is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft drawdown after 1½ hrs. " "	
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " gal./min. with gal./min. with g.p.m.	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs. """ ft. drawdown after hrs.	
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " gal./min. with gal./min. with g.p.m.	wn is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft drawdown after 1½ hrs. " "	Work starte
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs. """ ft. drawdown after hrs.	
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRU(	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes	wn is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs. """ ft. drawdown after hrs. tan flow encountered ft.	Date well d
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of water (9) CONSTRU( Well scal-Material	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used CEMENT	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs. """ ft. drawdown after hrs. tan flow encountered ft.	Date well of <b>Drilling N</b> This
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used CEMERT	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs. """ ft. drawdown after hrs. tan flow encountered ft. 20 ft.	Date well of Drilling M This Materials
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRU( Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well bo	ade? Yes X No gal /min. with to gal /min. with to gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used CEMENT nd surface to re to bottom of seal	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         "         ft. drawdown after hrs.         itan flow encountered ft.         20       ft.         1       12         in.	Date well of Drilling M This Materials best know
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well bo Diameter of well bo	ade? Yes X No gal /min. with to gal /min. with to gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used <u>CEMENt</u> nd surface to free re to bottom of seal re below seal 8	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         "         ft. drawdown after hrs.         itan flow encountered ft.         20       ft.         1       12         in.	Date well of Drilling M This Materials best know
Was a pump test me Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRUE Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well boo Diameter of well boo Number of sacks of	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used CEMENT nd surface to re to bottom of seal re below seal 8 cement used in wel	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         "         ft. drawdown after hrs.         itan flow encountered ft.         20       ft.         1       12         in.       in.	Date well of Drilling M This Materials best know [Signed]
Was a pump test may Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wath (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well box Diameter of well box Number of sacks of How was cement gr	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used CEMERt ad surface to re to bottom of seal re below seal A cement used in wel rout placed? DI	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         ft. drawdown after hrs.         ft. drawdown after hrs.         in.         12         in.         il seal       18         sacks	Date well of Drilling M Materials best know [Signed] . Drilling M
Was a pump test may Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well boo Diameter of well boo Number of sacks of How was cement gr	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	own is amount water level is d below static level If yes, by whom? talt drawdown after 1½ hrs. """" ft. drawdown after hrs. itan flow encountered ft. 20 ft. 1 12 in. in. 11 seal 18 sacks umped	Date well of Drilling M Materials best know [Signed] . Drilling M Water We
Was a pump test may Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wath (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well boo Diameter of well boo Number of sacks of How was cement gr	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talt. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         "         ft. drawdown after hrs.         ft. drawdown after hrs.         ian flow encountered ft.         20       ft.         1       12       in.         in.       in.         il seal       18       sacks         umped       sacks       sacks	Date well of Drilling M Materials best know [Signed] . Drilling M Water Well This y
Was a pump test may Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wath (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well boo Diameter of well boo Number of sacks of How was cement gr	ade? Yes X No gal./min. with to " gal./min. with to " gal./min. with to gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used CEMENT to surface to re to bottom of seal re below seal	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	Date well of Drilling M Materials best know [Signed] . Drilling M Water We This y true to the
Was a pump test may Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wath (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well box Diameter of well box Number of sacks of How was cement gr Was a drive shoe use	ade? <u>Yes</u> <u>X</u> No gal./min. with <u>to</u> " " gal./min. with <u>to</u> " " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used <u>Cement</u> nd surface to <u>re</u> to bottom of seal re below seal <u>B</u> cement used in well rout placed? <u>D</u> I	own is amount water level is         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         ft. drawdown after hrs.         ft. drawdown after hrs.         ian flow encountered ft.         20         in.	Date well d Drilling M This Materials best know [Signed] . Drilling M Water Wel This v true to the Name
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRU( Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well bo: Diameter of well bo: Number of sacks of How was cement gr Was a drive shoe use Did any strata conta	ade? [] Yes []X No gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with g.p.m. er Depth artes CTION: used <u>CEMENt</u> nd surface to re to bottom of sea re below seal	own is amount water level is         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         ft. drawdown after hrs.         ft. drawdown after hrs.         ian flow encountered ft.         20         in.	Date well d Drilling M This Materials best know [Signed] . Drilling M Water Wel This v true to the Name
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well bo Diameter of well bo Number of sacks of How was cement gr Was a drive shoe us Did any strata conta Type of water?	ade? Yes XNo gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after $1\frac{1}{2}$ hrs.         "         "         ft. drawdown after         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         "         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         "         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         ft. drawdown after         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         in         in.         in.	Date well d Drilling M This M Materials best know [Signed] . Drilling M Water Wel This v true to the Name
Was a pump test may Yield: 52 " Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wate (9) CONSTRUC Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well bo Diameter of well bo Number of sacks of How was cement gr Was a drive shoe use Did any strata conta Type of water? Method of sealing s	ade? Yes XNo gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " gal./min. with to " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after 1½ hrs.         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         "         tan flow encountered         ft.         12         in.         in.         in.         iseal         18         sacks         ump.ed         Plugs         Size: location         ft.         'Yes         XNo         pth of strata	Date well d Drilling M This y Materials best know [Signed] J Drilling M Water Well This y true to the Name
Was a pump test ma Yield: 52 Bailer test Artesian flow perature of wata (9) CONSTRU( Well scal—Material Well scaled from lar Diameter of well bo: Diameter of well bo: Number of sacks of How was cement gr Was a drive shoe use Did any strata conta Type of water? Method of sealing s Was well gravel pact	ade? <u>Yes</u> <u>X</u> No gal./min. with <u>to</u> " " " gal./min. with <u>to</u> " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	own is amount water level is         d below static level         If yes, by whom?         talft. drawdown after $1\frac{1}{2}$ hrs.         "         "         ft. drawdown after         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         "         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         "         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         ft. drawdown after         ft. drawdown after         hrs.         in         in.         in.	Work starte Date well d Drilling M This y Materials best know [Signed] 4 Drilling M Water Wel This y true to the Name

