Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>19006</u>

GW Reviewer __Joe Kemper__ Date Review Completed: __4/9/2021__

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

L The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

4/9/2021

TO: Application G- 19006

FROM: GW: <u>Joe Kemper</u> (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

- ✓ YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- ☑ YES☑ Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>Illinois/Rogue</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 |

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

| TO: FROM | : | Water F Ground | Rights Sect water Sect | ion ion | | Joe Ken | nper | | Date | | 4/9/202 | 1 | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| | CT. | Applie | tion C 1 | 0006 | c | Review | wer's Nam | ie | f 10/16/2020 | | | | | |
| 20BJE | | Applica | uon G- <u>1</u> | 9006_ | 2 | supersede | s review | w oi | 1 _ 10/ 16/ 2020 |) | E | Date of Revi | ew(s) | |
| PUBLI OAR 69 <i>welfare,</i> to detern the pres | C INTE 00-310-13 safety an nine when umption c | REST 1 0 (1) Tha d health ther the p criteria. T | PRESUMI e Departme as describe presumption his review | PTION; (nt shall pre d in ORS 5 is establis is based u | GROUND esume that 37.525. De hed. OAR pon availa | WATER a proposed partment s 690-310-14 ble inform | d ground staff rev 40 allow nation a | <i>dwan</i> iew vs th and | ter use will en groundwater ie proposed us agency polici | a <i>sure th</i> applica se be m i es in p | e preser tions un odified lace at t | <i>vation of</i> der OAR or conditi t he time of | <i>the publi</i> 690-310 ioned to r of evalua | <i>c</i> -140 neet tion . |
| A. <u>GE</u> | NERAL | INFOR | MATION | : App | plicant's Na | ame: <u> </u> | <u>Iolland</u> | Loc | op Holdings | | Co | ounty: <u>]</u> | losephine | <u>e</u> |
| A1. | Applicar | nt(s) seek | (s) <u>0.06</u> | _cfs from | 1 | well(s |) in the | | Rogue | | | | | Basin, |
| A2. | Proposed use <u>Nursery (4.8 acres)</u> | | | | es) | Seasonality: Year Round | | | | | | | | |
| A3. | Well and | l aquifer | data (attac l | n and num | ber logs fo | or existing | wells; | mar | k proposed v | vells as | such u | nder logi | d): | |
| Well | Logid Applicant's Well # Proposed Aquifer* | | | | Propo Rate(o | esed efs) | | Location (T/R-S QQ-Q |)) | Location 2250' N | n, metes a I, 1200' E | and bounds fr NW cor | s, e.g. S 36 | |
| 1 2 | JOSE 56 | 5010 | 1 | All | luvium | 0.00 | 6 | | 40S/8W-1 SE-S | SE | 1243' S, 474' W fr NE cor TL 1550 | | | |
| 3 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Alluviu | ım, CRB, I | Bedrock | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | Well Elev ft msl 1407 | First Water ft bls 75 | SWL ft bls 22* | SWL Date 7/24/2004 | Well Depth (ft) 120 | Seal Interval (ft) 0-21 | Casin Interv (ft) 0-12 | ng rals 0 | Liner Intervals (ft) na | Perfo Or S (80 | rations creens ft) -100 | Well Yield (gpm) 75 | Draw Down (ft) | Test Type Air |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use data | from appli | cation for | proposed w | ells. | | | | | | | | | | |
| A4. | Comme | nts: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A5. 🛛 | Provisio manager (Not all Commer | ns of the nent of g basin rule hts: <u>The</u> | e <u>Rogue (O</u> roundwater es contain s <u>Rogue basin</u> | AR 690-51 hydraulica uch provisi n rules con | 15) ally connec ions.) tain no suc | ted to surfa | Basin ace wate | n rul er [| are, <i>or</i> ⊠ | the dev are no | velopmen t, activat | nt, classif ted by thi | ication and s applicat | nd/or tion. |
| A6. 🗌 | Well(s) # Name of Commer | # adminis nts: | trative areas | , | , | , | , | tap | (s) an aquifer | limited | l by an a | dministra | ative restr | iction. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

