Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>19061</u>
GW Reviewer Phillip I. Marcy Date Review Completed: 08/16/2021
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:
Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:
☐ The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

Version: 07/28/2020

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	O								August 1	<u>16, 2021</u>	_	
TO:		Applica	tion G-	19061	-							
FROM	I :	GW: <u>P</u>	hillip I. N Reviewer									
SUBJI	ECT: Se	cenic Wa	aterway	Interf	erence l	Evaluat	ion					
	 YES NO The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Source Waterway or its tributaries 								Scenic			
	YES Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J) NO											
	interfer	RS 390.8 ence with ence is d	h surfac	e water	that con					-		
	interfer Depart propos	as 390.8 ence with ment is ed use in the fr	h surfac unable will me	e water to find easurab	that cor that the ly redu	ntributes ere is a p ace the	to a sce prepone surface	enic wate derance e water	erway; e of evid	therefor	re, the at the	
Calcula per crite	te the per eria in 39	ON OF II centage of 0.835, do 1 s unable to	consump not fill in	tive use b the table	y month o but check	k the "una	ble" opti					
Waterv	way by 1	s permit the follov flow is re	wing an			-		_			use by v	vhich
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	

Version: 07/28/2020

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	r Rights Sect	ion		Date <u>08/16/2021</u>										
FROM:	:	Groui	ndwater Sect	ion												
SUBJE	СТ·	Δnnli	cation G- <u>1</u>	9061			wer's Nam									
SODJE	CI.	тррп		<u> </u>		Juperseuc	S ICVICV	v OI			Γ	Date of Revi	ew(s)			
DUDII	C INTE	DECT	r DDECLIMI	OTION.	CDOLINE	XX A TED										
			T PRESUMI The Departmen					wate	er use will en	sure th	e nreser	vation of	the nuhl	ic		
			th as described													
			e presumption													
the presi	umption c	riteria.	This review	is based ı	ıpon availa	ıble inforn	nation a	nd a	igency polici	es in p	lace at t	the time (of evalua	ation.		
A. GEN	NERAL 1	INFO	RMATION	: Ap	plicant's N	ame:L	.3 Farm	s, In	ıc.		Co	ounty: <u>I</u>	Linn			
A1.	Applican	t(s) se	ek(s) <u>1.90</u>	_cfs from	n <u>2</u>	well(s) in the _	,	Willamette					Basin,		
						subbas	sin									
		-				~					oth it was					
A2.	Proposed	use _	Irrigati	on (151.7	acres)	Seaso	nality:	Ma	y 1 st – Septei	nber 30) ⁱⁱ (153 (days)				
A3.	Well and	aquife	er data (attac ł	and nun	nber logs fo	or existing	wells: 1	nark	c proposed v	vells as	such m	nder logi	d):			
		uquii		1	10801					, 0115 00						
Well	Logic	i	Applicant's Well #	Propos	ed Aquifer*	Propo Rate(c			Location (T/R-S QQ-Q))	Location, metes and bounds, 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S					
1	Propos		1		luvium	1.90	0		3S/3W - 35 SE	-SE	25' N, 530' W fr SE cor S 35					
3	Propos	ed	2	Al	lluvium	1.90	0	13	13S/3W - 36 SE-SW		25' N, 1770' E fr SW cor S 36			36		
4																
* Alluviu	ım, CRB, E	Bedrock	(
	Well	Firs	t		Well	Seal	Casir	g	Liner	Perfo	rations	Well	Draw	Τ_		
Well	Elev	Wate	er SWL	SWL Date	Depth	Interval	Interv		Intervals	Or S	creens	Yield	Down	Test Type		
1	ft msl 314	ft bl NA	S	NA	(ft) ~100'	(ft) 18'+	(ft) 18'+		(ft) Unk		(ft) Jnk	(gpm) NA	(ft) NA	NA		
2	322	NA		NA	~100'	18'+	18'+		Unk		Jnk	NA	NA	NA		
Use data	from appli	cation 1	for proposed we	ells.			<u> </u>						<u> </u>			
A4.	Commer	ts: <u>B</u>	oth POA well	s are prop	osed to pro	duce from	the local	unc	onfined allur	vial aqu	uifer.					
	-															
A5 🔀	Provisio	ns of t	he Willamett	e (690-50)	2-0010)		Rasir	rule	es relative to	the dev	zelonmei	nt classif	ication a	nd/or		
713.			groundwater													
	_		ules contain si	•	•	ted to surra	ace wate	ľШ	are, or	are no	i, activat	ied by till	s applica	uon.		
			rtinent basin r			ause the pi	roposed	POA	locations ar	e not w	vithin 1/4	mile of a	surface	water		
						_	-									
	***	,														
A6. ∐			· , , ;											riction.		
			istrative area:													
	Commen															