(10) LOCATION OF WELL: Driller's well number D-226-79 <u>fferson</u> r.13E 5.W.¹/₄ Section 6 т.105 W.M. distance from section or subdivision corner **TER LEVEL:** Completed well. ich water was first found 123 ft. ft. below land surface. Date 5-10-79 80 lbs. per square inch. Date sure LL LOG: 8 Diameter of well below casing .... ft. Depth of completed well 250 ft. 250 Describe color, texture, grain size and structure of materials; ickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer penetrated, one entry for each change of formation. Report each change in tatic Water Level and indicate principal water-bearing strata. MATERIAL From То SWL 3 0 3 68 lay 68 84 ay 84 123 ne gray comp_clay_water 123 182 80 182 218 avstone 218 250 h blue clay 5-2 1979 Completed 5-10 1979 5-10 1989 lling machine moved off of well chine Operator's Certification: ell was constructed under my direct supervision. sed and information reported above are true to my dge and belief. 1 West Date 5-11 ...., 19.79 - 20 - 10

[orgneu]	j <b>/</b> (Di	illing Machine	Operato	<b>7</b>	Date	«,	19.4.4.
		Operator's					

#### **Contractor's Certification:**

ll was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is best of my knowledge and belief.

Name S. & M. Drill (Person, firm of	Ling. &	Supply,	Inc.	or print)
Address 399 S.E. Wa	alnut,	Canby,	Oregon	97013
[Signed]	water	Well Contra	etor)	·
Contractor's License No.	497	Date	5-11	, ₁₉ 79
				07045050.110

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

SP*45656-119

# **Groundwater Application Review Summary Form**

Application # G- <u>19026</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Aurora C Bouchier</u> Date Review Completed: <u>11/10/2020</u>

#### Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

#### Within USGS Groundwater Study Area

#### Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

#### Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

☐ The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

## WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

November 10, 2020

**TO:** Application G- <u>19026</u>

FROM: <u>GW: Aurora C Bouchier</u> (Reviewer's Name)

# SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference & General/Local Surface Water Evaluation for Deschutes Ground Water Study Area

The source of appropriation is within or above the <u>Deschutes</u> Scenic Waterway

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J).

## PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE FINDING UNDER ORS 390.835:

Department has found that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use of groundwater will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of the <u>Deschutes</u> Scenic Waterway in quantities necessary for recreation, fish and wildlife.

#### LOCALIZED IMPACT FINDING

☐ The proposed use of groundwater will have a localized impact to surface water in the _____ River/Creek Subbasin.

If the localized impact box above is checked, then the water use under any right issued pursuant to this application is presumed to have a localized impact on surface water within the identified subbasin. Mitigation of the impact, originating from within the Local Zone of Impact identified by the Department, will be required before a permit may be issued for the proposed use.

If the localized impact box above is not checked, then the water use under any right issued pursuant to this application is presumed to have a general (regional) impact on surface water. Mitigation of the impact, originating anywhere within the Deschutes Basin above the Madras gage, will be required before a permit may be issued for the proposed use.

# PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	Water Rights Section	Dat	te	11/10/2020	
FROM:	Groundwater Section	Aurora C Bouchier			
		Reviewer's Name			
SUBJECT:	Application G- <b>19026</b>	Supersedes review of na			

Date of Review(s)

#### **PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION: GROUNDWATER**

**OAR 690-310-130 (1)** The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.

## A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Hettervig Properties LLC County: Jefferson

A1.	Applicant(s) seek(s)	0.25	_cfs from _	1	_well(s) in the	Deschutes		Basin,
	Lower Deschu	ites (Gei	<u>neral ZOI)</u>		subbasin			

Proposed use Storage/Multipurpose Seasonality: year round A2.

#### Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3.

