



# Oregon

Tina Kotek, Governor

## Water Resources Department

725 Summer St NE, Suite A

Salem, OR 97301

(503) 986-0900

Fax (503) 986-0904

[www.Oregon.gov/OWRD](http://www.Oregon.gov/OWRD)

## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Water Resources Commission

**FROM:** Justin Iverson, Groundwater Section Manager

**SUBJECT:** Agenda Item J, June 14, 2024  
Water Resources Commission Meeting

### **Critical Groundwater Area 3-Year Review**

#### **I. Introduction**

This is an informational overview of conditions in critical groundwater areas as required in statute and rule.

#### **I. Integrated Water Resources Strategy Recommended Action**

- 1.A – Conduct additional groundwater investigations (including evaluating groundwater administrative areas).

#### **II. Background**

A critical groundwater area (CGWA) may be designated to address groundwater supply, quality, or thermal issues. A designation under ORS 537.730-742 allows the Commission to take corrective actions necessary to address groundwater issues, which may include reducing groundwater pumping under existing permitted or certificated rights.

Oregon currently has seven critical groundwater areas (see Attachment 1); these areas were designated because of water supply issues. All seven critical groundwater areas in Oregon were designated by order of the State Engineer or Water Resources Director pursuant to the 1955 statute. Table 1 on the next page summarizes Oregon's seven critical groundwater areas.

Current statutes, codified in 1991, require critical groundwater areas to be established by rule. ORS 537.780(3) requires the periodic review of rules that result in restriction of existing groundwater rights. This report focuses on water-level trends within critical groundwater areas.

*Table 1: Summary of Critical Groundwater Areas in Oregon*

<b>Restricted Area &amp; Effective Date</b>	<b>Affected Aquifer or Formation</b>	<b>Allowable Uses</b>	<b>Other Limitations</b>	<b>Affected Area</b>	<b>Affected County</b>	<b>Source</b>
<b>Cow Valley</b> Nov. 12, 1959	Alluvial Aquifer and Underlying Volcanic Rocks and Sediments	Exempt Uses Only		33 sq. mi. ( <a href="#">map</a> )	Malheur	<a href="#">Special Order Vol.10 Pg 216</a>
<b>The Dalles</b> Dec. 11, 1959	Columbia River Basalt	Exempt Uses Only		21 sq. mi. ( <a href="#">map</a> )	Wasco	<a href="#">Special Order Vol.10 Pg 247</a>
<b>Cooper - Bull Mtn.</b> May 17, 1974	Columbia River Basalt	Exempt Uses Only	Domestic exemption on parcels larger than 10 acres	41 sq. mi. ( <a href="#">map</a> )	Washington	<a href="#">Special Order Vol.24 Pg 370</a>
<b>Ordnance Basalt</b> April 2, 1976	Columbia River Basalt	Exempt Uses Only		175 sq. mi. ( <a href="#">map</a> )	Morrow Umatilla	<a href="#">Special Order Vol.27 Pg 40</a>
<b>Ordnance Gravel</b> April 2, 1976	Alluvial Aquifer	Exempt Uses Only		82 sq. mi. ( <a href="#">map</a> )	Morrow Umatilla	<a href="#">Special Order Vol.27 Pg 40</a>
<b>Butter Creek</b> Jan. 27, 1986	Columbia River Basalt	Exempt Uses Only	Annual Allocation to “Sustainable Annual Yield”	274 sq. mi. ( <a href="#">map</a> )	Morrow Umatilla	<a href="#">Special Order Vol.40 Pg 1</a>
<b>Stage Gulch</b> May 15, 1991	Columbia River Basalt	Exempt Uses Only	Annual Allocation to “Sustainable Annual Yield”	183 sq. mi. ( <a href="#">map</a> )	Umatilla	<a href="#">Special Order Vol.45 Pg 278</a>

### III. Discussion

#### Water Rights Largely Remain Valid

In all seven critical groundwater areas, while pumping has generally declined since designation, the number of valid groundwater rights remains near the same level that resulted in the original supply concerns and have the potential to be exercised directly or by transfer in the future should the critical area status be altered. Many of these rights are not fully exercised for voluntary reasons, which may include changes in land use, implementation of conservation measures, or development of new supplies. Many junior rights in the Butter Creek and Stage Gulch CGWAs do not receive annual allocations of groundwater under the current critical area rules, and the duty of some municipal rights in the Cooper-Bull Mountain area were curtailed by the special order designating that critical area.