4

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. \Box will not or \Box will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. X The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, 7J, Medium water use reporting
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the ______ groundwater reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
 - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Groundwater availability remarks: The applicant's well accesses 120 feet of unconsolidated alluvium of the Illinois River valley. Drillers' logs from adjacent wells indicate a 10-40 feet thick layer of clay/silt at the surface, underlain by sands and gravels in a clay matrix. The most productive aquifer zones may be hosted in discrete, discontinuous deposits of coarse sediment within the overall fine-grained sediment. Well yields are low to moderate (median for TRS 40S/8W-1 = 35 gpm). Two observation wells ~0.5 miles to the northwest show that water levels in the target aquifer are shallow, fluctuate 5-10 feet seasonally, and show some response to climatic variations. These water level trends do not show evidence that the aquifer is overappropriated at this time.

There are approximately 5 permitted wells within a mile of the applicant's well, the closest of which is ~1350 feet away. Adjacent tax lot 1500 to the west is likely supplied by an exempt well, estimated to be 650-1200 feet from the applicant's well, posing the highest risk to senior groundwater users. Given the moderate aquifer transmissivity, low requested rate, and the relative distances between wells, the proposed use is not likely to cause injury to senior groundwater users.

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

| Well | Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer | Confined | Unconfined |
|------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 1 | Alluvium of Illinois River Valley | X | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The applicant's well appears to produces water from discrete zones of coarse</u> sediment interbedded within predominately clay rich deposits. Drillers' logs also indicate 10-40 feet of silt/clay at the surface, overlying more productive zones. The result is an aquifer system that is increasingly confined with depth.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

| Well | SW # | Surface Water Name | Surface Water NameGW Elev ft mslSW Elev | | I | Hydrau Conne | lically cted? | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? | | |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---|-----|-------------|
| | | | it illsi | 11 11151 | | 1ES | 5 NU ASSUMED | | YES | NO |
| 1 | 1 | Althouse Creek | 1385- | 1392 | 1215 | Ø | | | | \boxtimes |
| | | | 1395* | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | Sucker Creek | 1385- | 1387 | 3590 | \boxtimes | | | | \boxtimes |
| | | | 1395* | | | | | | | |
| <mark>1</mark> | <mark>3</mark> | Democrat Gulch** | <mark>1385-</mark> | <mark>1391</mark> | <mark>575</mark> | | | | | |
| _ | | | <mark>1395*</mark> | | | | | | | |

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Groundwater elevations in an unconsolidated alluvial aquifer are</u> coincident with adjacent streams that flow over these sediments. Thus, water can flow between the surface water source and the target aquifer. The clay horizons that are present may impact the timing of pumping impacts to streams, but it is unlikely that they isolate the stream from hydraulic connection.

*The reported SWL is taken from a well log. These measurements are often recovering from well construction, development, and capacity testing, making the WL measurement deeper than true aquifer conditions.
**According to field investigations by OWRD and ODFW staff, Democrat Gulch appears to lose flow to the groundwater system upon leaving its headwater canyon, then eventually incises sufficiently to become a more persistent stream closer to its confluence with Althouse Creek.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>ALTHOUSE CR > E FK ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH</u>; impacts also considered for SUCKER CR > E FK ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

| Well | SW # | Well < ¼ mile? | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|---------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 1 | \boxtimes | | IS69810A | 34 | | 6.2 | | <10 | \boxtimes |
| 1 | 2 | | | IS69808A | 54 | | 25.9 | | <10 | |
| 1 | 3 | \boxtimes | | NA | NA | | 6.2 | | <10 | Ø |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| SW # | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|---------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Comments: Stream depletion after 30 days of pumping is estimated with the Hunt (2003) analytical model using aquifer parameters representative of the site geology.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| Non-D | istributed | Wells | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well (| Q as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | rence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distrib | uted Wel | s | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well (| Q as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | rence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well (| Q as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | rence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ſ | | |
| $(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}$ | otal Interf. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) = 80 |) % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (C) = 1 | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (D) = | (A) > (C) | \checkmark |
| $(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A})$ | / B) x 100 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: Streams beyond 1 mile were not considered here as the analysis in section C3 is more stringent

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)

ii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions: The applicant's well accesses an aquifer that is found to be hydraulically connected to Democrat Gulch, Althouse Creek and Sucker Creek. Because the well is hydraulically connected within ¼ mile of Democrat Gulch and Althouse Creek, the proposed use is found to have the Potential for Substantial Interference (PSI) as per OAR 690-009. A reduction in rate will not change this finding.

