Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>19161</u>
GW Reviewer <u>Jen Woody</u> Date Review Completed: <u>9/15/2021</u>
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:
Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:
oxtimes There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:
\Box The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	O	9/15/202	1_									
то:		Applica	tion G-	19161	-							
FRON	1 :	GW: <u>Je</u>	en Wood Reviewer									
SUBJ	ECT: Sc	enic Wa	aterway	Interf	erence l	Evaluat	ion					
	YES	The	source o	of appro	nriation	is hvdr	aulically	/ connec	cted to a	a State S	Scenic	
\boxtimes	NO		Waterway or its tributaries									
	YES											
\boxtimes	NO	Use	the Scei	nic Wat	erway C	Condition	n (Cond	ition 7J)			
	Per OR interfere	ence witl	h surfac	e water	that con					_		
	propose		h surfac unable will me	e water to find easurab	that cor that the ly redu	tributes ere is a p ice the	to a sce prepond surface	enic wat derance water	erway; e of evid	therefo	re, the	
Calcula per crit the Dep Exerci Water	RIBUTION THE THE PERCENTION TO THE PERCENTION THE PERCENTION TO THE PERCENTION THE PERCENTION TO THE PERCENTION THE PERCENTION TO THE PERC	entage of 0.835, do n unable to s permit he follow	consump not fill in make a l is calcu wing an	tive use b the table Preponde lated to	y month of but check rance of s	the "und Evidence monthly	ble" optio finding. I flows i	on above, in <u>[Ente</u>	thus info	orming W	ater Righ	ts that
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec]

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	Water Rights Section								Date		9/15/20	<u>21</u>		
FROM				ction		Jen Woo								
SUBJE	СТ	Annlie	ation G	10161	•	Reviev Supersede	wer's Name		n/o					
SODIE	.C1.	Аррпс	ation G	19101_	Ľ.	superseue	STEVIEV	V 01				ate of Revi	ew(s)	
DIIDI I	IC INTE	DECT	DDECIM	IDTION.	CDALIND	WATED	,							
				IPTION; (ent shall pro				wate	er use will en	sure th	ne preser	vation of	the publi	ic.
									groundwater					
									proposed us					
the pres	umption c	riteria.	This reviev	w is based u	pon availa	ble inforn	nation a	nd a	gency polici	ies in p	olace at t	the time o	of evalua	tion.
A. <u>GE</u> I	NERAL 1	INFO	RMATIO:	N : Ap	plicant's N	ame:S	Su Vang	and	Tong Moua	1	Co	ounty:Y	<u>amhill</u>	
A1.	. Applicant(s) seek(s) <u>0.119</u> cfs up to 23.75 A							2	well(s) in t	he <u>Wil</u>	lamette			Basin,
	Y	<u>amhill</u>				subbas	sin							
A2.	Proposed	l use	irriga	ntion		Seaso	nality: _	Mar	rch 1 through	1 Octob	per 31			
A3.	Well and	aquifer	data (atta	ch and num	ber logs fo	or existing	wells; n	nark	k proposed v	vells as	s such u	nder logi	d):	
Well	Logic	1	Applicant'	s Propose	ed Aquifer*	Propo			Location		Location, metes and bound			s, e.g.
1	propose		Well #	•	edrock	Rate(0			(T/R-S QQ-Q) T4S/R3W-6 SW ½ NE ½		2250' N, 1200' E fr NW co			
2	propose		2	Bedrock		0.119		T4S/R3W-6 SE ½ NE ½						
3 4														
	ım, CRB, E	Bedrock												
	Well	First			Well	Seal	Casin	_	Liner	DC	orations	Well	Draw	Τ
Well		Water	SWL	SWL	Depth	Interval	Casin Interva	vals Intervals Or Screen				Yield	Down	Test
<u> </u>	ft msl	ft bls	ft bls	Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(gpm)	(ft)	Type
2	240		35* 35*		200	0-30 0-30	0-200			TBD TBD				
Use data	from appli	cation fo	r proposed v	wells.										
A4.				<u>logs for 100</u> AMH 58650			dimentai	y ro	ck wells rep	ort wat	er levels	at approx	<u>ximately</u>	<u>35</u>
	ieet belo	w iaiiu s	surrace (1 F	<u> 111111 20020</u>	, I AIVIII J.	36/3].								
A5. ∐	Provision	ns of th	e Willame	tte			Basin	rule	s relative to	the dev	velopmei	nt, classif	ication ar	nd/or
						ted to surfa	ace water	r \square	are, or 🗵	are no	t, activat	ted by thi	s applica	tion.
				such provis		· C 41	, -	. 1	. 1 (0	AD 60	0 502 0	240) 1	. 1	
	Commen	its: The				_	_		asin rules (O			-		
A6. 🗆	Well(s) #	‡	,	,	,	,	,	tap(s	s) an aquifer	limite	d by an a	dministra	itive resti	riction.
	Commen	ts: <u>n/a</u>												
	-													
	-													