#### Water Level Responses Vary After CGWA Designation

Attachment 1 contains a summary, map and hydrograph for each CGWA area.

The Cow Valley CGWA in the Malheur Basin was the first CGWA designated in the state. Groundwater levels in this CGWA recovered between the 1980s and early 1990s but have since declined in response to a dryer climate cycle and increased use under existing rights.

Groundwater levels in two of the oldest CGWAs, The Dalles and Cooper-Bull Mountain, have recovered in response to reduced pumping and the development of alternate surface water supplies. In Cooper-Bull Mountain, aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) projects have also supported water level recovery. Similarly, groundwater levels in the Ordinance Gravel CGWA have recovered to near historic highs and stabilized with the implementation of the County Line aquifer recharge (AR) project in the late 1970s. In The Dalles CGWA, recent industrial redevelopment may lead to an increase in municipal pumping within CGWA boundaries; the Department is working with the City to improve water-level monitoring from their wells to assess potential water-level response to this expected increase.

Groundwater level declines have generally continued, albeit generally at a slower rate, in the three Columbia River Basalt critical groundwater areas in the Umatilla Basin; Ordinance Basalt, Butter Creek, and Stage Gulch (see Attachment 1). Allowed annual groundwater pumping is a fraction of that permitted under the existing water rights for the Butter Creek and Stage Gulch CGWAs, controlled by an annual allocation process defined in the Umatilla Basin Rules ([OAR 690-507](#)). Considerable staff effort is expended each year on the groundwater monitoring and allocation process for the Butter Creek and Stage Gulch critical areas. Two irrigators have developed agricultural ASR projects in the Butter Creek CGWA to support operation of their farms, while others have investigated the potential for an ASR project and found it to be infeasible.

#### **IV. Summary**

Critical groundwater area provisions for maintaining or achieving reasonably stable groundwater levels have been more effective in some areas than others. In some areas, reductions in groundwater use coupled with a transition to alternate surface water supplies and, in some cases, artificial groundwater recharge, have led to water level recovery or stabilization. In other areas, declines continue despite pumping curtailments. Given this, existing CGWA controls should be maintained, and controls in areas where groundwater levels continue to decline should be re-evaluated as resources allow.


#### **Attachments:**

1. Maps and Water Level Trends of Existing Critical Groundwater Areas

Justin Iverson, Groundwater Section Manager  
(503) 302-9728

# Map and Water Level Trends of Existing Critical Groundwater Areas

Map of Groundwater Restricted Areas in Oregon (CGWAs Indicated in Red)



## **Cow Valley Critical Groundwater Area, Malheur County**

**Control Instrument:** Order of the State Engineer, November 12, 1959 (Vol. 10, pg. 216)

**Reasons for Critical Groundwater Area Declaration:** Groundwater level declines in the alluvial aquifer; overdrawn groundwater supply; need to protect existing groundwater rights

**Area:** ~50 sq. miles

**Controlled Aquifer:** The Cow Valley Ground Water Reservoir, including all water bearing zones in the alluvial deposits and the underlying volcanic rocks and sediments

### **Summary of Original Critical Area Control by Order of the State Engineer:**

- Closed to further appropriation of groundwater
- Permit applications will not be accepted
- Pending permit applications were rejected
- Watermaster regulates use to those allowed by permit or certificate
- Unlawful diversions ceased
- Totalizing meters and record of withdrawal required for each non-exempt well
- State engineer makes an annual evaluation of the groundwater supply

### **Current Administration of Area:**

- No new permits are issued
- Water levels and water use (through power meter readings) are tracked

### **Description:**

Cow Valley is a small, east-west trending upland valley at the southern end of the Blue Mountains in the Willow Creek arm of the Malheur River basin. Cow Valley is drained by Cow Creek, which seldom flows through the entire valley (Hartford, 1988). When flowing, Cow Creek exits Cow Valley at an elevation of about 3845' AMSL through an earthen dam structure (Pence Dam) in the northeast corner of the valley. This feature may reduce the rate of storage loss as groundwater elevations decline and the hydraulic gradient toward Pence Dam is flattened.

Prior to 1950, the valley floor was used mainly for grazing, with the first irrigation well drilled in 1949. Based on the success of this well, several additional wells were soon drilled, and 14 irrigation wells were in use by the 1958 irrigation season. In 1954, the groundwater branch of the U.S. Geological Survey worked in cooperation with the Oregon State Engineer's office to investigate groundwater level declines in Cow Valley. This investigation produced the report "The Ground Water Resources of Cow Valley near Ironside, Malheur County, Oregon" by S.G. Brown and R.C. Newcomb in 1956. Cow Valley was designated as a Critical Groundwater Area in 1959, the first in the state, only 10 years after development had begun.