References Used:

Hunt, Bruce. (2003). Unsteady Stream Depletion When Pumping From Semi-confined Aquifer. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering. 8. 12-. 10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2003)8:1(12).

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife: Oregon Fish Habitat Distribution and Barriers. https://nrimp.dfw.state.or.us/FHD FPB Viewer/index.html - accessed 4/8/2021

OWRD Groundwater Information System Database - Accessed 10/15/2020.

Ramp, L., and Peterson, N.V., 2004, Geologic Map of Josephine County, Oregon, 2004, plate 1, scale 1:250,000: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Open-File Report O-04-13, scale 1:250,000

Theis, C.V., 1935. The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using groundwater storage, Am. Geophys. Union Trans., vol. 16, pp. 519-524.

Wells, F.G., Hotz, P.E., and Cater, F.W., Jr., 1949, Preliminary description of the geology of the Kerby quadrangle: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Bulletin 40, scale 1:96,000

Page

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

| D1. | Well #: Logid: |
|-----|---|
| D2. | THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; field inspection by |
| D3. | THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows: |
| D4. | Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction. |

Water Availability Tables

| Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ALTHOUSE CR > E FK ROGUE | ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH E BASIN | | | | | | |
| | Water Availability | as of 10/14/2020 | | | | | | |
| Watershed ID #: 69810 (Map) | | | Exceedance Level: 80% • | | | | | |
| Date: 10/14/2020 | | | Time: 9:25 AM | | | | | |
| Water Availability Calculation | Consumptive Uses and Storages | Instream Flow Requirements | Reservations | | | | | |
| Water | Rights | Watershed Ch | naracteristics | | | | | |

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

| Month | Natural Stream Flow | Consumptive Uses and Storages | Expected Stream Flow | Reserved Stream Flow | Instream Flow Requirement | Net Water Available |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| JAN | 43.50 | 0.34 | 43.20 | 0.00 | 85.00 | -41.80 |
| FEB | 73.60 | 0.42 | 73.20 | 0.00 | 85.00 | -11.80 |
| MAR | 95.90 | 0.43 | 95.50 | 0.00 | 85.00 | 10.50 |
| APR | 87.70 | 0.47 | 87.20 | 0.00 | 85.00 | 2.23 |
| MAY | 46.20 | 0.46 | 45.70 | 0.00 | 85.00 | -39.30 |
| JUN | 21.90 | 0.54 | 21.40 | 0.00 | 50.00 | -28.60 |
| JUL | 11.70 | 0.64 | 11.10 | 0.00 | 34.00 | -22.90 |
| AUG | 7.51 | 0.57 | 6.94 | 0.00 | 34.00 | -27.10 |
| SEP | 6.22 | 0.46 | 5.76 | 0.00 | 50.00 | -44.20 |
| OCT | 6.83 | 0.32 | 6.51 | 0.00 | 50.00 | -43.50 |
| NOV | 11.00 | 0.26 | 10.70 | 0.00 | 85.00 | -74.30 |
| DEC | 31.90 | 0.26 | 31.60 | 0.00 | 85.00 | -53.40 |
| ANN | 47,500.00 | 313.00 | 47,100.00 | 0.00 | 49,000.00 | 10,800.00 |

Water Availability Analysis Detailed Reports

SUCKER CR > E FK ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH

ROGUE BASIN

Water Availability as of 10/14/2020

Watershed ID #: 69808 (Map) Date: 10/14/2020 Exceedance Level: 80% • Time: 9:26 AM

| Water Availability Calculation | | Consumptive Uses and Storages | | Instream Flow | Requirements | Reservations | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|--|---------------|--------------|----------------|--|
| | Water | Rights | | | Watershed C | haracteristics | |

