

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

SYSTEM-LEVEL WATER PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS	Current framing	Status	Presumed intended audience for rec
<b>1) Statewide Data Inventory and Analysis</b>	<i>(10/27 small group)</i> The Legislature should fund data inventories across the state to understand foundational informational needs in each basin. Data inventories would inform strategic and effective water planning and management and help prioritize state-supported regional water planning throughout the state with a focus on areas of scarcity.	10/20 early conceptual alignment  11/1 Work Group review and discussion ( <i>pending</i> ) v3 will reflect changes discussed at the meeting	Legislature - Funding rec
<b>2) Staff Capacity and Interagency Coordination</b>	<i>(10/27 small group)</i> The Legislature should fund the appropriate level of agency capacity needed for interagency data collection and analysis, technical support, and coordinated work-planning and budgeting to ensure robust cooperation and engagement by and between agencies in support of water planning and management efforts that seek to meet both instream and out-of-stream water needs.	10/20 early conceptual alignment  11/1 review and discussion- no major changes suggested	Legislature - Funding rec
<b>3) Water Budgets</b>	<i>(10/27 small group)</i> The Legislature should fund climate-informed water budgets for basins across the state to better understand current and future hydrologic conditions. This work would lead to better informed water planning and management. However, given the critical need for water planning and the long-term nature of this type of commitment, having climate-informed water budgets should not be a prerequisite for regional water	10/20 early conceptual alignment  11/1 review and discussion. ( <i>pending</i> ) v3 will reflect changes discussed at the meeting	Legislature- Funding rec

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

	planning to begin.		
<b>4) Community Engagement</b>	<p><i>(10/27 small group)</i> An important component of any state-supported regional water planning and management work is community engagement and collaboration. The workgroup has created a Community Engagement Guide with guidelines and best practices for how to meaningfully engage communities in regional water planning (Appendix X). This is intended to be accessible to and used by everyone involved in building a successful regional water planning and management collaborative (e.g., state agency staff involved with regional water planning, communities, etc.) The guide is intended to be a tool to ensure that a diversity of voices are proactively and continuously included throughout a water planning effort and in ongoing management. It is intended to be accessible, flexible, and inclusive in order to support diverse regions and communities. The hope is that by providing this guide to regional water planning and management groups, it will provide support to ensure that no one is left out of the process.</p>	<p>Several meetings with iterative review and development of Guide; close to reconciling all parts</p> <p>11/1 No group discussion</p> <p><i>(pending) v3</i> will reflect this idea from the small group</p>	
<b>PLACE-BASED PLANNING TOOL RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			
<b>1) Guiding Principles for PBP</b>	<p><i>(v1 refined slightly based on email exchanges with smaller group Adam, Anton, Kate, Kimberley):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The regional plan will be developed at a watershed(s) or basin scale.</li> <li>• The planning process will be transparent, inclusive, and collaborative, with a</li> </ul>	<p>Comments on v1, slight refinement for Work Group to consider.</p> <p><i>(Pending) v 3 draft</i></p>	OWRD/OWRC - Program design

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

	<p>balanced representation of water interests.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The planning process will be voluntary and involve a partnership between the state and communities.</li> <li>● The planning process will involve strong public participation and community engagement.</li> <li>● The planning process will be informed by the best available data and identify data gaps.</li> <li>● The approach to planning will be integrated and based on the goal of better understanding and meeting instream and out-of-stream water needs now and in the future, including water quantity, water quality, ecosystem needs and climate change.</li> <li>● State agencies will serve as active partners throughout the phases of water planning and implementation.</li> <li>● The planning process will be guided by the principles in the state’s <a href="#">Integrated Water Resources Strategy</a> (p. 179) and <a href="#">100-year Water Vision</a> (p.21-22).</li> <li>● The basin/regional approach to water planning will be non-regulatory, consistent with state laws and policy, and will not jeopardize existing water rights.</li> <li>● Will recognize water as a</li> </ul>		
--	---	--	--

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

	public resource.		
<b>2) Tiered Grant Structure for PBP</b>	<p><b>(10/4 high level alignment)</b> “The PBP grant should be ... tiered with different qualifications to provide different onramps for groups with varying levels of capacity and resources.”</p> <p><b>(11/1 discussion):</b> PBP grant tiered to provide onramps for different needs - capacity and resources</p> <p><b>(11/1 discussion):</b> Structured to ensure those groups who keep meeting criteria and consistent with IWRS priorities can continue to be preferred for funding/support</p>	<p>10/4 general alignment on high level framing</p> <p>10/20 discussion of a detailed offering from small group; details discussed but not confirmed.</p> <p>Revisited alignment check on 11/1; some details considered will be reflected in (pending) v3</p>	<p>Legislature-Program authorization</p> <p>OWRD/OWRC - Program design</p>
<b>3) Competitive Grant/Qualifying for PBP</b>	<p><b>(10/4 high level alignment):</b> “The PBP grant should be competitive with established criteria...”; high level considerations are need and opportunity</p> <p><b>(11/1 discussion):</b> Grant criteria aligns with strategic priorities of the state and considers group readiness</p> <p><b>(10/4 and 10/20 Task Group suggestion):</b> Potential for Community Engagement Guide’s high level Principles to be incorporated into</p>	<p>10/4 general alignment; opportunity for further detail TBD at 11/1 meeting</p> <p>CE Guide needs alignment check</p> <p>Consensus on describing “community” and</p>	<p>OWRD/OWRC-program design</p>