Currently, there are 758 acres permitted for primary groundwater irrigation in Cow Valley with a maximum annual use of 5274 acre feet per year (relating to a Duty of approximately 7AF/ac).

MALH 74 (State Observation Well 561) is routinely measured three times per year by the local watermaster and comprises the only continuous long-term record of groundwater elevation in Cow Valley (Figure 1). The current historic low groundwater elevations may be due, in part, to

increased use observed since about 2010 (Figure 2). However, recent declines are likely exacerbated by an ongoing drought following a wet climate cycle in the mid-1980s that resulted in a corresponding groundwater elevation rise. Water levels are currently declining at a rate of approximately 1 foot per year, with a total decline of approximately 30 feet from 1950s levels.


Evidence for a single aquifer system is observed when comparing water level elevations in three Cow Valley wells (MALH 74, MALH 64, and MALH 72) that penetrate only alluvium, both alluvium and volcanic rock, and a combination of alluvium, volcanic rock, and older Mesozoic metasedimentary rocks, respectively (Figure 3). The close correspondence of water level elevations between wells and elevation changes over time illustrates that despite the variable lithology developed by the three wells, there appears to be a single aquifer system underlying Cow Valley. Other nearby wells have been dropped from the observation net over the years, as their trends were substantially similar to MALH 74, which is now cited as representative of the single Cow Valley aquifer. In November of 2015, a water level recorder was installed in MALH 74 to better evaluate the timing and magnitude of groundwater elevation changes in the aquifer near the center of the alluvial valley (Figure 4).

In 2015, the Department received a complaint from two exempt groundwater users in Cow Valley, citing groundwater declines forcing them to lower pumps in some wells. Their claim was that groundwater appropriation from permitted wells within the valley has increased significantly, particularly from wells producing from the shallow alluvial aquifer, resulting in undue interference with their exempt livestock wells. The Department's assessment of their interference complaint found that exempt use wells within Cow Valley are junior to all permitted uses (Table 1), in addition to being comparatively shallow (Table 2). Given these facts, exempt users will likely need to deepen wells that do not fully penetrate the single Cow Valley aquifer system.




**Figure 1.** Representative hydrograph for Cow Valley CGWA






**Figure 2.** Total water use data from permitted wells in Cow Valley CGWA show an inverse relationship to groundwater elevations at MALH 74



**Figure 3.** MALH 74 (green) is considered to be representative of groundwater elevations throughout Cow Valley



**Figure 4.** Water level plot of continuous recorder data (solid lines) and manual measurements (dots and dashed lines) illustrate the seasonal pattern of drawdown and recovery in Cow Valley. MALH 74 (blue) is located near the center of the alluvial valley and is strongly influenced by nearby pumping. MALH 54335 (red) is located on the edge of the valley where seasonal response to pumping is insignificant. In either case, groundwater declines in Cow Valley are evident.



Exempt Use Wells		Certificated Water Rights	
Log ID	Completion Date	Certificate	Priority Date
MALH 74	09/30/1954	81208	02/14/1950
MALH 93	11/05/1954	81209	09/18/1950
MALH 75	11/06/1954	81210	05/10/1951
MALH 77	04/18/1955	81211	02/05/1951
MALH 76	04/29/1955	81212	02/02/1953
MALH 65	05/18/1955	81213	09/18/1950
MALH 88	05/18/1955	89176	09/18/1950
MALH 69	05/18/1955	89177	05/10/1951
MALH 96	01/13/1956	89178	02/05/1951
MALH 86	12/16/1957	89179	02/02/1953
MALH 92	12/04/1975	89180	02/14/1950
		89181	09/18/1950
		89182	09/20/1950
		89183	05/10/1951
		89184	08/21/1951
		89198	03/30/1954
		89199	11/05/1951
		89526	03/30/1954
		89527	11/05/1951

**Table 1.** Priority dates for certificates are all senior to those of exempt use wells within Cow Valley CGWA.

Certificated Points of Appropriation		Exempt Use Wells	
Log ID	Depth (feet)	Log ID	Depth (feet)
MALH 62	330	MALH 65	176
MALH 66	535	MALH 74	280
MALH 64	421	MALH 75	100
MALH 68	362	MALH 77	156
MALH 71	255	MALH 76	300
MALH 72	1000	MALH 93	Unknown
MALH 73	200	MALH 92	66
MALH 79	248	MALH 86	48
MALH 67	310	MALH 88	128
MALH 90	338	MALH 96	194
MALH 87	360		
MALH 78	285		

**Table 2.** Well Depths in Cow Valley are variable, but exempt use wells are typically shallower than certificated Points of Appropriation (POAs).