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

| Month | Natural Stream Flow | Consumptive Uses and Storages | Expected Stream Flow | Reserved Stream Flow | Instream Flow Requirement | Net Water Available |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| JAN | 132.00 | 0.16 | 132.00 | 0.00 | 135.00 | -3.16 |
| FEB | 221.00 | 0.16 | 221.00 | 0.00 | 135.00 | 85.80 |
| MAR | 220.00 | 0.16 | 220.00 | 0.00 | 135.00 | 84.80 |
| APR | 215.00 | 2.55 | 212.00 | 0.00 | 135.00 | 77.40 |
| MAY | 162.00 | 4.01 | 158.00 | 0.00 | 135.00 | 23.00 |
| JUN | 79.70 | 5.60 | 74.10 | 0.00 | 80.00 | -5.90 |
| JUL | 42.60 | 7.46 | 35.10 | 0.00 | 54.00 | -18.90 |
| AUG | 30.40 | 6.17 | 24.20 | 0.00 | 54.00 | -29.80 |
| SEP | 25.90 | 4.07 | 21.80 | 0.00 | 80.00 | -58.20 |
| OCT | 26.10 | 1.39 | 24.70 | 0.00 | 80.00 | -55.30 |
| NOV | 36.80 | 0.16 | 36.60 | 0.00 | 135.00 | -98.40 |
| DEC | 77.30 | 0.16 | 77.10 | 0.00 | 135.00 | -57.90 |
| ANN | 134,000.00 | 1,950.00 | 132,000.00 | 0.00 | 77,900.00 | 64,400.00 |

9

Well Location Map



Date: 4/9/2021

Page 11

Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells



Stream Depletion Modeling Parameters and Results

| Application type: | G |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Application number: | 19006 |
| Well number: | 1 |
| Stream Number: | 1 |
| Pumping rate (cfs): | 0.06 |
| Pumping duration (days): | 365 |
| Pumping start month number (3=March) | 1 |

| Parameter | Symbol | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Units |
|--|--------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Distance from well to stream | а | 1215 | 1215 | 1215 | ft |
| Aquifer transmissivity | Т | 1000 | 5000 | 10000 | ft2/day |
| Aquifer storativity | S | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | - |
| Aquitard vertical hydraulic conductivity | Kva | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.1 | ft/day |
| Aquitard saturated thickness | ba | 30 | 20 | 10 | ft |
| Aquitard thickness below stream | babs | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | ft |
| Aquitard specific yield | Sya | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |
| Stream width | W/S | 40 | 40 | 40 | ft |

| Days | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 240 | 270 | 300 | 330 | 360 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Depletion (%) | 4 | 5 | б | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| Depletion (cfs) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |



| Application type: | G |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Application number | 19006 |
| Well number | 1 |
| Stream Number | 2 |
| Diversion and (afri) | 9 0.04 |
| Pumping rate (crs): | 0.00 |
| Pumping duration (days): | 300 |
| Pumping start month number (3=March) | 1 |

| Parameter Symbol Sce | enario 1 🛛 S | cenario 2 S | icenario 3 | Units |
|---|--------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Distance from well to stream a 57 | 75 | 575 | 575 | ft |
| Aquifer transmissivity T 10 | 000 | 5000 | 10000 | ft2/day |
| Aquifer storativity S 0. | .01 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | - |
| Aquitard vertical hydraulic conductivity Kva 0. | .01 | 0.05 | 0.1 | ft/day |
| Aquitard saturated thickness ba .0 |) | 20 | 10 | ft |
| Aquitard thickness below stream babs 4. | .0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | ft |
| Aquitard specific yield Sya 0. | .2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |
| Stream width ws 10 | 0 | 15 | 20 | ft |

| Stream depletion for Scenario 2: | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|--|--|--|--|
| 60 | 0.0 | 100 | 150 | 100 | 210 | 2.40 | | | | |

| Days | 10 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 240 | 270 | 300 | 330 | 360 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Depletion (%) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Depletion (cfs) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