Version: 07/28/2020

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

В	ased upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
a.	□ is over appropriated, \boxtimes is not over appropriated, or □ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b	will not $or \square$ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
d	 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N; Large Water Use Reporting ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.
	Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
<u>se</u> <u>aı</u>	Froundwater availability remarks: The area around the well is underlain by less than 10 feet of low permeability ediment (Willamette Silt), which is underlain by a series of sand and gravel beds interbedded with silts and clays (Gannett and Caldwell, 1998). Well logs for nearby wells (LINN 61428 and LINN 13545) suggest that there are no continuous onfining layers in the area. Based on nearby observation wells, there is no evidence to suggest declines in the local inconfined alluvial aquifer (see attached hydrograph, locations are color-coded on map).
_	
_	
_	

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Unconfined Sands and Gravels		⊠
2	Unconfined Sands and Gravels		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Reported static water levels on nearby logs indicate only a slight difference in
elevation when compared to the elevation of respective water-bearing zones. This, taken together with the lack of a continuous
and laterally extensive confining unit in the area, indicate a very low level of confinement in the shallow sand and gravel
aquifer.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)		Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED		Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
			11 11151	11 11131		1123	110 /	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Calapooia River	~305	307-	3340	×				\boxtimes
		-		327						
2	1	Calapooia River	~305	307-	2350	\boxtimes				\boxtimes
		-		327						

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevations observed in nearby wells correspond with elevations of surface water within one mile of the proposed POA wells. Groundwater in the unconfined shallow aquifer here does not encounter any significant barrier to vertical migration, and any fine-grained surficial units, such as the Willamette Silt are incised by the Calapooia River.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: CALAPOOIA R > WILLAMETTE R – AB MOUTH

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⋈ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF76A	20	\boxtimes	22.7	\boxtimes	<<25%	⊠
2	1			MF76A	20	×	22.7	×	<<25%	⊠

C3b.	690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically
	connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same
	evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	W #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: The stream depletion from the Calapooia River was estimated using the Hunt 1999 model. The large distance to the River, unconfined nature of the aquifer, and fine-grained sediments within the river channel results in stream depletion at 30 days likely being much less than 25% of the pumping rate (see results below).

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
D: 4 'I	4 1 337 11												
Well	uted Well SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ((A) > (C)	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

•	,		
·	<u></u>	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-			
			·

	09-040 (5) (b) This section.	he potential to impa	ir or detriment	ally affect the p	ublic interest is to	be determined by the	Wate
	er this permit can b	e regulated if it is for	und to substantia	lly interfere with	surface water:	ence, and/or groundwate	r use
	=	t should contain cond t should contain spec					
both the proposed	instream water rig	ht (20 CFS) and the n	ninimum perenni d to within one m	ial streamflow (2 nile. The maximu	2.7 CFS) for the Ca	e being greater than 1% alapooia River, to whic be allowable under Div	h the
		tt, Marshall W., and Cod Washington: U.S.				the Willamette Lowlan	<u>1</u>
Hunt, B.	., 1999, Unsteady s	tream depletion from	ground water pu	imping: Ground	Water, v. 37, no. 1,	p. 98-102.	
Applicat	tion file G-19061; a	application reviews for	or G-18297 and C	G-17596.			
						, and Hinkle, S.R., 2003 stigations Report 2005-	