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

	future Program qualification considerations.	“community collaboration”	
<b>4) PBP Program Guidelines</b>	<p><b>(v2 Straw + iterative work group discussions 9/6, 10/4, 10/20):</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Build on PBP Guidelines developed in Pilot and update with lessons learned in PBP evaluation; make guidelines available at the beginning of the PBP process</li> <li>2) Add: Develop a Charter to establish group norms for a collaborative and integrated process</li> <li>3) Add: Pre-Application Checklist (from small group 10/20 offering shared on): <i>OWRD should create easily accessible materials, including a pre-application checklist, for potential conveners and planning groups to preliminarily assess (1) whether Place Based Planning is the best tool to meet their needs and (2) their initial capacity and readiness to engage in Place Based Planning.</i></li> <li>4) Add: Community Engagement Guide as a tool for use in PBP efforts.</li> </ol>	<p>4) Consensus on describing “community” and “community collaboration”</p> <p>4) Written review v1 and v2 of the Straw Iterative draft review on Guide- need tribal engagement refinement and Purpose of the Guide statement</p> <p><i>(Pending) Items for v3 Straw</i></p>	OWRD/OWRC-program design

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

<p><b>5) PBP Specific Role for State</b></p>	<p><b>(10/20 deliberative agenda framing):</b>  Require the state to provide data interpretation, establish planning scope and sideboards within the law, paying for neutral professional facilitation, providing professional SME technical writing services, and having state agency staff participate as group members.</p>	<p>10/20 framing discussed;  some friendly amendment suggestions</p> <p>Revision from Work Group member TBD  <i>(Pending) v3</i></p>	<p>OWRD/OWRC-program design</p>
<p><b>6) Recognition of a Plan</b></p>	<p><b>(Current status- pilot phase):</b> “State recognition occurs when the Water Resources Commission recognizes a plan is consistent with planning guidelines and statewide IWRS principles. Plans are reviewed by a team of interagency staff from OWRD, DEQ, ODFW, OWEB, and ODA.”</p> <p>From 11/1 discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● More formalized Interagency coordination around this check point</li> <li>● Affirmed primary benefit is inherent credibility for implementation funding - more formalized or different way to communicate this to participants or beyond OWRC?</li> <li>● Potential for interval plan reporting (1-2 year cycle) to renew recognition as condition of ongoing implementation support</li> </ul>	<p>Discussion held on 11/1</p> <p><i>(pending) v3 language</i></p>	<p>OWRD/OWRC-program design</p>
<p><b>7) PBP Opportunities for Implementation</b></p>	<p><b>(Options articulated in Straw v2):</b>  If criteria for review and approval of a plan are met, <u>options could be:</u></p> <p>Provide coordination support or resources for continued engagement</p>	<p>Very brief discussion  10/4 - more information needed.</p>	<p>OWRD/OWRC-program design</p>

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

	<p>of planning groups to help them move a state-recognized plan into implementation.</p> <p>-and/or-</p> <p>Provide resources to fund implementation of strategies and projects that were developed as part of a state-recognized integrated water resources plan and are being deployed on behalf of a collaborative planning and implementation group.</p> <p>-and/or-</p> <p>Provide resources to planning groups to update plans every 10 years to reflect changes in local conditions and/or updates to data availability or climate change information.</p> <p>11/1 Work Group discussion;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Interagency toolkit on funding opportunities? (But not a focus of the Plan itself)</li> <li>● Concern about creating a new implementation fund; and also PBP groups should have preferred implementation support if they are demonstrating they are meeting the requirements</li> <li>● Formal process for interagency coord on progress and other implementation support?</li> </ul>	<p>11/1 OWRD shared more information to ground this discussion.</p> <p><i>(Pending) v3 will reflect ideas discussed at the 11/1 meeting</i></p>	
<p><b>8) Resourcing PBP</b></p>	<p><i>(v2 Straw: Pathways and Process B, C, E + Community Engagement A and H):</i>          “If PBP is to occur, the State should provide resources for:</p>	<p>Ongoing dialogue, many crossover</p>	<p>Legislature</p>

**HB 5006 Work Group**  
**FACILITATOR’S SUMMARY: Tracking and Status on Substantive Topics**  
**November 3, 2022**  
**For Discussion Purposes Only- NOT DECISIONAL OR FINAL**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Foundational trust building</li> <li>● Help groups prepare for and execute planning</li> <li>● Professional independent 3rd party facilitation with SME</li> <li>● Support for meaningful community engagement, at the outset and ongoing (grant resources for broad outreach, education, multiple channels for engagement and capacity building throughout the process).</li> <li>● Capacity support specifically to tribal and other under-represented or marginalized communities for meaningful engagement in place-based planning.</li> </ul>	<p>components in other recommendations.</p> <p><i>TBD: Does the Work Group want to make any statement or recommendation related specifically to resourcing PBP?</i></p> <p><i>(Pending) item for v3</i></p>	
--	---	---	--