## **The Dalles Critical Groundwater Area, Wasco County**

**Control Instrument:** Order of the State Engineer, December 11, 1959 (Vol. 10, pg. 247)

**Reasons for Critical Groundwater Area Declaration:** Groundwater level declines in basalt aquifers

**Area:** 21 sq. miles for The Dalles Groundwater Reservoir and 7 sq. miles for the smaller overlying Threemile Groundwater Reservoir

**Controlled Aquifer:** Two Columbia River Basalt Group aquifers

### **Summary of Original Critical Area Control by Order of the State Engineer:**

- Basalt aquifers are closed to further appropriation
- Pending permit applications were approved with conditions
- Threemile Ground Water Reservoir is restricted to those having a priority of 1932 or earlier
- Wells constructed to underlying aquifers must be continuously cased and sealed 50 feet into the underlying basalt aquifer
- Totalizing meters and record of withdrawal from each non-exempt use well are required
- Well owners annually provide a record of monthly withdrawal from each well
- State engineer makes an annual evaluation of the groundwater supply

### **Current Administration of Area:**

- No new permits are issued
- Pumpage is recorded monthly
- Water levels are measured monthly at larger wells in The Dalles Groundwater Reservoir
- Department staff working with City of The Dalles to increase and improve water level monitoring of city wells

### **Description:**

The Dalles Critical Groundwater Area (CGWA) is located near the axis of the Dalles - Umatilla synclinal fold and adjacent to the Columbia River in north Central Oregon, with the City of The Dalles occupying the northern third of the administrative area. Two distinct aquifers within the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) are included in the CGWA. The Dalles Ground Water Reservoir occurs within the interbed zones of the upper flows of the Frenchman Springs Basalt and the Threemile Ground Water Reservoir occurs within the “upper 100 feet of basalt” in the Threemile Creek drainage and is likely within the Rosalia lava flow of the Priest Rapids Basalt. The Threemile Ground Water Reservoir directly overlies The Dalles Ground Water Reservoir in the Threemile Creek area.

Groundwater development began in the CRBG aquifers in the late 1920s and continued through the 1950s. Water level declines in the Threemile Ground Water Reservoir were originally reported in a USGS study of the area published in 1932. The Critical Groundwater Order went into effect on December 11, 1959. The Circuit Court of Wasco County ordered a stay of enforcement of the State Engineer’s Order on April 25, 1960. The stay was lifted in 1966, after completion of The Dalles Irrigation Project. Groundwater levels in the Threemile Groundwater Reservoir recovered significantly after surface water became available in 1966 (Figure 5).

There has also been significant water level recovery in The Dalles Groundwater Reservoir observation wells since the early 2000s. Water rights are essentially the same as in 1959 but the use has dropped due to land use changes from irrigated agriculture to residential, as well as reductions in industrial diversions associated with the closure of the Martin-Marietta Aluminum plant in 1984. Figure 6 shows the groundwater level trend that occurred between 1958 and present in The Dalles Groundwater Reservoir. In April 2024, the Department approved a groundwater right transfer that converted industrial use water rights at the closed aluminum plant to municipal use for the benefit of the City of The Dalles. The city plans to supply groundwater to support new development on the old aluminum plant property. The transfer is expected to result in increased water use in the CGWA and may impact groundwater levels in the Dalles Ground Water Reservoir. Department staff are working with the city to increase and improve access to water level monitoring of city wells.




Figure 5. Representative hydrograph for Threemile Groundwater Reservoir




Figure 6. Representative hydrograph for The Dalles Groundwater Reservoir

## **Cooper Mountain – Bull Mtn Critical Groundwater Area, Washington County**

**Control Instrument:** Order of the State Engineer dated May 17, 1974 (Vol 24, pg. 370)

**Reasons for Critical Groundwater Area Declaration:** Groundwater level declines in basalt aquifers

**Area:** ~41 sq. miles

**Controlled Aquifer:** Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) aquifers

### **Summary of Original Critical Area Control by Order of the State Engineer:**

- Basalt aquifers are closed to further appropriation of groundwater from new filings
- Sedimentary aquifers are open to further appropriation of groundwater from new filings
- Exempt uses from the basalt aquifers are limited to existing wells
- Annual appropriation (use) from the basalt aquifers is limited to 2900 acre-feet based on priority and utilizes a notification system
- Additional exempt use is restricted to single family domestic and stockwatering on tracts not less than 10 acres in area
- Watermaster regulates use to those allowed by permit or certificate
- Unlawful diversions cease
- Totalizing meters and record of withdrawal from each non-exempt use well are required
- Well owners annually provide a record of monthly withdrawal from each well
- Annual pumpage restrictions are placed on certain public supply wells
- State engineer makes an annual evaluation of the groundwater supply

### **Current Administration of Area:**

- No new permits are issued
- Watermaster allocates use based on requests, priorities, and the 2900 acre-foot limit
- Watermaster staff reads totalizing meters annually and measures water levels in wells


### **Description:**

The Cooper Mountain – Bull Mountain CGWA is roughly centered on a Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) syncline that forms the highlands of its namesake mountains. This area was a favorable target for municipal groundwater development because high-capacity production wells could be drilled directly into basalt without the expense of drilling through 500 to 1000 feet of valley fill sediments (as is necessary in the surrounding lowland valley) and groundwater could be pumped to storage tanks on the flanks of the mountains and then gravity fed to water users on the valley floor.

Groundwater development from the CRBG aquifers by municipal water providers supported suburban development in the surrounding Tualatin Basin in the 1950s and 1960s. Other agricultural, industrial, and domestic users utilized the aquifer during this time as well. Figure 7 shows the groundwater-level decline that occurred between 1957 and 1974 due to unsustainable groundwater extraction from the aquifer. The CGWA order capped the annual volume of water that could be extracted from the aquifer at 2900 acre feet, which was significantly less than the total water right holdings of the municipal providers (approximately 6700 acre feet) and other users. Due to this restriction, the municipal providers developed pipelines to import treated surface water from the Bull Run and Hagg Lake systems to meet the majority of municipal

demand, greatly reducing the volume of water pumped from the aquifer. Under the restrictions imposed by the CGWA, groundwater levels recovered to near historic levels in the intervening years.

Currently, the aquifer is closed to further permitted groundwater appropriation, and new exempt uses on properties less than 10 acres in size must offset their water use by abandoning an existing exempt well in the CGWA. All permitted water users report their annual use to the watermaster, which has been significantly less than the annual cap volume since at least 2003. The aquifer has been utilized by the surrounding municipal water providers for Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) projects since 2008. ASR has resulted in increased seasonal groundwater level fluctuations and additional groundwater level recovery in the aquifer system (see Figure 8).



**Figure 7.** Representative hydrograph for Cooper-Bull Mountain CGWA




Figure 8. Hydrograph showing Cooper-Bull Mountain CGWA well response to ASR



## **Ordinance Basalt Critical Groundwater Area, Morrow and Umatilla Counties**

**Control Instrument:** Order of the Director dated April 2, 1976 (Vol. 27, pg. 40)

**Reasons for Critical Groundwater Area Declaration:** Groundwater level declines in Columbia River Basalt Group aquifers

**Area:** 175 sq. miles

**Controlled Aquifer:** Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) Aquifers

### **Summary of Original Critical Area Control by Order of the Director:**

- Basalt (shallow and deep) aquifers are closed to further appropriation
- Irrigation season is defined
- Totalizing meters and record of withdrawal from each non-exempt well is required
- State engineer makes an annual evaluation of water levels and use to determine the effectiveness of the control provisions to maintain reasonably stable groundwater levels

### **Current Administration of Area:**

- No new permits are issued
- Department staff monitor groundwater levels and use annually

### **Description:**


The Ordinance Basalt Critical Groundwater Area is roughly centered on the former Umatilla Ordnance Depot, located west of the Umatilla River near Hermiston. The subsurface is dominated by the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG), a series of lava flows with a composite thickness greater than 10,000 feet in the Columbia Plateau. Each flow is characterized by a series of internal features, which generally include a thin rubble zone at the contact between flows and a thick, dense, low porosity and low permeability interior zone. In some cases, sedimentary layers were deposited during the time between basalt flow emplacements. A flow top, sedimentary interbed (if present) and flow bottom are collectively referred to as an “interflow zone.” Most water occurs in interflow zones under confining conditions at the contacts between lava flows. CRBG flow features result in a series of stacked, thin aquifers that are confined by dense flow interiors. The low permeability of the basalt flow interiors usually results in little connection between the stacked aquifers, creating the conditions for CRBG aquifers at various depths to have unique water levels. Two aquifers within the CRBG were identified in the Critical Area order, a “shallow” zone located less than 400 feet below the surface and a “deep” zone located between 400 and 900 feet below land surface.

Basalt groundwater development began in the 1940s and increased into the 1970s. Groundwater levels declined at a rate of 3 to 4 feet per year during this period. Deep basalt groundwater levels are currently declining at a rate of approximately 2 feet per year (Figure 9). This is likely due to usage reductions over time and leakage from the upper aquifers through improperly constructed wells. Total decline in the deep basalt aquifer exceeds 150 feet. Wells completed only into the shallow basalt show relatively stable long-term water levels (Figure 10), with a total decline of less than 20 feet.

The basalt aquifers in this CGWA are closed to further permitted appropriation. OWRD staff measure groundwater levels and annual use each February, when irrigation pumps are idle.

Water levels in the shallow basalt aquifer are reasonably stable, although they have not recovered to historic levels. Water levels in the deep basalt aquifer continue to decline approximately two feet per year. Commingling wells, which are open to both the shallow and the deep basalt aquifers, continue to make accurate groundwater level data collection difficult. Commingling wells should be repaired or replaced with wells that meet current well construction standards.

In recent years, several of the wells in the critical area have converted use from irrigation to confined animal feeding operations, transitioning from seasonal to year-round use. Although this does not represent an increase in annual volumetric use, it does mean that many of the wells are pumping during February data collection efforts. This complicates assessment of year-to-year water level changes at many of the CGWA observation wells. Dedicated water level monitoring wells are needed in both the shallow and the deep basalt aquifers to assess the stability of the resource into the future.



**Figure 9.** Representative long-term hydrograph for Ordnance Deep Basalt aquifer




Figure 10. Representative long-term hydrograph for Ordnance Shallow Basalt aquifer

## **Ordnance Gravel Critical Groundwater Area, Morrow and Umatilla Counties**

**Control Instrument:** Order of the Director dated April 2, 1976 (Vol. 27, pg. 40)

**Reasons for Critical Groundwater Area Declaration:** Groundwater level declines in sedimentary aquifer

**Area:** 82 sq. miles

**Controlled Aquifer:** Shallow sand and gravel aquifer

### **Summary of Original Critical Area Control by Order of the Director:**

- Gravel aquifer is closed to further appropriation
- Annual appropriation (use) within the Lost Lake-Depot subarea is limited to 9,000 acre-feet
- Totalizing meters and record of withdrawal from each non-exempt well is required
- State engineer makes an annual evaluation of groundwater levels and use to determine the effectiveness of control provisions to maintain reasonably stable groundwater levels

### **Current Administration of Area:**

- No new permits are issued
- Department staff monitor groundwater levels and use annually
- Department staff track 9,000 acre-foot limit and artificial groundwater recharge and recovery in the Lost Lake-Depot subarea.

### **Description:**

The Ordnance Gravel Critical Groundwater Area overlies a portion of the Ordnance Basalt CGWA west of the Umatilla River near Hermiston. The broad plain of the CGWA is characterized by sediments up to approximately 200 feet thick that overlay the Columbia River Basalt Group. The erosional topography of the underlying basalt controls the geometry of the bottom of the sedimentary aquifer. The thickest accumulation of saturated coarse sands and gravels lies in an east-west oriented trough near the center of the CGWA. The sediments thin and become finer-grained toward the margins of the CGWA.

Significant groundwater development of the Ordnance Gravel aquifer began in the 1950s and increased through 1970. Groundwater levels declined during this period (Figure 11). There are two areas of intense groundwater development: the Lost Lake-Depot subarea and the Westland Road subarea. The Order curtailed use under groundwater rights in the Lost Lake-Depot subarea to a total of 9,000 acre-feet per year and prevented new permitted allocation in the remainder of the CGWA. Coincident with the Critical Groundwater Area Order being issued, an artificial groundwater recharge project was implemented. This project continues through the present, diverting winter water from the Umatilla River to recharge the aquifer through leaky canals. Artificial groundwater recharge causes an increase in seasonal water levels in nearby wells and has successfully restored water levels in the gravel aquifer to be nearly equivalent with historic highs (Figure 12). Lost Lake-Depot subarea groundwater right holders use this artificially stored water to make up for curtailment implemented by the CGWA order.