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36	
1	JEFF 325	1	Bedrock	0.25	10S/13E-6 NW-SW	1060' S, 460'E fr W ¼ Cor S 6	
2							

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

	Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
	1	1578	123	80*	5/10/1979	250	0-20	-1-122	110-250	123-182	52	Total**	Р
ſ													

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. Comments: <u>* The static water level listed on the well log is 80 feet bls</u>. JEFF 325 has been monitored by OWRD since the mid 1990's through present over which the water level has ranged between ~98 and ~93 feet bls. ** The pump test listed on the well log lists 'total' drawdown after 1.5 hrs pumping at 52 gpm.

This application appears to be for year-round storage and multipurpose use of up to 4 AF from JEFF 325. The application map includes a second map indicating that JEFF 325 is intended to be used for application G-18406. It should be noted that application G-18406 (submitted in November 2016) has not yet been approved. Application G-18406 proposed using JEFF 51162 and a second well which had not been drilled at that time. The maps associated with application G-18406 were inconsistent and incredibly vague. The second map included with this application is more precise as to the land that is intended to be irrigated, presumably under application G-18406. Based on Google Earth imagery, it appears that irrigation has begun on a portion of the land to be irrigated under app G-18406 at some point between 4/19/2015 and 8/20/2017 (see images below).

A5. A Provisions of the Deschutes Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or

management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water  $\boxtimes$  are, or  $\square$  are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: Within the USGS Deschutes Groundwater Study Area Boundary and subject to Division 690-505-0500 to 0620.

A6. Well(s) #, Name of administrative are	,,,	,, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
Comments:		

4

#### B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>* for the proposed use:
  - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c.  $\square$  will not or  $\square$  will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
  - d. uill, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
    - i.  $\square$  The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N, 7J
    - ii.  $\Box$  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii.  $\Box$  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the ______ groundwater reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

#### B3. Groundwater availability remarks:

The applicant's proposed well, JEFF 325, has been monitored periodically since the mid 1990's through present. Between 2006 and 2017 the water level in JEFF 325 rose approximately 5 feet. However, since 2017 the water level dropped approximately 3.5 feet and the well was found to be pumping during the fall 2020 site visit. The hydrograph for JEFF 325 shows fluctuations which are likely driven by climate cycles, although long-term effects from the filling of Lake Billy Chinook / Lake Simtustus / Pelton Regulating Reservoir may be contributing to the overall increase in water level and recent pumping likely contributing to the recent decline.

#### Page

5

#### C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

The USGS Deschutes groundwater study concludes that groundwater and surface water are directly linked within the DGWSA, with virtually the entire flow of the Deschutes River at Madras supplied by groundwater discharge during the summer and early fall (Gannett et al., 2001). Therefore, the following sections of groundwater reviews are not required to establish surface water groundwater connections.

#### C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Not required to be evaluated within the DGWSA

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? NO ASSUMED		Potentia Subst. In Assum YES	terfer.

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Not required to be evaluated within the DGWSA

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Not required to be evaluated within the DGWSA

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖂 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¹ /4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference (2) 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: Not required to be evaluated within the DGWSA

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Wells	5											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	(
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS			-					_				
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	(
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (	(A) > (C)	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$							
	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation: Not required to be evaluated within the DGWSA

# C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
  - i.  $\Box$  The permit should contain condition #(s)
  - ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
- C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: <u>The USGS Deschutes groundwater study concludes that groundwater and surface water</u> are directly linked within the DGWSA, with virtually the entire flow of the Deschutes River at Madras supplied by groundwater discharge during the summer and early fall (Gannett et al., 2001). Management rules within the DGWSA (OAR Division 690-505-0500 to 0620) were crafted to allow a limited number of additional groundwater permits to be granted while still maintaining the Deschutes River Oregon Scenic Waterway/Federal Wild and Scenic River.

References Used: Application file: G-19026 and associated application G-18406.

Gannett, Marshall W., Lite, Kenneth E. Jr., Morgan, David S., and Collins, Charles A., 2001, Ground-Water Hydrology of the Upper Deschutes Basin, Oregon; U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 00-4162.

Gannett, Marshall W., and Lite, Kenneth E. Jr., 2004, Simulation of the Regional Ground-Water Flow in the Upper Deschutes Basin, Oregon; U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4195.

Lite, Kenneth E. Jr., and Gannett, Marshall W., 2002, Geologic Framework of the Regional Ground-Water Flow System in the Upper Deschutes Basin, Oregon; U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4015.

OWRD Well Log database and groundwater-level data.

Smith, G.A., 1987, Geologic map of the Madras West and Madras East quadrangle, Jefferson County, Oregon, Geologic Map Series GMS 45, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Portland, OR., map scale 1:24,000.

8

Page

#### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

	_	<u> </u>	0 -	JEFF 325	tandards based upon.				
THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:									
a.		review of the well log	•						
b.		field inspection by							
TE	IE W	ELL construction de	ficiency or other co	omment is describ	ed as follows:				

D4. D4. Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

#### Water-Level Measurements in JEFF 325



Page

# Well Location Map



# Google Earth Imagery (4/19/2015)