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	\square will not or \square will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
	d.	 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, medium water use reporting condition ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
B2.	a.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	 □ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/
		senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
В3.	The under volc	subject property lies at the margin between the overlying Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) aquifer system and the erlying low-yield bedrock aquifer system. The low-yield bedrock aquifer is composed of older marine sedimentary and canic rocks whereas the CRBG aquifer system is composed of younger basalt flows. The low-yield bedrock aquifer em generally has low porosity, low permeability, and low well yield. Most of the available pore space in this unit is likely occur in fractures where groundwater is confined by the low-permeability matrix.
	min logs with and	proposed POAs (Wells) are completed in the low-yield bedrock aquifer; median yield in this section is 10 gallons per ute. Nearby, long-term water level data are sparse. Well density in the bedrock aquifer is relatively low (36 new well in the section. Although the likely anisotropy of the aquifer makes it difficult to predict the potential for interference existing wells, the general low yield of the aquifer indicates that it would be prudent to include water-level monitoring water-use monitoring conditions. Special Condition: For the same reasons, a condition is recommended to limit the
		timum duty to 1 acre foot per acre per year and a requirement to use drip, or equally efficient, irrigation methods if a mit is issued (see OAR 690-502-0040(7).

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Marine sedimentary rock aquifer	\boxtimes	
2	Marine sedimentary rock aquifer	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	Nearby well logs in the marine sedimentary rock aquifer report static water levels
that rise tens of feet above the first water bea	ring zone, indicating the aquifer is confined.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ½ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)		Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED		Potentia Subst. Int Assum YES	terfer.
1	1	Unnamed tributary to Henry Cr	205	190- 220	800	⊠				
2	1	Unnamed tributary to Henry Cr	195	190- 220	400	⊠				×

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Water levels in local wells in the bedrock uplands (above stream levels)
show hydraulic heads that are above or coincident with local stream levels. This is consistent with general observations and
published reports in the Willamette basin that indicate that the water table in the low-yield bedrock aquifer system generally
mimics topography and discharges to local streams (see Conlon et al., 2005).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \boxtimes box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Watershed ID 188: Yamhill R > Willamette R - AB Palmer Cr

Well	SW #	Well < ½ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	\boxtimes		n/a	n/a		56.30		*	×
2	1	×		n/a	n/a		56.30		*	⊠

Cvara	Evaluation and infinations apply as in esa above.													
	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?				
								🗆						

Comments: The proposed wells are hydraulically connected to and less than ¼ mile from a spring and tributary to Henry Creek, which triggers the assumption of PSI as defined in OAR 690-09-040.

*Interference @ 30 days was not calculated in Table C3a because of the lack of a readily available suitable model for fractured bedrock aquifer systems and a lack of knowledge about likely anisotropy in the low-yield bedrock aquifer system.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Wells	S											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ((A) > (C)	√											
	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: n/a

	·	·	•
	,		
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
-			

'4b.	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.					
5. □	☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)					
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;					
6. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions: PSI, as defined in OAR 690-09-040, is triggered by the proposed use.					
_						
_						
_						
_						
_						
<u> </u>						
Hi	eferences Used: Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and inkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations eport 2005-5168.					
	annett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p.					
	Connor, J.E., Sarna-Wojcicki, A., Wozniak, K.C., Polette, D.J., and Fleck, R.J., 2001: U.S. Geological Survey Professional uper 1620.					
	oodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, regon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B,					
U.	S. Geological Survey Topographic maps, Dayton, Dundee, Carlton and McMinnville Quadrangles.					
_						
_						

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:					
D2.	THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:						
	a. \square revie	iew of the well log;					
	b. \square field	d inspection by					
		ort of CWRE					
	d. other	er: (specify)					
D3.	THE WELL	construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows:					
D4. [Route to the	ne Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.					

Water Availability Tables

Water Availability Analysis **Detailed Reports**

YAMHILL R > WILLAMETTE R - AB PALMER CR WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 9/13/2021

Watershed ID #: 188 (Map) Exceedance Level:80%

Date: 9/13/2021 Time: 3:24 PM


Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	1,780.00	59.20	1,720.00	0.00	31.00	1,690.00
FEB	2,010.00	57.10	1,950.00	0.00	31.00	1,920.00
MAR	1,710.00	35.20	1,670.00	0.00	31.00	1,640.00
APR	1,030.00	42.20	988.00	0.00	31.00	957.00
MAY	512.00	56.80	455.00	0.00	31.00	424.00
JUN	229.00	76.10	153.00	0.00	31.00	122.00
JUL	107.00	96.60	10.40	0.00	31.00	-20.60
AUG	66.60	85.50	-18.90	0.00	31.00	-49.90
SEP	56.30	54.50	1.84	0.00	31.00	-29.20
OCT	72.70	15.20	57.50	0.00	31.00	26.50
NOV	465.00	31.50	434.00	0.00	31.00	403.00
DEC	1,640.00	56.10	1,580.00	0.00	31.00	1,550.00
ANN	1,150,000.00	40,200.00	1,100,000.00	0.00	22,500.00	1,080,000.00

Well Location Map

G-19161 Vang and Moua: 4S/3W-Section 6



10

Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells

