Oregon DEQ Division 33 Review Summary Sheet



Application Information

Applicant Name:	HEYERLY BROTHERS, HEYERLY, JEFF, HAMILTON, DOANN; PACIFIC HYDRO- GEOLOGY INC.	Application Number:	G-18967
Basin & Sub-basin:	Willamette Basin & Molalla- Pudding Sub-basin	Requested Water Amount:	1.63 cfs
Nearest Surface Water:	Kaiser Creek, Bear Creek	Nearest Receiving Waterbody:	Kaiser Creek, Bear Creek
Proposed Use:	irrigation of 130.1 acres	Requested Period of Use:	March 1 – October 31

Division 33 Geogra	phic	Area
---------------------------	------	------

$lacktriangle$ Lower Columbia $\ \Box$ Upper Columbia $\ \Box$ Statewide						
Upper and Lower Columbia Basins only : Based upon the review completed below, does the proposed use comply with existing state and federal water quality standards or may conditions be applied to bring the use into compliance?	□ No	⊠ Yes	☐ Insufficient data			
Statewide: Will the proposed use result in water quality impacts that will cause either "loss" or "net loss" of essential habitat of sensitive threatened or endangered (ST&E) fish species? (Note: the presence of ST&E fish species is determined by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.)	□No	☐ Yes	☐ Insufficient data			

Recommended Pre-Proposed Final Order Actions

1.	Herbicide Applications: When herbicide application is within three feet of water, the permittee is
	responsible for ensuring that herbicide application laws are met, and that they obtain from DEQ any
	necessary pesticide application permits, including the 2300-A Pesticide General Permit or the 2000-J NPDES
	General Permit. Polluted return flows are not allowed to enter waters of the state per ORS 468B.025(1).
Mit	tigation Obligation
Pric	or to issuance of a Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit a mitigation proposal that is of no less
volu	ume and rate than the permitted use. The proposal shall include water that is sourced upstream of the point
of d	diversion or appropriation, or the uppermost point on the stream at which the potential for surface water
inte	erference occurs. If a surface water right is used for mitigation, it shall be transferred instream for the <u>June 1</u>
thro	ough September 30 time period and of similar water quality. The applicant should contact their OWRD
case	seworker to discuss flow mitigation options. Flow mitigation is site-specific, therefore DEQ recommends

Recommended Permit Conditions

1. Water Quality: All water use under this permit shall comply with state and federal water quality laws. The permittee shall not violate any state and federal water quality standards, shall not cause pollution of any waters of the state, and shall not place or cause to be placed any wastes in a location where such wastes are likely to escape or be carried into the waters of the state by any means. The use may be restricted if the quality of source stream or downstream waters decrease to the point that those waters no longer meet existing state or federal water quality standards. Permittee is responsible for obtaining any necessary state and federal permits.

written approval of the mitigation proposal by DEQ prior to issuance of a proposed final order.

2. Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Rules: The permittee shall comply with basin-specific Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Rules described in Oregon Administrative Rule Chapter 603-095. The permittee shall protect riparian areas, including through irrigation practices and the management

of any livestock, allowing site capable vegetation to establish and grow along streams, while providing the following functions: shade (on perennial and some intermittent streams), bank stability, and infiltration or filtration of overland runoff.

3. Flow Restrictor: The permittee shall install a flow control valve on the diversion system to limit use to the permitted rate. The valve shall be in place, functional, and verified by the Certified Water Rights Examiner before a certificate is issued. The valve or a suitable replacement shall remain in place for the life of the water right.

Seasonal Limitations

Reason for limitation	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
TMDL: Critical period						\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
WAB: 20% flow threshold exceeded						\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Other:												

Additional Reviewer comments ☐ No ☐ Yes

[Use this space to describe any of the following: reasoning to substantiate permit conditions; examples of additional information that may allow or disallow the use; and why any variations to the standard Division 33 review process were necessary. Designate conditions related to Division 310 with an asterisk.]

The proposed groundwater use has potential substantial interference with Bear Creek and Kaiser Creek. Both Creeks are tributaries in the Molalla-Pudding Subbasin. The Molalla-Pudding Subbasin temperature TMDL applies to all perennial and intermittent streams in the Subbasin. Stream temperatures in the Pudding River often exceed the salmon and trout rearing and migration criterion. The critical period for temperature in the Pudding River is June 1 – September 30.

