

#### Water Resources Department

North Mall Office Building 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, OR 97301-1266 503-986-0900 FAX 503-986-0904

#### **MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Water Resources Commission

**FROM:** Phillip C. Ward, Director

**SUBJECT:** Agenda Item H, May 13, 2011

Water Resources Commission Meeting

**Director's Report** 

#### I. Current Events:

<u>Current Water Conditions</u>: April 1 is a milestone because it is the point in the year that normally provides the best indication for water supplies in the months ahead. Normally, by April 1 it is expected that most snow measurement sites have reached their maximum snowpack for the year. On April 1 the snowpack in Oregon basins ranged from 100 percent of average in the Hood, Sandy and Lower Deschutes basin to 168 percent of average in the Owyhee and Malheur basin. Also, as of April 19 total precipitation for the water year ranged from 94 percent of normal in the coast range to a high of 136 percent of normal in the Owyhee Basin.

During the first 19 days in April there continued to be an improvement in snow pack in most parts of the states. On April 19 accumulated snowpack for the water year ranged from 128% of normal in the Umatilla, Walla Walla, Willow Basin to a high of 168% of normal in the Lake County Goose Lake Basin.

April 1 storage at 26 major Oregon reservoirs was 98 percent of average.

All water users in Oregon are expected to have average to well above average flows for their needs. April and May temperatures typically govern how quickly the snow melts - influencing the timing of runoff during the growing season.

### II. Commission Follow Up

## <u>Litigation Update</u>: <u>Water for Life, Inc., et al v. Oregon Water Resources Department, et al, Marion County Circuit Court</u>

Water-For-Life has filed an action against the Department for its participation in the Klamath Basin Restoration Agreement (KBRA). (Marion County Circuit Court Case No. 096-23629). The Department was a participant in the KBRA discussions, although not in a lead position. The Department's role has been to ensure that any agreements resulting from the KBRA are consistent with Oregon Water Law. A small portion of the draft restoration agreement has the potential to

WRC Agenda Item H May 13, 2011 Page 2

resolve five or six of the remaining Contests associated with the Klamath Adjudication. Discussions associated with development of the KBRA have been underway for about four years. The Department does not believe that there is merit to the Water-For-Life lawsuit.

Late on December 31, 2009, the Department was served with a request for a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) filed by Water-For-Life. The TRO requested that the Marion County Circuit Court restrain the Department from further participation in the KBRA process. Although a Marion County Circuit Court Judge issued a ruling denying the request for the TRO on January 7, 2010, this issue is not yet resolved. A hearing scheduled for January 14, 2010 was subsequently cancelled following the Judge's ruling. The proponents filed an amended Complaint, a hearing on the merits of the case will be scheduled in the future.

The final version of the KBRA was released to the public on January 8, 2010. The Department believes that the current version of the KBRA is consistent with Oregon Water Law.

On August 20, 2010, Water for Life filed an amended complaint.

A second amended complaint was filed late in December 2010. Motions are now being filed in response to this amended complaint.

This case is still pending in Marion County Circuit Court. No court date has been set.

# <u>Deborah Noble et al v. Oregon Water Resources Department, et al (Lytle), Clackamas County Circuit Court</u>

This case is filed in Clackamas County Circuit Court and is a petition for Judicial Review of a water right permit. An alternate reservoir application was filed on a small (1 acre foot) existing reservoir and the Nobles are raising issues regarding impacts to fish. The hearing was held the first full week of December. The Judge ruled that the Department's Final Order, authorizing the issuance on the Lytle alternate reservoir, was issued in violation of statutory provision. The ruling states that the information and data contained in the application was insufficient to meet the statutory eligibility criteria. However, the Judge concluded that a reasonable person could agree with the agency's conclusions in the Final Order and permit.

The Judge remanded the case to the Department for further action.

Noble has appealed the decision of Clackamas County Circuit Court.

## <u>Deborah Noble et al v. Oregon Water Resources Department, et al (Obirst), Clackamas County</u> Circuit Court

This case is filed in Clackamas County Circuit Court and is a petition for Judicial Review of a water right permit. An alternate reservoir application was filed on a small reservoir and the Nobles are raising issues regarding impacts to fish. This is very similar to the Noble v WRD case involving the Lytle water right application. Discussions are continuing with the Noble attorney to resolve this matter.

