Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>19141</u>

GW Reviewer <u>Phillip I. Marcy</u> Date Review Completed: <u>09/07/2021</u>

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

□ There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

09/07/2021

TO: Application G-<u>19141</u>

FROM: GW: <u>Phillip I. Marcy</u> (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

- □ YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries
- □ YES
 □ Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)
 □ NO
- Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below
- □ Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>[Enter]</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBL	IC INTI	ERES'	T REVIEW	FOR GI	ROUNDW	VATER .	APPLI	[CA]	TIONS					
TO:		Wate	r Rights Sec	tion					Date	09/07	/2021			
FROM	:	Grou	ndwater Sec	tion		Phillip I	. Marcy	r						
SUDIE	CT	Appl	action C	10141	c	Review	wer's Nam	ie V of						
SODIE		Аррп		19141_	2	supersede	s revie	w oi			E	Date of Revi	ew(s)	
DIIDII		DECT	r ddecim	DTION.	CDOUND	WATED								
OAR 69 welfare, to detern the press	20-310-1 safety ar nine whe	30 (1) <i>ad heal</i> ther the criteria	The Departma th as describe e presumption . This review	ent shall pro ed in ORS 5 n is establis v is based u	esume that (537.525. De bhed. OAR (pon availa	a proposed partment s 590-310-14 ble inforn	<i>d ground</i> staff rev 40 allov nation a	dwate iew g vs the and a	er use will en groundwater e proposed us gency polici	<i>sure th</i> applica se be m es in p	the preser ations un nodified blace at t	vation of der OAR or conditi the time of	the public 690-310 for the public for the public for the public formed to public for the public	<i>ic</i>)-140 meet a tion .
A. <u>GE</u>	NEKAL	INFO	OKMATION	<u>N</u> : Ap	plicant's Na	ame: <u>J</u>	ohn an	d Na	ncy Boyer		Co	ounty: <u>I</u>	Saker	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) <u>2.67</u>	cfs from	1 <u> </u>	well(s)) in the]	Powder					Basin,
						subbas	sin							
A2. A3.	 A2. Proposed use <u>Supplemental Irrigation (240 acres)</u> Seasonality: <u>March 1st – October 31st (245 days)</u> A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): 													
Well	Log	d	Applicant's	Propos	oposed Aquifer* Proposed			Location			Locatio	n, metes a	nd bound	s, e.g.
1	Propo	ed sed	Well #	110005	Alluvium		Rate(cfs)		(T/R-S QQ-Q) 8\$/38F-1 NW-NF		2250' N, 1200' E fr NW co			r S 36
2	Поро	seu	1		luvlulli	2.01			05/30E-1 IVW-1	1300	3, 1475 W	II NE COI	51	
3														
* Alluviu	ım, CRB,	Bedrocl	ζ.											
	Well	Firs	st		Well	Seal	Casi	nσ	Liner	Perfo	orations	Well	Draw	
Well	Elev	Wat	er SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Depth	Interval	Interv	als	Intervals	Or S	Screens	Yield	Down	Test Type
1	ft msl 3470	ft b.	ls NA	NA	(ft) <600	$\frac{(ft)}{0-40}$	(ft) 0-10	0	(ft) Unknown	Un	(ft) known	(gpm) NA	(ft) NA	NA
	5170	1.1.1		1111		0 10	0 10	0	Cinkilowii	Cill		1111	1011	
														+
Use data	from appl	ication	for proposed w	ells.	L L							1		<u></u>
A4.	Comme water rig	nts: <u>T</u> ght cert	<u>'he applicant</u> tificates 4053	proposes to (400 acres	develop gr and 73167	oundwater 7 (80 acres	<u>r from th</u>).	ne all	uvial aquifer	as sup	plement	al irrigati	on water	for
A5. 🛛	Provision manager (Not all Comme	ons of t ment or basin r nts:	the <u>Powder</u> f groundwate ules contain s	r hydraulic: such provis	ally connec ions.)	ted to surfa	Basii ace wate	n rule er 🗆	es relative to] are , <i>or</i> ⊠	the dev are no	velopmer t , activat	nt, classif ted by thi	ication a s applica	nd/or .tion.
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name of Comme	# f admir nts:	istrative area		,		,	tap(s) an aquifer	limite	d by an a	dministra	ntive rest	riction.