This withdrawal is located in the Rock Creek watershed; however, water availability analysis is not available for Rock Creek. The scale and location at which data is available is not appropriate to evaluate the proposed use. For this review the PUDDING R > MOLALLA R - AB MILL CR WAB is used to estimate water availability and assess cumulative impacts, however DEQ is concerned that this may not reflect the localized impacts of a groundwater withdrawal in the smaller tributary. While the proposed withdrawals are unlikely to impact the Pudding River, the withdrawals may cause localized impacts on the smaller tributaries during the critical season. At the 50% exceedance level, cumulative diversions in the Pudding River exceed over 20% of natural flows from June through September.

Any additional withdrawals from June through September could negatively affect surface water quantity and STE fish habitat in the Rock Creek watershed. If OWRD approves the water right, <u>DEQ recommends flow mitigation</u> from June 1 through September 30 to protect flows during the critical period for temperature and months when the cumulative diversions are greater than 20% of natural flow at the 50% exceedance level. The applicant may also choose to limit the season of use to March 1 – May 31.

Interagency consultation: [Describe any substantial interagency consultation. Who was contacted and what was discussed?]

DEQ review prepared by: Sarah Sauter Date complete: 4/28/21

Antidegradation Policy:

The purpose of DEQ's Antidegradation Policy (OAR 340-041-0004(1)) is to guide decisions that affect water quality to prevent unnecessary further degradation from new or increased point and nonpoint sources of pollution, and to protect, maintain, and enhance existing surface water quality to ensure the full protection of all existing beneficial uses. Oregon's Antidegradation Policy allows exemptions and conditions for new or increased water use.

1.	Temporary Use or Net Benefit
	Does the applicant propose a temporary use in response to an emergency, a restoration activity that the DEQ has determined provides a net ecological benefit, or a temporary (lasting less than six months) use to protect human health and welfare, for which the applicant has demonstrated that they will minimize adverse effects
	to threatened and endangered species? $oximes$ No $oximes$ Yes
	If yes, recommend approval of the application and identify conditions necessary to protect water quality for the habitat of ST&E fish species. You may skip to Question 7.
2.	Outstanding Resource Water
	Does the applicant propose withdrawing directly from an Outstanding Resource Water with critical habitat for ST&E fish species?
	If yes, then prior to permit issuance, the applicant must provide suitable flow mitigation. You may skip to question 7.
3.	Water Quality Limited
	Is this source Water Quality Limited or a tributary to a water quality limited water body? Note: limit
	downstream review to 6 th field HUC for parameters that diminished flow can affect (temperature, dissolved

Integrated Report 303(d) List Summary Table

Assessment Unit Name Unit Description		Parameter	Status*	Beneficial Uses	
HUC12 Name: Bear Creek	Watershed Unit	BioCriteria	Category 5	Fish and Aquatic Life	
HUC12 Name: Bear Creek		рН	Category 3B	Fish and Aquatic Life	
	(1st through 4th order streams)	Temperature- Year Round	Category 5	Fish and Aquatic Life	
HUC12 Name: Lower Rock Creek	order streams)	E. coli	Category 4A	Water Contact Recreation	

□ No

⊠ Yes

oxygen, pH, etc.).

Category 4 - Data indicate that at least one designated use is not supported, but a TMDL is not needed to address the pollutant

Category 4A - Clean-up plans (also called TMDLs) that will result in the waterbody meeting water quality standards and supporting its beneficial uses have been approved

Category 4B - Other pollution control requirements are expected to address pollutant of concern and will result in attainment of water quality standards

Category 4C - The impairment is caused by pollution, not a pollutant. For example, flow, or lack of flow, are not considered pollutants, but may be affecting the waterbody's beneficial uses

Category 5 - Data indicate a designated use is not supported or a water quality standard is not attained and a TMDL is needed. This category constitutes the Section 303(d) list that EPA will approve or disapprove under the Clean Water Act

Analysis: [If the answer to question 3 is yes, then describe how the use does or does not comply with existing state and federal water quality standards, and how the use may affect ST&E fish species habitat.]

Diminished flows can affect temperature and Biocriteria impairments in the Bear Creek watershed.