OWRD staff measure groundwater levels and annual use each February, when irrigation pumps are idle. In recent years, several dedicated observation wells have been drilled in the gravel

aquifer, which has greatly improved OWRD’s ability to assess the condition of the resource and the feasibility of new artificial groundwater recharge proposals. Under the current artificial recharge and groundwater pumping regime, water levels in the Ordance Gravel aquifer are relatively stable.




Figure 11. Representative long-term hydrographs for Ordance Gravel CGWA




Figure 12. Artificial Groundwater Recharge effects are evident in seasonal groundwater trends

## **Butter Creek Critical Groundwater Area, Morrow and Umatilla Counties**

**Control Instrument:** Order of the Director dated January 27, 1986 (Vol. 24, pg. 1) (for declaration of the critical groundwater area); OAR 690-507-0610 to -0700 (in 1990, 1992, and 1999 for designating subareas and determination and distribution of sustainable annual yield)

**Reasons for Critical Groundwater Area Declaration:** Groundwater level declines in basalt aquifers

**Area:** ~274 sq. miles

**Controlled Aquifer:** CRB aquifers

### **Summary of Original Critical Area Control by Order of the Director:**

By Order:

- Appropriation limited to exempt uses and existing authorizations
- Pending applications were rejected
- Creates six subareas for management purposes
- Establishes total annual withdrawal for four subareas
- Except for exempt uses, provides for use on the basis of relative priority
- Establishes a system to request and be authorized to pump an annual volume
- Requires totalizing meters for all non-exempt use withdrawals

By Rule:

- Establishes an irrigation season from March 15<sup>th</sup> to November 1<sup>st</sup>
- Requires functioning access ports on wells
- Requires a totalizing flowmeter on authorized wells
- Requires water user to keep a monthly water use record and report readings by December 1<sup>st</sup> each year
- Causes water users to perform certain actions when flowmeters break
- Causes water users to notify OWRD of well or pump work
- Sets an initial sustainable annual yield (SAY) for each subarea and creates a method to revise those values
- Creates a method to distribute the SAY amongst users
- Defines reasonably stable water level
- Allows changes in SAY in order to achieve reasonably stable water levels
- Notes a rulemaking process to change subarea boundaries

### **Current Administration of Area:**

- OWRD tracks pumpage and water levels in Critical Area wells
- OWRD receives, compiles, and analyzes annual allocation requests from water users
- Based on SAYs, requests, water use data, and other factors, OWRD determines annual allocations for each water right in the Critical Area.


### **Description:**

The Butter Creek CGWA is located within the Umatilla Basin in north-central Oregon. The area is bordered on the west by the Ordinance Basalt Critical Groundwater Area and the Ella Butte Groundwater Limited Area and on the east by the Stage Gulch Critical Groundwater Area. Portions of the cities of Hermiston and Umatilla are included within the boundaries. The area is

entirely underlain by a thick sequence of numerous basalt lava flows of the Columbia River Basalt group, which is also the most important groundwater reservoir in the area.

Irrigation from groundwater sources in the Umatilla Basin, primarily the basalt groundwater reservoir, increased rapidly in the late 1960s through the late 1970s. Several factors combined to encourage the rapid development of the basalt groundwater reservoir. These included more efficient hard-rock drilling methods, the large production of water available from typical deep basalt wells, new irrigation techniques, favorable crop prices, and the availability of relatively inexpensive electrical power. In the Butter Creek area, the peak in the development occurred in the middle to late 1960s. Regional investigations indicated that for the period from 1965 to 1980 water levels declined 100 feet or more in much of the Butter Creek area.

Water levels continued to decline during the period 1980 to 1990, despite reduced pumpage documented in the area during that same period. Figure 13 shows the groundwater level decline that occurred between 1972 and 1986 due to unsustainable groundwater extraction from the aquifer, and the reduction in decline rate after implementation of the CGWA. Although the rates of decline in the deep basalt groundwater reservoir have slowed in some areas, total declines are at historically low levels in all subareas, and several areas continue to experience declines at rates similar to the pre-1986 rates (Figure 14).