4

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>groundwater</u>* for the proposed use:
 - a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, *or* cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. \Box will not or \Box will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N; "Large Water Use Reporting"
 - ii. \Box The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the ______ groundwater reservoir between approximately______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
 - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** <u>Ground water is available within the interbedded sand and gravel deposits of the</u> <u>alluvial fan deposits.</u> <u>Moderate to large quantities of water can likely be produced from these deposits.</u> <u>The ground water</u> <u>usually occurs under unconfined conditions, although some nearby wells penetrate significant clay layers.</u>

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Sand and gravel interbedded with clay layers or lenses	X	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Nearby well logs report static water levels far above the elevation of the open</u> <u>interval within each well. This confinement is anticipated to be localized, on the assumption that horizons of fine-grained</u> <u>lithologies encountered during drilling are not laterally continuous over a wide geographic area. Considering the typical</u> <u>depositional pattern of alluvial fans, confinement is likely due to water-bearing lithologies encountered within wells</u> <u>representing buried fluvial channels, or lenses, of fairly transmissive materials which are surrounded by finer-grained and less</u> <u>transmissive outwash materials</u>.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO	
1	1	Rock Creek	~3425		4600		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1	2	Willow Creek	~3425		5530		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1	3	Powder River	~3425		19000					\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Low permeability beds do not appear to be laterally extensive and do not show a consistent relationship from well to well (see attached cross-section A-A'). Groundwater is encountered at various depths within the sedimentary sequence, typically with no reported difference in head elevation. Taken together, these observations suggest there is no significant barrier to vertical movement of groundwater. In our conceptual model, preferential flow paths created during deposition of alluvial fan materials result in a highly anisotropic flow system with transmissivities much higher parallel to the axis of the fan (E-W in this case) than perpendicular to the axis or vertically. Therefore, it is anticipated that groundwater pumping at the proposed location and depth will have a much greater effect on the downgradient Powder River flows than on either Rock Creek or Willow Creek flows, and will be evaluated at this distance (see below). *GW elevation assumed to be similar to nearby BAKE 50455, due to location and proposed construction.

 Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:
 WILLOW CR > POWDER R - AT MOUTH; ROCK CR >

 POWDER R - AT MOUTH
 WILLOW CR > POWDER R - AT MOUTH; ROCK CR >

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

5

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?				

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	1	0.01%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Well (Q as CFS	0	0	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	0	0
Interfei	rence CFS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
D' / 'I													
Distric		lS	E.1	М	A	М	T	т 1	A .	C	0.4	NT.	D
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (Q as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}$	otal Interf.	0.054	0.060	0	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.009	0.015	0.022	0.030	0.038	0.046
(B) = 80) % Nat. Q	2.13	2.70	2.85	4.82	8.60	7.46	2.30	1.12	0.78	0.78	1.60	2.02
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q	.021	.027	.0285	.048	.086	.075	.023	.011	.0078	.0078	.016	.0202
		-	-				-	-	- -	-	-	- -	
(D) =	(A) > (C)	\checkmark											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	2.54 %	2.22 %	0 %	0.02 %	0.02 %	0.07 %	0.39 %	1.34 %	2.82 %	3.85 %	2.38 %	2.28 %

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: <u>Using the model of Hunt (2003), and parameters derived from local pump tests, potential stream</u> <u>depletion to the Powder River as a result of proposed groundwater pumping were calculated. Results show that expected</u> <u>interference are less than 1% of the 80% exceedance and instream water rights considering one year of pumping.</u>

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)

- ii. \Box The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
- C6. **SW** / **GW Remarks and Conditions:** The proposed use to supply supplemental groundwater for lands currently authorized for surface water irrigation under is not expected to have significant impacts to nearby surface waters crossing the face of the alluvial fan. At the distance between the proposed POA and the downgradient Powder River, the effects of pumping are anticipated to be distributed over a wide geographic area.

References Used: Groundwater reviews for applications G-16429, 16431, and G-16534

OWRD Ground Water Report #6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger, 1951.

Brooks, Geology of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1° by 2° Quad, 1976.

Spearing, D.A., Alluvial Fan Deposits. Geological Society of America Summary Sheets of Sedimentary Deposits, sheet 1, 1974.

8

Page

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	THE WELL a. revie b. field c. report d. other	a does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon: ew of the well log; I inspection by	_; _;
D3.	THE WELL	construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:	
D4.	Route to the	e Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.	

Water Availability Tables

l		DETAILED REPORT	ON THE WATER AVAILA	ABILITY CALCULATIO	N						
Watershed ID Time: 12:33	#: 30920328 PM	WILL	Exc	Exceedance Level: 80 Date: 08/05/2021							
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available					
Monthly values are in cfs. Storage is the annual amount at 50% exceedance in ac-ft.											
JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC ANN	2.13 2.70 2.85 4.82 8.60 7.46 2.30 1.12 0.78 0.78 1.60 2.02 3,830	0.08 0.08 0.08 5.25 32.30 38.50 17.40 5.03 2.64 0.08 0.08 0.08 6,150	2.05 2.62 2.77 -0.43 -23.70 -31.00 -15.10 -3.91 -1.86 0.70 1.52 1.94 1,360	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	2.05 2.62 2.77 -0.43 -23.70 -31.00 -15.10 -3.91 -1.86 0.70 1.52 1.94 1,360					



9

Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells







Version: 07/28/2020



View of the Rock Creek alluvial fan looking west along the axis. The depositional regime of alluvial fans results in a heterogeneous sequence with much higher transmissivity parallel to the axis of the fan.