

Approved:



MEMO

To: Kristopher Byrd, Well Construction and Compliance Section Manager
From: Travis Kelly, Well Construction Compliance Coordinator
Subject: Review of Water Right Application G-19219
Date: February 16, 2022

The attached application was forwarded to the Well Construction and Compliance Section by the Groundwater Section. Mike Thoma reviewed the application. Please see Mike's Groundwater Review and the Well Report.

Applicant's Well #1 (LANE 14429): Based on a review of the Well Report, Applicant's Well #1 seems to protect the groundwater resource.

The construction of Applicant's Well #1 may not satisfy hydraulic connection issues.

Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- 19219

GW Reviewer M. Thoma

Date Review Completed: 01/18/2022

Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:

Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.

Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:

There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.

Summary of Well Construction Assessment:

The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attached review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

01/18/2022

TO: **Application G- 19219**

FROM: **GW: M. Thoma**
 (Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

YES The source of appropriation is hydraulically connected to a State Scenic Waterway or its tributaries

NO

YES

NO Use the Scenic Waterway Condition (Condition 7J)

Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below

Per ORS 390.835, the Groundwater Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway**

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in [Enter] Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date 01/18/2022
 FROM: Groundwater Section M. Thoma
Reviewer's Name
 SUBJECT: Application G- 19219 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Gerard Urciuoli County: Lane

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.01 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
Long Tom subbasin

A2. Proposed use Irrigation (3.5 ac) Seasonality: Year-Round

A3. Well and aquifer data (**attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid**):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	LANE0014429	1	Alluvium/Bedrock	0.01	17.00S-6.00W-33-NE SE	170 ft S, 35 ft E of NW corner of taxlot 600
2						
3						
4						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	410	27	9	4/25/89	84	0-18.5	+1.5-18.5	-	-	20	-	A

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** _____

A5. **Provisions of the Willamette (OAR 690-502)** Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water **are**, or **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
 Comments: _____

A6. **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
 Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:

- a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; *or*
- d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (7-yr SWL); Medium Water-Use Reporting;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. **Condition** to allow groundwater production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. **Condition** to allow groundwater production only from the _____ groundwater reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Groundwater availability remarks:** _____

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Bedrock of Tertiary Marine Sediments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The driller’s log for the proposed POD reports only a thin layer of alluvial material before transitioning to bedrock, most likely marine sedimentary rocks; these materials generally exhibit confined aquifer conditions

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Long Tom River	400	390	900	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: coincident GW and SW elevations

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: LONG TOM R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH (ID# 114)

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-	-	<input type="checkbox"/>	32.10	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: _____

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	< 25%	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: _____

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: _____

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b)** The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

- C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
- i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 - ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:** The applicant's proposed POD would be producing from a well that has been found to be hydraulically-connected to surface water, specifically to the Long Tom River. The distance between the well and the stream is less than 1/4 mile and so the Potential for Substantial Interference is assumed per OAR 690-009.

References Used:

Gannett, M. W. and R. R. Caldwell. 1998. Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington. USGS Professional Paper 1424-A.

Herrera, N. B., Burns, E. R., and T. D. Conlon. 2014. Simulation of Groundwater Flow and the Interaction of Groundwater and Surface Water in the Willamette Basin and Central Willamette Subbasin, Oregon. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2014-5136.

Hunt, B. 2003. Unsteady Stream Depletion when Pumping from a Semiconfined Aquifer. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering. Vol 8(1), pp 12-19

McCloughry, J. D., T. J. Wiley, M. L. Ferns, and I. P. Madin. 2010. Digital Geologic Map of the Southern Willamette Valley, Benton, Lane, Linn, Marion, and Polk Counties, Oregon. Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries. Open File Report O-10-13.

Murray, R. B. and I. P. Madin. 2006. Preliminary Geologic Map of the Veneta 7.5' Quadrangle, Lane County, Oregon. Oregon Dept. of Geol. and Mineral Industries. OFR O-06-13.

O'Conner, J. E., A. Sarna-Wojcicki, K. C. Wozniak, D. J. Polette, and R. J. Fleck. Origin, Extent, and Thickness of Quaternary Geologic Units in the Willamette Valley, Oregon. USGS Professional Paper 1620

OWRD Well Log Database, Accessed 01/13/2022 [https://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/gw/well_log/Default.aspx]

OWRD Groundwater Information System Database, Accessed 01/13/2022 [https://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/gw/gw_info/gw_info_report/gw_search.aspx]

Woodward, D. G., M. W. Gannett, and J. J. Vaccaro. 1998. Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington. USGS Professional Paper 1424-B.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. THE WELL does not appear to meet current well construction standards based upon:

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____;
- c. report of CWRE _____;
- d. other: (specify) _____


D3. THE WELL construction deficiency or other comment is described as follows: _____

D4. Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.


Water Availability Tables

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION						
LONG TOM R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH						
Watershed ID #:	114	Basin: WILLAMETTE		Exceedance Level: 80		
Time:	9:50 AM			Date: 01/13/2022		
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirements	Net Water Available
Monthly values are in cfs. Storage is the annual amount at 50% exceedance in ac-ft.						
JAN	568.00	149.00	419.00	0.00	0.00	419.00
FEB	697.00	389.00	308.00	0.00	0.00	308.00
MAR	596.00	555.00	40.70	0.00	0.00	40.70
APR	373.00	250.00	123.00	0.00	0.00	123.00
MAY	215.00	64.10	151.00	0.00	0.00	151.00
JUN	105.00	29.90	75.10	0.00	0.00	75.10
JUL	50.60	48.30	2.32	0.00	0.00	2.32
AUG	35.40	39.20	-3.80	0.00	0.00	-3.80
SEP	32.10	21.70	10.40	0.00	0.00	10.40
OCT	35.30	5.93	29.40	0.00	0.00	29.40
NOV	82.50	5.68	76.80	0.00	0.00	76.80
DEC	364.00	106.00	258.00	0.00	0.00	258.00
ANN	362,000	99,600	262,000	0	0	262,000

Well Location Map



Stream-Depletion Model Results

 PyHunt stream depletion analysis tool

Application type:	G
Application number:	19219
Well number:	1
Stream Number:	1
Pumping rate (cfs):	0.01
Pumping duration (days):	365
Pumping start month number (3=March)	1

Parameter	Symbol	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Distance from well to stream	a	900	900	900	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	T	800.0	500.0	200.0	ft ² /day
Aquifer storativity	S	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	-
Aquitard vertical hydraulic conductivity	Kva	0.001	0.001	0.001	ft/day
Aquitard saturated thickness	ba	5	5	5	ft
Aquitard thickness below stream	babs	2	2	2	ft
Aquitard specific yield	Sya	0.005	0.005	0.005	-
Stream width	ws	100	100	100	ft

Stream depletion for Scenario 2:

Days	10	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
Depletion (%)	5	7	10	12	14	15	17	18	19	20	22	22	23
Depletion (cfs)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