## <u>Oregon Water Resources Department v. William Cummings, Jr., Cummings Well Drilling, Lake County Circuit Court</u>

The Water Resources Department filed an action in Lake County Circuit Court to enjoin William Cummings Jr. and Cummings Well Drilling from drilling wells. Mr. Cummings was formerly licensed as a well constructor with the State of Oregon, but his well constructors license was suspended several years ago for numerous infractions and for failure to pay an assessed civil penalty. This is the first use of this enforcement tool since the Commission delegated authority during its meeting February 19, 2010. No court date has been scheduled.

It was reported that Mr. Cummings has continued to contract with landowners to provide well construction services. The Commission, at its June 4, 2010 meeting, authorized the Director to take action under ORS 537.780(1)(i) to seize the well drilling rig. On July 15, 2010, the Criminal Justice section of the Attorney General's Office, in conjunction with the Water Resources Department, seized the well drilling rig. The rig was set up over a well on private property in Lake County. The landowners provided their full cooperation and allowed access to their property for the seizure. The watermaster is assisting the landowners in their efforts to have the well completed in compliance with Oregon Laws relating to well construction.

The Attorney General's Office, Criminal Justice Section is handling this matter in Lake County Circuit Court. The case is set for Jury Trial on July 21st.

#### Wolfgang Nebmaier and Vajra Ma v. Water Resources Department and others

This case involves Judicial Review of a Final Order in a Contested Case. The contested case was the result of the Department receiving affidavits alleging forfeiture of water rights. The water use included domestic use and irrigation of 0.6 acre. The contested case resulted in a finding that the portions of the water rights in question had been forfeited. Nebmaier and Vajra Ma filed Exceptions with the Commission. The Commission denied the Exceptions. The case is now pending before the Oregon Court of Appeals.

# John Steel v Water Resources Commission, Water Resources Department and Symbiotics, LLC

This is a petition for Judicial Review of a Final Order of the Commission regarding a hydroelectric license. This matter is before the Oregon Court of Appeals. Oral argument is set for May 24<sup>th</sup>.

This is an appeal from a final order issued by the Department approving a water right permit for Symbiotics, LLC to divert water for a hydroelectric generating unit in the Row River at Dorena Dam near Springfield. Symbiotics also sought a license for a hydroelectric project. The Petitioner, John Steel, seeks to have the final order granting both the water right and license set aside on grounds it was issued contrary to Oregon Law and was not supported by substantial evidence in the record. The Department believes that its actions are supported by law.

Oral argument before the Oregon Court of Appeals is set for April 25, 2011.

### Daniel Micke v Water Resources Department and the Water Resources Commission and others

This is a petition for Judicial Review of a Final Order approving issuance of a permit to store water. The reservoir is located in Lake County and this matter was filed in Marion County Circuit Court. The case has been ongoing for some time. Numerous meetings and discussions have occurred with Micke's legal counsel to resolve this matter. A settlement agreement has been tentatively agreed to by the parties.

This matter has been dismissed.

## <u>Petes Mountain Homeowners Association and others v The Oregon Water Resources</u> <u>Commission and Department and Petes Mountain Water Company Inc.</u>

This is a petition for Judicial Review of a Final Order approving a water right permit amendment. The case was filed in Clackamas County Circuit Court. The court ruled in favor of the water company and Department. This ruling was subsequently appealed to the Oregon Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals reversed the ruling of the Circuit Court and remanded back to the Circuit Court. The Circuit has scheduled a hearing date.

Rulemaking: Please refer to the attached Anticipated Rulemaking Schedule.

III. Commission/Board Schedules	Location	Date
State Land Board	TBA	TBA
Environmental Quality Commission	Pendleton	June 16-17, 2011
Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries	Portland	June 24, 2011
Parks and Recreation Commission	Newberg	May 25, 2011
Fish and Wildlife Commission	Salem	June 3, 2011
Board of Forestry	Salem	June 8, 2011
Land Conservation and Development Commission	Salem	May 19, 2011
Board of Agriculture	Salem	June 6-8, 2011
Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board	Bend	June 14-15, 2011
Water Resources Commission	Salem	Aug 18-19, 2011

Attachment: Rulemaking Schedule