The Bear Creek watershed does not meet Oregon's stream temperature standards. Oregon's stream temperature standards are based on the life cycle needs of salmonids and other resident fish and aquatic life. Water temperatures are influenced by solar radiation, stream shade, ambient air temperatures, channel morphology, groundwater inflows, and stream velocity, volume, and flow. Surface water temperatures may also be warmed by anthropogenic activities such as discharging heated water, changing stream width or depth, reducing stream shading, and water withdrawals. Stream temperatures that exceed the standards can

^{*}Integrated Report Category

disrupt the life cycle of a sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish species and may even cause death. In waterbodies where temperatures exceed standards, additional summertime water withdrawals will reduce the stream's heat capacity and cause greater fluctuation in daytime and nighttime stream temperatures. This will result in the diminution of habitat of sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish species.

The Bear Creek watershed does not meet Oregon's Biocriteria standards. Oregon's biological criteria standards are based on the assemblage of species needed to maintain a healthy resident biological community. Resident biological communities are the local food webs that support fish. Reduced flows and increased temperatures will degrade the biological community and therefore result in the diminution of habitat of sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish species.

The Bear Creek watershed also has category 3b listings for dissolved oxygen – both spawning and year round. Category 3b is used when there is insufficient data to determine use support, but some data indicate possible impairment. Fish and other aquatic organisms require different concentrations of dissolved oxygen based on their species and life history stage. Oregon's dissolved oxygen standards are based on the most sensitive species and life history stage at the location and season of concern. Dissolved oxygen levels are affected by temperature, flow, nutrient loading, algae growth, and other factors. If dissolved oxygen drops too low enough levels, it can result in fish kills. In waterbodies where dissolved oxygen concentrations are known to be insufficient for the habitat of sensitive, threatened, and endangered fish, any additional reduction in dissolved oxygen concentrations would result in the diminution of habitat.

Recommended Conditions: [Consider if water quality can be protected by limiting the rate and quantity of water used, period of use, or by including other permit conditions.] **Water Quality**

4. Total Maximum Daily Load Summary

Are there TMDLs established for parameters identified as being affected by flow modification? \square No \boxtimes Yes

Analysis: [List TMDL, identify the load allocation, and if flow modification is a contributing factor. Describe how the use does or does not comply with existing state and federal water quality standards and how the use may affect ST&E fish species habitat.]

Bear Creek and Kaiser Creek are tributaries to Rock Creek which is a tributary to the Pudding River in the Molalla-Pudding Subbasin. The Molalla-Pudding Subbasin has approved TMDLs for stream temperature, bacteria, pesticides, metals, and mercury that apply to perennial and intermittent streams in the Rock Creek watershed (DEQ, 2006 and DEQ, 2021). The Molalla-Pudding Subbasin stream temperature TMDL applies to all perennial and intermittent streams and tributaries in the Subbasin.

Salmonid fish spawning and rearing, anadromous fish and aquatic life is the most sensitive beneficial use in the Molalla-Pudding Subbasin. Riparian vegetation, stream morphology, hydrology (including groundwater interactions), climate, and geographic location influence stream temperature. Diverting flows from natural channels during low flow periods may substantially diminish the assimilative capacity of the stream. Peak temperatures typically occur in mid-July through mid-August and often exceed the salmon and trout rearing and migration criterion and core cold water criterion. The critical period in which waste loads apply is June 1 – September 30 for the Pudding River.

Recommended Conditions: [Consider if water quality can be protected by limiting the rate and quantity of water used, period of use, or by including other permit conditions.] **Water Quality, Flow Mitigation**

5. Cumulative Withdrawals Effects

			•		ether with exis	_		e OWRD's Wate ⊠ Yes	er Availabili	ity Basin		
W	/ater Av	ailability and	Cumulat	tive Impa	cts Summary T	able		pendix for addi	itional instr	uctions.		
	PUDDING R > MOLALLA R - AB MILL CR											
Wat	Watershed ID Exceedance Level Month Stream Flow Use Stream Flow Flows Stream Flow Flows Stream Stream Flow Flows Flows Flows Flows Percentage Flow Flows Flows Percentage Flow Flows Percentage Flows Percentage Flows Percentage Flows Flows Percentage Flows Pe											
151		50	JAN	2220	125	2100	0	36	2060	6%		
151		50	FEB	2120	115	2010	0	36	1970	5%		
151		50	MAR	1680	76.6	1600	0	36	1570	5%		
151		50	APR	1190	52.4	1140	0	36	1100	4%		
151		50	MAY	710	50.6	659	0	36	623	7%		
151		50	JUN	335	72.5	263	0	36	227	22%		
151		50	JUL	148	114	34.1	0	36	-1.89	77%		
151		50	AUG	89.6	93.4	-3.76	0	36	-39.8	104%		
151		50	SEP	88.6	53	35.6	0	36	-0.448	60%		
151		50	OCT	139	11.5	127	0	36	91.5	8%		
151		50	NOV	916	48.6	867	0	36	831	5%		
151		50	DEC	2110	119	1990	0	36	1960	6%		
151	L51 50 ANN 706000 56100 650000 0 26100 626000 8% Monthly flow in Cubic Feet per Second (CFS). Annual flow in Acre Feet (AF)). Highlight months that exceed 20% of percent of flow.											
	Based on responses to questions 3, 4, and 5, is the use in compliance with state and federal water quality standards or can compliance with state and federal water quality standards or can compliance with state and federal water quality standards be assured, and ST&E habitat loss prevented through flow mitigation and/or by imposing permit condition(s)? □ No □ Yes											
ŀ	period of		r permit o	-		-		or limiting the a from the condit				
(ORS 468 would re	B.025 prohibiesult from this	ts pollutions s propose ⊠	on of wat ed used by Yes	/ degrading sur	e. Are there face water	e additional or groundv	water quality ivater quality?	·			
f	he stand	dardized men	u of cond	ditions.				agement Area				

6.

7.

PRE-PROPOSED FINAL ORDER ACTIONS

DEQ recommends that the applicant provide suitable replacement water as mitigation for anticipated impacts to water quality and more specifically the habitat of sensitive, threatened, and endangered fish species. Additional mitigation may be required from other Interagency Review Team members (for example: OWRD may require mitigation for periods when water is not available). Surface water flow mitigation is unlikely to provide the same benefit that groundwater can provide to gaining stream reaches. However, if groundwater mitigation is unavailable within the same aquifer, surface water mitigation may provide suitable mitigation.

Flow Mitigation Obligation:

Prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit a mitigation proposal that is of no less volume and rate than the permitted use. The proposal shall include water that is sourced upstream of the point of diversion or appropriation, or the uppermost point on the stream at which the potential for surface water interference occurs. If a surface water right is used for mitigation, it shall be instream for the *month - month time* period and of similar water quality. The applicant should contact their OWRD caseworker to discuss flow mitigation options.

Riparian: If the riparian area is disturbed in the process of developing, modifying or repairing a point of diversion under this water use permit, the permittee shall be responsible for restoration and enhancement of such riparian area in accordance with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's Habitat Mitigation Policy described in Oregon Administrative Rule OAR Chapter 635-415. Prior to development, modification or repairs at the point of diversion, the permittee shall submit, to the Oregon Water Resources Department, either a Riparian Mitigation Plan approved in writing by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) or a written declaration from ODFW that riparian mitigation is not necessary. The permittee shall maintain the riparian area for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Riparian Mitigation Plan. The permittee is hereby directed to contact the local Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Fish Biologist prior to development of the point of diversion.

Water Storage Construction: The applicant shall locate the reservoir outside of the stream's natural channel. *identify waterbody and set back to prevent stream capture and justification for distance selected.*

(Note to reviewer: The 1200C permit requires a 50-foot setback, which is cited from the National General Construction Permit OAR-660-023-0090(5). Requiring the storage reservoir to be outside of the mapped 100 year floodway may also be a protective buffer.)

Construction Activities: 1200-C NPDES Stormwater Construction permit coverage is required from DEQ or Agent for construction activities (clearing, grading, excavation, grubbing, stumping, demolition, staging, stockpiling and other land disturbing activities) that will disturb one or more acres, or that will disturb less than one acre of land but is part of a common plan of development or sale that will ultimately disturb one or more acres of land and have the potential to discharge to surface waters or to a conveyance system that leads to surface waters of the state.

In-Water or Riparian Construction: For in-water or riparian construction, permittee may be required to obtain additional permits from the Oregon Department of State Lands, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the DEQ Section 401 certification program prior to construction. The applicant must contact these agencies to confirm requirements.