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200


D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	THE V	VELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:
	a. 🗆	review of the well log;
	b. 🗆	field inspection by;
		report of CWRE;
		other: (specify)
D3.	THE V	VELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:
_		
D4.	Route	to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.
Water	Availabi	lity Tables
		DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION
1		CALABOOTA D. S. LUTLI AMETTE D AD MOUTH

		DETAILED REPORT	ON THE WATER AVAILA	BILITY CALCULATIO	N	
Watershed ID #: Time: 1:22 PM	76	CALAPOOI		Exceedance Level: 80 Date: 08/16/2021		
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
		Storage is t	Monthly values a he annual amount at	re in cfs. 50% exceedance i	n ac-ft.	
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV	592.00 650.00 575.00 423.00 234.00 111.00 49.00 26.00 22.70 29.60 133.00 499.00	3.72 3.66 2.53 2.25 19.20 14.60 22.60 16.10 8.35 2.01 2.46 3.68	588.00 646.00 572.00 421.00 215.00 96.40 26.40 9.90 14.40 27.60 131.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00	568.00 626.00 552.00 401.00 195.00 76.40 6.44 -10.10 -5.65 7.59 111.00 475.00
DEC ANN	499.00 404,000	3.68 6,140 	495.00 398,000	0.00 0	20.00 14,500	475.00 383,000


		DET,	AILED RE	PORT OF	INSTREAM	REQUIRE	MENTS					
		C	ALAPOOIA	R > WIL	LAMETTE	R – AB M	оитн					
Status	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC
	Monthly values are in cfs.											
CERTIFICATE	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.00	20.0
	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
	ที่	Status JAN CERTIFICATE 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR APR CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR APR MAY Monthly CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN Monthly values CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0	Status JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL Monthly values are in c CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG Monthly values are in cfs. CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP Monthly values are in cfs. CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT Monthly values are in cfs. CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.	#: 76 M Status JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV Monthly values are in cfs. CERTIFICATE 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.

Date: 08/16/2021

Well Location Map



Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells



Output for Hunt Stream Depletion, Scenerio 2 (s2): Time pump on = 153 days												
Days	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
Qw, cfs	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900	1.900
Jenk SD s2 %	0.67	5.50	11.72	17.49	22.49	26.37	25.61	22.63	19.63	17.06	14.93	13.18
Jen SD s2 cfs	0.013	0.105	0.223	0.332	0.427	0.501	0.487	0.430	0.373	0.324	0.284	0.250
Hunt SD s2 %	0.03	0.40	1.15	2.08	3.09	4.11	4.82	5.11	5.17	5.12	5.00	4.86
Hunt SD s2 cfs	0.001	0.008	0.022	0.040	0.059	0.078	0.092	0.097	0.098	0.097	0.095	0.092

Parameters:		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Net steady pumping rate	Qw	1.9	1.9	1.9	cfs
Distance to stream	а	2350	2350	2350	ft
Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	K	50	50	50	ft/day
Aquifer thickness	b	50	50	50	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	Т	2500	2500	2500	ft*ft/day
Aquifer storage coefficient	S	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Stream width	Ws	25	25	25	ft
Streambed hydraulic conductivity	Ks	0.1	0.1	0.1	ft/day
Streambed thickness	bs	3	3	3	ft
Streambed conductance	sbc	0.833333333	0.833333333	0.833333333	ft/day
Stream depletion factor (Jenkins)	sdf	441.8	441.8	441.8	days
Streambed factor (Hunt)	sbf	0.783333333	0.783333333	0.783333333	