Oregon DEQ Division 33 Review Summary Sheet



(DEQ comments are generally in bold italics)

A	pr	ll	ca	tic	n	In	fo	rm	าล	ti	o	n
	r									•••	_	•

Applicant Name:	J & M Coombs Ranch	Application Number:	G-18547
Basin & Sub-basin:	John Day Basin, Upper	Requested Water Amount:	2-4 CFS
Nearest Surface Water:	Faiman Springs >unnamed tributary	Nearest Receiving Waterbody:	Faiman Springs >unnamed tributary > John Day River (POD is 2.5 mi from John Day R.)
Proposed Use:	Irrigation	Requested Period of Use:	3/1 – 10/31

Division 33 Geographic Area

☐ Lower Columbia ☐ Upper Columbia ☐ Statewide	
Upper and Lower Columbia Basins only : Based upon the review completed below, does the proposed use comply with existing state and federal water quality standards or may conditions be applied to bring the use into compliance?	□ No □ Yes ⋈ Insufficient data Note that substantial amount of groundwater, if interactive with the John Day River, could significantly decrease River flow and cause water quality degradation, e.g., temperature, DO, pH
Statewide: Will the proposed use result in water quality impacts that will cause either "loss" or "net loss" of essential habitat of sensitive threatened or endangered (ST&E) fish species? (Note: the presence of ST&E fish species is determined by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.)	 No ☐ Yes ☐ Insufficient data N/A, Columbia River drainages are not in the Div 33 statewide category

Recommended Pre-Proposed Final Order Actions

1. The DEQ review believes there is insufficient information to determine whether this action would							
contribute to any water quality standard violations.							
Mitigation Obligation ☐ No ☑ Yes							
Prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit a mitigation proposal that is of no less							
volume and rate than the permitted use. The proposal shall include water that is sourced upstream of the point							
of diversion or appropriation, or the uppermost point on the stream at which the potential for surface water							
interference occurs. If a surface water right is used for mitigation, it shall be transferred instream for the [month]							
— month] time period and of similar water quality. The applicant should contact their OWRD caseworker to							
discuss flow mitigation options. See '1' immediately below. Either mitigation or permit conditions should be							
applied.							

Recommended Permit Conditions

1. The WRD groundwater review indicates a probable connection with surface water, consistent with the shallow depth of the well. 2-4 CFS can easily be 10% of the flow in the John Day River during the low flow season. Both known water quality standards violations and the John Day Basin Total Maximum Daily Load indicate that all feasible steps should be taken towards restoring natural stream flow, whereas this proposed groundwater withdrawal could substantially deplete flow instead, during the season of concern. If granted, the permit should be conditioned that a demonstration of minimal impact (less than 0.1 °C) must be provided.

Ad	ditional Reviewer com	ments 🛛 I	No 🗆 Yes				
[Us	se this space to describ	e any of th	e following: reaso	ning to subst	antiate permit condit	ions; examples of	
ad	ditional information tha	at may allo	w or disallow the	use; and why	any variations to the	standard Division	33
rev	view process were nece	essary. Desi	ignate conditions i	related to Div	ision 310 with an ast	erisk.]	
Int	eragency consultation:	[Describe	any substantial int	eragency cor	nsultation. Who was o	contacted and wha	at was
dis	cussed?] None						
DE	Q review prepared by:	Don Butc	her	Date com	plete: 8/17/2021		
OD	A Review Request						
0	DA review requested:	Not by DE	Q ⊠ No □ Y	es Date	review sent to ODA:		
0	DA reviewer:			ODA	review date:		
0	DA comments		No □ N/A □	Yes			
[(DDA: enter the results o	of your revi	ew here. Designat	e conditions	related to Division 31	.0 with an asterisk	.]
An	tidegradation Policy:						
	The purpose of DEQ's	_	• •		• • • •		
	quality to prevent unr	•	-		•	•	
	pollution, and to prote			_		•	
	all existing beneficial u	uses. Orego	on's Antidegradati	on Policy allo	ws exemptions and c	onditions for new	or
	increased water use.						
1	Tomporary Use or Ne	+ Panafit					
1.	Temporary Use or Ne Does the applicant pro		mporary uso in ros	nonco to an	amorgoney a roctora	tion activity that t	ha DEO
	has determined provide	•	•	•		•	
	human health and we		_	-			
	to threatened and end		• •		Yes	minimize adverse	enects
	to threatened and end	Jangereu s	pecies: 🖂 No	Ш	res		
	If yes, recommend ap	nroval of th	he annlication and	identify con	ditions necessary to n	rotect water qual	ity for
	the habitat of ST&E fis	•	• •	•	altions necessary to p	notect water quar	ity ioi
	the habitat of STQL ha	л эрссісэ.	Tou may skip to d	acstion 7.			
2.	Outstanding Resource	e Water					
	Does the applicant pro		drawing directly f	rom an Outst	anding Resource Wa	ter with critical ha	bitat
	for ST&E fish species?	•	and an analy in		No ☐ Yes		
	ior or az non species.						
	If yes, then prior to pe	rmit issuai	nce, the applicant	must provide	suitable flow mitigat	tion. You may skin	to
	question 7.				oureacte treat time.		
	•						
3.	Water Quality Limited	d					
	Is this source Water Q		ited or a tributary	to a water qu	uality limited water b	ody? Note: limit	
	downstream review to						olved
	oxygen, pH, etc.).		•	\boxtimes		However, the	
	potentially affected n	earby surf	ace water. the Jol				for
	temperature (river mi			-	• •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, -
	,	•	ĺ		,		
	Integrated Report 303	3(d) List Su	ımmary Table				
	Water Body	River	Parameter	Season	Criteria	Beneficial Uses	Status
	(Stream/Lake)	Miles	raiailletei	Jeasun	Citteria	Delleliciai USES	Status

	state and	d federal wate	er quality other wat	standard er qualit	ls, and how by standard	the use may	affect ST&E n the benefi	or does not co fish species ha cial uses, not t ve species.	bitat.]	
			_		•		•	niting the rate mmended peri	•	-
4.		aximum Daily e TMDLs estal		_	eters identil	fied as being a	affected by f	low modificati	on? □ No	⊠ Yes
	the use o	=	not comp	ly with e				contributing fa y standards an		
	tempera water qu	ture. Flow d	epletion ds are ba	is a cont sed on th	ributing fac he beneficio	ctor for temp al uses of wat	erature and	or bacteria, dis I DO. Temper SA status. Sta	ature and o	all other
			_		•		•	niting the rate	•	
	Is it likely Basin (W	AB), will lowe	posed act er water q	ivity, tog Juality an		_	drawals in th No	e OWRD's Wa¹ ⊠ Yes		ity
	Percent o		= (consu	mptive u		stream flow)*	100. See Ap	pendix for add	itional instr	uctions.
	[Water	Availability B	asin]: See	WRD IR	analysis					
W	/atershed ID	Exceedance Level	Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumpti Use	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flows	Instream Requirement	Net Water Available	Percent
										of Flow
										of Flow
										of Flow

Monthly flow in Cubic Feet per Second (CFS). Annual flow in Acre Feet (AF)). Highlight months that exceed 20% of percent of flow.

6.	Flow Modification Compliance with State and Federal Water Quality Standards							
Based on responses to questions 3, 4, and 5, is the use in compliance with state and federal water quality								
	standards or can compliance with state and federal water quality standards be assured, and ST&E habitat loss							
	prevented through flow mitigation and/or by imposing permit condition(s)?							
	□ No □ Yes Insufficient information, though to be in compliance							
	with Clean Water Act goals (TMDL) for the John Day River, this proposed groundwater withdrawal would							
	need to have insignificant depletion of instream flow in the John Day River, which seems unlikely and has							
ī	not been demonstrated by the applicant.							
	Recommended Conditions: [If water quality can be protected by modifying or limiting the amount diverted,							
	period of use, or other permit conditions, then select appropriate condition from the conditions list.] <i>See</i>							
	recommended permit condition above							
7.	Compliance with other State and Federal Water Quality Standards							
	ORS 468B.025 prohibits pollution of waters of the state. Are there additional water quality impairments that							
	would result from this proposed used by degrading surface water or groundwater quality?							
	☐ No ☐ Yes <i>Temperature is DEQ's primary concern, and would be adversely</i>							
	influenced by any significant reduction in instream flow in the John Day River. The John Day River flow							
	comes predominately from groundwater during the summer, and hence groundwater inputs to the river							
	should not be depleted.							
	If water quality can be protected by applying permit conditions, then select all appropriate conditions from							
	the standardized menu of conditions.							
	the Standardized mend of Conditions.							
[Recommended conditions: [List conditions] Water quality							
	necommended conditions. [List conditions] water quanty							

PRE-PROPOSED FINAL ORDER ACTIONS

DEQ recommends that the applicant provide suitable replacement water as mitigation for anticipated impacts to water quality and more specifically the habitat of sensitive, threatened, and endangered fish species. Additional mitigation may be required from other Interagency Review Team members (for example: OWRD may require mitigation for periods when water is not available). Surface water flow mitigation is unlikely to provide the same benefit that groundwater can provide to gaining stream reaches. However, if groundwater mitigation is unavailable within the same aquifer, surface water mitigation may provide suitable mitigation.

Flow Mitigation Obligation:

Prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit a mitigation proposal that is of no less volume and rate than the permitted use. The proposal shall include water that is sourced upstream of the point of diversion or appropriation, or the uppermost point on the stream at which the potential for surface water interference occurs. If a surface water right is used for mitigation, it shall be instream for the *June - October* and of similar water quality. The applicant should contact their OWRD caseworker to discuss flow mitigation options.

Riparian: If the riparian area is disturbed in the process of developing, modifying or repairing a point of diversion under this water use permit, the permittee shall be responsible for restoration and enhancement of such riparian area in accordance with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's Habitat Mitigation Policy described in Oregon Administrative Rule OAR Chapter 635-415. Prior to development, modification or repairs at the point of diversion, the permittee shall submit, to the Oregon Water Resources Department, either a Riparian Mitigation Plan approved in writing by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) or a written declaration from ODFW that riparian mitigation is not necessary. The permittee shall maintain the riparian area for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Riparian Mitigation Plan. The permittee is hereby directed to contact the local Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Fish Biologist prior to development of the point of diversion.

Water Storage Construction: The applicant shall locate the reservoir outside of the stream's natural channel. identify waterbody and set back to prevent stream capture and justification for distance selected.

(Note to reviewer: The 1200C permit requires a 50-foot setback, which is cited from the National General Construction Permit OAR-660-023-0090(5). Requiring the storage reservoir to be outside of the mapped 100 year floodway may also be a protective buffer.)

Construction Activities: For construction activities (clearing, grading, excavation, staging, and stockpiling) that will disturb one or more acres and may discharge to state waters, the permittee is required to obtain from DEQ a 1200-C NPDES Stormwater Construction Permit prior to project construction.

In-Water or Riparian Construction: For in-water or riparian construction, permittee may be required to obtain additional permits from the Oregon Department of State Lands, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the DEQ Section 401 certification program prior to construction. The applicant must contact these agencies to confirm requirements.

Herbicide Applications: When herbicide application is within three feet of water, the permittee is responsible for ensuring that herbicide application laws are met, and that they obtain from DEQ any necessary pesticide application permits, including the 2300-A Pesticide General Permit or the 2000-J NPDES General Permit. Polluted return flows are not allowed to enter waters of the state per ORS 468B.025(1).

Water Quality: All water use under this permit shall comply with state and federal water quality laws. The permittee shall not violate any state and federal water quality standards, shall not cause pollution of any waters of the state, and shall not place or cause to be placed any wastes in a location where such wastes are likely to escape or be carried into the waters of the state by any means. The use may be restricted if the quality of source stream or downstream waters decrease to the point that those waters no longer meet existing state or federal water quality standards. Permittee is responsible for obtaining any necessary state and federal permits.

Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Rules: The permittee shall comply with basin-specific Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Rules described in Oregon Administrative Rule Chapter 603-095. The permittee shall protect riparian areas, including through irrigation practices and the management of any livestock, allowing site capable vegetation to establish and grow along streams, while providing the following functions: shade (on perennial and some intermittent streams), bank stability, and infiltration or filtration of overland runoff.

Flow Restrictor: The permittee shall install a flow control valve on the diversion system to limit use to the permitted rate. The valve shall be in place, functional, and verified by the Certified Water Rights Examiner before a certificate is issued. The valve or a suitable replacement shall remain in place for the life of the water right.

Limit Rate: Water withdrawal shall be limited to *Enter CFS or AF for the defined period, or a month by month rate or volume*.

Limit Period of Use: Water use shall be limited to the period: *start date through end date*. (Note to reviewer: Do not split the irrigation season. Require mitigation if water is not available during the requested time period.)

Limit Diversion: The permittee shall not divert water under this water use permit unless streamflow in the waterbody name is at or above *CFS* cubic foot per second, as determined at Gaging Station ID .

Off-Channel Stored Water Releases: The permittee shall not release polluted water from this off-channel reservoir into waters of the state except when the release is directed by the State Engineer to prevent dam failure.

On-Channel Reservoir: The permittee shall design and operate the water storage facility such that all waters within and below the reservoir meet water quality criteria. The permittee shall develop a reservoir operations plan that details how water quality criteria and standards will be met. A Certified Water Rights Examiner shall verify that the reservoir operations are consistent with the plan before a certificate is issued. The reservoir operator shall maintain a copy of the plan and make it available for review upon request.

Restrict Reservoir Release: To prevent pollution downstream, the permittee shall not release water from the reservoir when the flow at Gaging Station ID (gage name) is below the Mean Daily Discharge of *CFS* (discharge which was equaled or exceeded for 90% percent of the time) except when the release is directed by the State Engineer to prevent dam failure.

Live Flow: Once the allocated volume has been stored, permittee shall pass all live flow downstream at a rate equal to inflow, using methods that protect instream water quality.

Lining: The permittee shall line the reservoir with *include material or allowable infiltration rate* to minimize seepage and protect groundwater quality per Oregon Administrative Rule 340-040. The liner is to be in place,

inspected, and approved by the Certified Water Rights examiner prior to storage of water.* If the liner fails, the water user shall replace it within one calendar year.

Appendix: General Overview, Instructions for Water Availability Analysis, and Process Flow Chart

General Overview

The purpose of OAR Chapter 690, Division 33 is to aid the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) in determining whether a proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the public interest with regard to listed sensitive, threatened, or endangered (ST&E) fish species. Oregon's stream temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH and several other water quality standards are based on the life cycle needs of salmonids and other resident fish and aquatic life. Exceeding the standards can disrupt the life cycle of a ST&E fish species and may cause death. In addition, OWRD must consider water quality impacts as part of a public interest review, OAR 690-310-0120. Water quality impacts and conditions unrelated to ST&E species should be noted as "Division 310" in the recommendations to OWRD. The DEQ's Water Right Application Review Procedures document contains a full description of the review process.

The two main categories of Division 33 reviews are based on the geographic distribution of ST&E fish species:

- o **For Proposed Uses in the Columbia River Basin,** reviews must determine whether a proposed use complies with existing state and federal water quality standards. Upper Columbia applications specifically require applicants to provide evidence that the proposed use complies with existing state and federal water quality standards. <u>Geographic scope</u>: Columbia River Basin (includes all waters that ultimately drain into the Columbia River).
- o **For Proposed Uses Statewide,** review is conducted under the "Statewide review" procedure. Statewide reviews must determine whether a proposed use may affect ST&E fish species habitat. The statewide review procedure is intended to identify permit conditions that can prevent the "loss" or "net loss" of essential habitat of ST&E fish species. When permit conditions cannot be identified that meet this standard, then the DEQ recommends denial of the permit. <u>Geographic scope</u>: all areas outside the Columbia River Basin where OWRD determines ST&E fish species are present.