Herbicide Applications: When herbicide application is within three feet of water, the permittee is responsible for ensuring that herbicide application laws are met, and that they obtain from DEQ any necessary pesticide application permits, including the 2300-A Pesticide General Permit or the 2000-J NPDES General Permit. Polluted return flows are not allowed to enter waters of the state per ORS 468B.025(1).

STANDARIZED MENU OF CONDITIONS

Water Quality: All water use under this permit shall comply with state and federal water quality laws. The permittee shall not violate any state and federal water quality standards, shall not cause pollution of any waters of the state, and shall not place or cause to be placed any wastes in a location where such wastes are likely to escape or be carried into the waters of the state by any means. The use may be restricted if the quality of source stream or downstream waters decrease to the point that those waters no longer meet existing state or federal water quality standards. Permittee is responsible for obtaining any necessary state and federal permits.

Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Rules: The permittee shall comply with basin-specific Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Rules described in Oregon Administrative Rule Chapter 603-095. The permittee shall protect riparian areas, including through irrigation practices and the management of any livestock, allowing site capable vegetation to establish and grow along streams, while providing the following functions: shade (on perennial and some intermittent streams), bank stability, and infiltration or filtration of overland runoff.

Flow Restrictor: The permittee shall install a flow control valve on the diversion system to limit use to the permitted rate. The valve shall be in place, functional, and verified by the Certified Water Rights Examiner before a certificate is issued. The valve or a suitable replacement shall remain in place for the life of the water right.

Limit Rate: Water withdrawal shall be limited to *Enter CFS or AF for the defined period, or a month by month rate or volume*.

Limit Period of Use: Water use shall be limited to the period: start date through end date.

(Note to reviewer: Do not split the irrigation season. Require mitigation if water is not available during the requested time period.)

Limit Diversion: The permittee shall not divert water under this water use permit unless streamflow in the waterbody name is at or above *CFS* cubic foot per second, as determined at **Gaging Station ID**

Off-Channel Stored Water Releases: The permittee shall not release polluted water from this off-channel reservoir into waters of the state except when the release is directed by the State Engineer to prevent dam failure.

On-Channel Reservoir: The permittee shall design and operate the water storage facility such that all waters within and below the reservoir meet water quality criteria. The permittee shall develop a reservoir operations plan that details how water quality criteria and standards will be met. A Certified Water Rights Examiner shall verify that the reservoir operations are consistent with the plan before a certificate is issued. The reservoir operator shall maintain a copy of the plan and make it available for review upon request.

Restrict Reservoir Release: To prevent pollution downstream, the permittee shall not release water from the reservoir when the flow at Gaging Station ID (gage name) is below the Mean Daily Discharge of *CFS* (discharge which was equaled or exceeded for 90% percent of the time) except when the release is directed by the State Engineer to prevent dam failure.

Live Flow: Once the allocated volume has been stored, permittee shall pass all live flow downstream at a rate equal to inflow, using methods that protect instream water quality.

Lining: The permittee shall line the reservoir with *include material or allowable infiltration rate* to minimize seepage and protect groundwater quality per Oregon Administrative Rule 340-040. The liner is to be in place,

inspected, and approved by the Certified Water Rights examiner prior to storage of water.* If the liner fails, the water user shall replace it within one calendar year. **Site-Specific Condition**: The permittee shall

^{*} OAR 690-410-0010(2)(a), OAR 690-310-0120, OAR 690-310-0140

Appendix: General Overview, Instructions for Water Availability Analysis, and Process Flow Chart

General Overview

The purpose of OAR Chapter 690, Division 33 is to aid the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) in determining whether a proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the public interest with regard to listed sensitive, threatened, or endangered (ST&E) fish species. Oregon's stream temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH and several other water quality standards are based on the life cycle needs of salmonids and other resident fish and aquatic life. Exceeding the standards can disrupt the life cycle of a ST&E fish species and may cause death. In addition, OWRD must consider water quality impacts as part of a public interest review, OAR 690-310-0120. Water quality impacts and conditions unrelated to ST&E species should be noted as "Division 310" in the recommendations to OWRD. The DEQ's Water Right Application Review Procedures document contains a full description of the review process.

