

Water Resources Commission
Subcommittee on the Division 315 Municipal Extensions

Subcommittee Members

Mary Meloy
Jay Rasmussen
Susie Smith

Subcommittee Scope of Work

- Provide oversight for the Department's implementation of the Division 315 rules regarding conditions to maintain the persistence of listed fish species on certain municipal extension applications
- Provide an opportunity for public feedback on implementation of these provisions of the Division 315 rules

Schedule for Subcommittee Review

December 2005 – March 2006

Subcommittee Review of Department Implementation Plan and Schedule

- Department develops implementation plan for processing pending municipal extension applications that trigger "persistence" review by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW).
- Implementation plan includes description from ODFW on the approach they will use to review and recommend conditions to maintain the persistence of listed fish species.
- Implementation plan will also include a description for "bundling" groups of pending applications by basin or other criteria.

March 2006 – July 2006

Subcommittee Review of Issues and Comments on Pilot Extension Applications

- Department sends first "bundle" of municipal extension applications to ODFW as pilot group for persistence review.
- Department summarizes conditions proposed and issues raised on first "bundle" for Subcommittee Review.

July 2006 (or after WRD has received feedback from ODFW that includes "conditions")

Subcommittee Hosts Public Comment Opportunity

- Subcommittee hears from public and applicants on their concerns about the implementation process and proposed conditions to date.
- Subcommittee provides feedback on ways to adjust implementation and approach for next "bundle" of applications based on public comments and issues that have arisen on the pilot applications.

July 2006 – September 2006

Subcommittee Review of Issues on Next "Bundle" of Extension Applications

November 2006

Commission Evaluation of Division 315 Rules for Municipal Extensions (as required by OAR 690-315-0010(7))

**Summary of Pending Municipal Extensions & OWRD Implementation Activities
January 2006**

Number of Pending Municipal Extension Applications

Basin	Surface Water	Ground Water	TOTAL
Deschutes	4	6	10
Grande Ronde	1	2	3
John Day	4	8	12
Klamath	2	5	7
Malheur	0	1	1
North Willamette	26	23	49
North Coast	34	3	37
Rogue	13	0	13
South Willamette	13	7	20
Umpqua	14	0	14
TOTAL	111	55	166

Implementation Activities to Date:

1. OWRD staff are currently contacting municipalities with pending municipal extensions to identify “undeveloped” portion of permit for ODFW “persistence” review.
2. Pending ground water extensions for municipal use that are subject to Division 315 amendments have been forwarded to OWRD hydrogeologists for determination of the potential for substantial interference with surface water.