Instructions for Populating the Water Availability Summary Table using data from OWRD's WAB (Section 5)

- Open OWRD's Water Availability Reporting System.
- Search for the water availability basin of interest. Select 50% exceedance. The 50% exceedance stream flow is the stream flow that occurs at least half of the time.
- The water availability analysis will display a nested list of watersheds that contain the POD. Select the highest nesting order WAB that contains the POD.
- Download to an Excel spreadsheet. Percent of flow is calculated using this equation:

$$Percent \ of \ Flow = \frac{Consumptive \ Use}{Natural \ Stream \ Flow}*100$$

You may choose to add the proposed rate (or storage amount) to the consumptive use.

Instructions for Water Availability Analysis

^{*} OAR 690-410-0010(2)(a), OAR 690-310-0120, OAR 690-310-0140

To complete Section 6, review and consider the cumulative impact of consumptive withdrawals using the OWRD WAB. All water withdrawals and the following factors should be considered when conducting a water availability analysis.

- Instream Flow: Consider the percent of natural flow left instream in each month (see right-most column in Table 1). Based on best professional judgment, evaluate if the cumulative withdrawal is likely to cause impairment to aquatic life or water quality. Water quality standards are established to protect aquatic life. In scientific literature, researchers have identified ecological harm occurring when flows are reduced by >6-35% of daily flow¹. Consider the seasonality of any listings and season of withdrawal to determine impact for each month of the year.
- Antidegradation: Rule 340-041-0004 applies: withdrawals cannot cumulatively increase a waterbody's temperature by more than 0.5 degrees Fahrenheit or cause a 0.1 mg/l decrease in dissolved oxygen from the upstream end of a stream reach to the downstream end of the reach so long as it has no adverse effects on threatened and endangered species. See OAR 340-041-0004(3)-(5) for a description in rule of activities that do not result in lowering of water quality.
- **Flow modification**: Consider if cumulative withdrawals are contributing to flow modification and a likely limiting factor in the waterbody at certain times of the year. Temperature and dissolved oxygen are flow-related parameters. When streamflow is reduced, assimilative capacity is reduced. As a waterbody heats up, dissolved oxygen concentrations decline. Reduced stream flows (including groundwater inputs to streamflow), exacerbate temperature and/or dissolved oxygen impairments.
- Temperature: Increases in temperature or a reduction in dissolved oxygen adversely impacts ST&E fish. Fish
 require different temperature and concentrations of dissolved oxygen based on species and life history stage.
 Oregon's temperature and dissolved oxygen limits are based on the most sensitive species and the life history
 stage of those species at the location and season of concern. Additional heat or reduction in dissolved oxygen
 concentrations will further impact these species habitat. Reduced flows can also increase the concentrations
 of phosphorous, bacteria, pesticides and metals.

Instructions for Calculating "Limit Diversion" Rate

This condition is selected to limit withdrawals once the cumulative withdrawals in the watershed have exceeded the protective threshold of 20 percent and/or the ISWR is not fully protective of aquatic life. A different value can be selected, but the reviewer should state why a particular percent was selected.

"Natural stream flow" is obtained from OWRD's Water Availability Reporting System. The condition is applied on a monthly timeframe based on OWRD's data.

"Natural stream flow" - (percent of flow * "natural stream flow") = Expected Stream Flow

The applicant would have to stop using when instream flows drop below the Expected Stream Flow.

Example:

Natural stream flow for a particular month = 1200 CFS

1200 CFS - (.2 * 1200 CFS) = 960 CFS

¹ Richter BD, Davis MM, Apse C, Konrad C. 2011. Short Communication, A Presumptive Standard For Environmental Flow Protection. River Research and Applications. Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com), DOI: 10.002/rra.1551

DEQ Water Right Review Flow Chart