The two main categories of Division 33 reviews are based on the geographic distribution of ST&E fish species:

- o **For Proposed Uses in the Columbia River Basin,** reviews must determine whether a proposed use complies with existing state and federal water quality standards. Upper Columbia applications specifically require applicants to provide evidence that the proposed use complies with existing state and federal water quality standards. <u>Geographic scope</u>: Columbia River Basin (includes all waters that ultimately drain into the Columbia River).
- o **For Proposed Uses Statewide,** review is conducted under the "Statewide review" procedure. Statewide reviews must determine whether a proposed use may affect ST&E fish species habitat. The statewide review procedure is intended to identify permit conditions that can prevent the "loss" or "net loss" of essential habitat of ST&E fish species. When permit conditions cannot be identified that meet this standard, then the DEQ recommends denial of the permit. <u>Geographic scope</u>: all areas outside the Columbia River Basin where OWRD determines ST&E fish species are present.

Instructions for Populating the Water Availability Summary Table using data from OWRD's WAB (Section 5)

- Open OWRD's Water Availability Reporting System.
- Search for the water availability basin of interest. Select 50% exceedance. The 50% exceedance stream flow is the stream flow that occurs at least half of the time.
- The water availability analysis will display a nested list of watersheds that contain the POD. Select the highest nesting order WAB that contains the POD.
- Download to an Excel spreadsheet. Percent of flow is calculated using this equation:

$$Percent \ of \ Flow = \frac{Consumptive \ Use}{Natural \ Stream \ Flow}*100$$

You may choose to add the proposed rate (or storage amount) to the consumptive use.

Instructions for Water Availability Analysis

To complete Section 6, review and consider the cumulative impact of consumptive withdrawals using the OWRD WAB. All water withdrawals and the following factors should be considered when conducting a water availability analysis.

- Instream Flow: Consider the percent of natural flow removed from the stream in each month (see right-most column in Water Availability and Cumulative Impacts Summary Table). Based on best professional judgment, evaluate if the cumulative withdrawal is likely to cause impairment to aquatic life or water quality. Water quality standards are established to protect aquatic life. In scientific literature, researchers have identified ecological harm occurring when flows are reduced by >6-35% of daily flow¹. Consider the seasonality of any listings and season of withdrawal to determine impact for each month of the year.
- Antidegradation: Rule 340-041-0004 applies: withdrawals cannot cumulatively increase a waterbody's temperature by more than 0.5 degrees Fahrenheit or cause a 0.1 mg/l decrease in dissolved oxygen from the upstream end of a stream reach to the downstream end of the reach so long as it has no adverse effects on threatened and endangered species. See OAR 340-041-0004(3)-(5) for a description in rule of activities that do not result in lowering of water quality.
- **Flow modification**: Consider if cumulative withdrawals are contributing to flow modification and a likely limiting factor in the waterbody at certain times of the year. Temperature and dissolved oxygen are flow-related parameters. When streamflow is reduced, assimilative capacity is reduced. As a waterbody heats up, dissolved oxygen concentrations decline. Reduced stream flows (including groundwater inputs to streamflow), exacerbate temperature and/or dissolved oxygen impairments.
- Temperature: Increases in temperature or a reduction in dissolved oxygen adversely impacts ST&E fish. Fish
 require different temperature and concentrations of dissolved oxygen based on species and life history stage.
 Oregon's temperature and dissolved oxygen limits are based on the most sensitive species and the life history
 stage of those species at the location and season of concern. Additional heat or reduction in dissolved oxygen
 concentrations will further impact these species habitat. Reduced flows can also increase the concentrations
 of phosphorous, bacteria, pesticides and metals.

Instructions for Calculating "Limit Diversion" Rate

This condition is selected to limit withdrawals once the cumulative withdrawals in the watershed have exceeded the protective threshold of 20 percent and/or the ISWR is not fully protective of aquatic life. A different value can be selected, but the reviewer should state why a particular percent was selected.

"Natural stream flow" is obtained from OWRD's Water Availability Reporting System. The condition is applied on a monthly timeframe based on OWRD's data.

"Natural stream flow" – (percent of flow * "natural stream flow") = Expected Stream Flow

The applicant would have to stop using when instream flows drop below the Expected Stream Flow.

Example:

Natural stream flow for a particular month = 1200 CFS

1200 CFS - (.2 * 1200 CFS) = 960 CFS

¹ Richter BD, Davis MM, Apse C, Konrad C. 2011. Short Communication, A Presumptive Standard For Environmental Flow Protection. River Research and Applications. Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com), DOI: 10.002/rra.1551

DEQ Water Right Review Flow Chart