**Figure 13.** Representative hydrograph for Butter Creek CGWA Pine City Subarea






Figure 14. Representative hydrograph for Butter Creek CGWA South Subarea

## **Stage Gulch Critical Groundwater Area, Umatilla County**

**Control Instrument:** Order of the Director dated 5/15/1991, (for declaration of the critical groundwater area); OAR 690-507-0750 to -0840 (in 1991 for designating subareas and determination/distribution of sustainable annual yield)

**Reasons for Critical Area Declaration:** Excessively declining water levels in basalt aquifers indicating an overdrawn groundwater supply

**Area:** 183 sq. miles

**Controlled Aquifer:** Upper and deep basalt groundwater reservoirs

### **Summary of Original Critical Area Control by Order of the Director:**

- Creates the critical groundwater area for the upper and deep basalt groundwater reservoirs that underlie the area
- Limits the appropriation (use) to exempt uses and existing authorizations
- Indicates that no new permits will be issued
- Indicates that pending application is rejected
- Creates eight subareas for management purposes
- Limits the extent of deepening of certain wells
- Establishes an irrigation season from March 1st to November 30<sup>th</sup> but includes an exception method for a longer season
- Requires functioning access ports on wells
- Requires a totalizing flowmeter on authorized wells
- Requires water users to keep a weekly use record and report readings by December 1<sup>st</sup> each year
- Causes water users to perform certain actions when flowmeters break
- Requires water users to notify WRD of well or pump work
- Sets an initial Sustainable Annual Yield (SAY) for seven of the eight subareas and establishes a method to revise those values
- Limits water use to the SAY and establishes a method to distribute the SAY amongst users
- Defines reasonably stable water level

### **Current Administration of Area:**

- OWRD tracks pumpage and water levels in the area
- OWRD receives, compiles, and analyzes requests annually from users for an allocation
- Based on the SAY, requests, and other factors, OWRD determines annual allocations for each water right in the area

### **Process of Periodic Review:**

- OAR 690-507-0820 requires the department to determine whether a reasonably stable water level was achieved in each subarea in 1995 and every five years thereafter
- Allows for changes in SAY to achieve reasonably stable water levels
- Allows for modifications of subarea boundaries
- Allows for review of SAY and subarea boundaries at times other than the five year required review
- Requires a rulemaking hearing for changes to SAY or subarea boundaries

- Allows individual water users within the CGWA to petition the Department to modify SAY or subarea boundaries

**Description:**

The Stage Gulch CGWA is located within the Umatilla Basin in north-central Oregon. The area abuts the eastern boundary of the Butter Creek Critical Groundwater Area. The cities of Echo, Stanfield, and most of Hermiston are included within the boundaries. The area is entirely underlain by a thick sequence of numerous basalt lava flows of the Columbia River Basalt group, which is also the most important groundwater reservoir in the area.

Irrigation from groundwater sources in the Umatilla Basin, primarily from the basalt groundwater reservoir, increased rapidly in the late 1960s through the late 1970s. Several factors combined to encourage the rapid development of the basalt groundwater reservoir. These included more efficient hard-rock drilling methods, the large production of water available from typical deep basalt wells, new irrigation techniques, favorable crop prices, and the availability of relatively inexpensive electrical power. In the Stage Gulch area, the peak in the development occurred in the middle to late 1970s. Regional investigations indicated that for the period 1965 to 1980 water levels declined 50 feet or more in much of the Stage Gulch area.

Water levels continued to decline during the period 1980 to 1990, despite reduced pumpage documented in the area during that same period. Figure 15 shows the groundwater level decline that occurred between 1979 and 1991 due to unsustainable groundwater extraction from the aquifer, and the reduction in decline rate after implementation of the CGWA. Although the rates of decline in the deep basalt groundwater reservoir have slowed in some areas, total declines are at historically low levels in all subareas, and several areas continue to experience declines at rates similar to the pre-1991 rates (Figure 16).

Oregon Administrative Rule 690-507-0780 outlines the duties of the water users in the Stage Gulch Critical Groundwater Area. The rules require that each authorized well have an access port with a minimum diameter of  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch (690-507-0780(2)(a)), which allows the determination of the water level at any time. The rules also allow for installation of an airline in addition to the access port (690-507-0780 2b). As of 2016, the majority of authorized wells in the Critical Area do not have the required access port, however, many do have airlines that allow determination of water levels. At least one quarter of the authorized wells in the Critical Area have no means of determining water level at any time.

The rules also require a totalizing flowmeter be installed and maintained on each well authorized for 10 or more acres. The specifications for required flowmeters are outlined in 690-507-0785. The majority of the authorized wells do have flowmeters installed; however, many of these do not meet the required specifications. Specifically, it is common for flowmeters to roll over during the course of an irrigation season (690-507-0785(1)(e)), which causes difficulty in accurately assessing the total amount of water pumped during the year from each well.




Figure 15. Representative hydrograph for Stage Gulch CGWA Subarea G




Figure 16. Representative hydrograph for Stage Gulch CGWA Subarea H