Oregon
Kate Brown, Governor

Water Resources Department
North Mall Office Building
725 Summer St NE, Suite A
Salem, OR 97301
Phone (503) 986-0900
Fax (503) 986-0904
www.Oregon.gov/OWRD

MEMORANDUM

TO: Water Resources Commission

FROM: Justin Iverson, Groundwater Section Manager
Harmony Burright, Planning Coordinator

SUBJECT: Agenda Item G, February 18, 2021
Water Resources Commission Meeting

Walla Walla Subbasin Cooperative Basin Study

I. Introduction

This informational report provides an update on the Oregon Water Resources Department's work related to Groundwater in the Walla Walla Basin.

II. Background

The Department last provided an update on groundwater in the Walla Walla Basin at the September 5, 2019 Commission Meeting. The Agenda Item B staff report for that meeting described the events leading to the Department's focus on this area. In short, surface water in the basin is generally fully appropriated and groundwater levels in both the shallow alluvial and deep basalt aquifers are declining; this is resulting in interference complaints from senior groundwater users no longer able to appropriate their usual amount of water.

The Department typically evaluates groundwater resources through cooperative, cost-share science programs with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the Oregon Department of GEologic and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI), and other scientific partners. State funds are often leveraged through federal cost-match funds when partnering with these agencies. The ultimate objective of these cooperative basin studies is to develop a shared understanding of a basin-wide groundwater system, based on accepted scientific methodology, which constitutes a technical foundation for future planning, policy, and management decisions. The Department is currently undertaking a groundwater basin study in the Walla Walla Subbasin.

III. Groundwater Basin Scope of Study

The hydrologic Walla Walla Basin extends across two states and is an area of traditional and customary use by the people of the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR). The study area encompasses the entire hydrologic basin (Figure 1).

As a result, the study is a collaboration between the Department, the USGS, and the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) [referred to as the cooperating agencies], in close coordination with the CTUIR.

Figure 1. Walla Walla Basin Groundwater Study area (credit: USGS).



Basemap from arcgis online: USGS Topo

The cooperating agencies recently agreed upon a workplan to develop a quantitative conceptual understanding of the Walla Walla Basin groundwater-flow system. This will be determined by the rates and distribution of groundwater recharge and discharge throughout the region, quantification of the interaction of groundwater and surface water, characterization of the geologic controls on groundwater flow, and identification of major hydrogeologic units.

The general steps in the workplan scope are as follows:

1. Groundwater Basin Study Workplan
2. Current Understanding and Data Gap Assessment (based on existing information)
3. Data Collection
4. Analysis/Interpretation
    a. Hydrogeologic Framework
    b. Groundwater Budget
    c. Integrated Groundwater Flow System
5. Updated Understanding (based on existing information and newly collected data/analyses)
6. Report Writing
7. Peer Review and Publication

The full groundwater basin study workplan is included as Attachment 1. The study is planned to be completed in over 4 years for a total cost of approximately $4 million, of which 40 percent will be covered by federal funds and the remainder will be split between Oregon and Washington.

IV. Basin Study Public Participation Plan

The cooperating agencies are committed to keeping the public and basin stakeholders informed and involved over the course of the groundwater study. During the scoping phase, the cooperating agencies held a virtual meeting to provide a high-level overview of the proposed groundwater study and asked for feedback on the following:

1. What is one question you hope the groundwater study is able to answer? Why is this question important to you?
2. What is one thing that the groundwater study team can do over the course of the study to ensure that the final products are both trusted and useful? How do you envision being involved to make this happen?

More than 90 members of the public registered for the meeting and over 70 participated. See Attachment 2 for a summary of the comments received and how they are being addressed. A summary of the meeting as well as a video recording is available on the Department website at: www.oregon.gov/OWRD/programs/GWWL/GW/WallaWallaSubbasin.

In addition to the public scoping meeting, a survey was distributed to gather additional inputs from attendees as well as from those who were unable to attend. Field staff also had one-on-one conversations to get a deeper understanding of stakeholder interests and needs as they relate to public participation opportunities.

Based on this early feedback and ongoing conversations with the cooperating agencies, the Department drafted a Public Participation Plan. The plan is now available for review and feedback along with the final Groundwater Basin Study Workplan. See Attachment 3 for a summary of public participation activities.

The cooperating agencies propose to have virtual public presentations each spring and an in-person event each fall. Beginning in fall 2021, a technical advisory group will be convened to allow for an ongoing exchange of information and feedback as the study progresses. The technical advisory group will be convened twice per year. The website and a mailing list will be maintained. Quarterly emails will be sent out describing the work performed during the previous quarter as well as upcoming work. These updates will include photos and videos from the field.

The Department will also seek to understand specific needs and challenges of basin stakeholders as it relates to their ability to participate and will devise and execute strategies to increase equitable access to public participation activities. The needs and strategies will be documented and communicated to the public. It should be noted, however, that resources (both funding and staff time) are limited and any activities will have to account for these resource constraints discussed below.

V. Financial Constraints

The 2019 legislature funded a portion of the Department's Policy Option Package (POP) #102, which included six new positions and $500,000 to conduct a second cooperative basin study (the Walla Walla), in addition to the ongoing Harney Basin cooperative study. The state budget situation has deteriorated significantly since then and the Department has been required to undertake budget reductions and cost-saving measures. As a result, only one of the six positions has been able to be filled to date.

Looking to the future, the Governor's Recommended Budget for the 2021-23 biennium proposes to reduce general funds for groundwater studies and observation wells from approximately $1.2 million for the 2019-21 biennium to a proposed $200,000 in the 2021-23 biennium.

With the Department's Exempt Use Fee funds and the financial assistance from our study cooperators, we expect to be able to fund the Walla Walla Basin study as scoped over the next four years. However, we will not have the resources to initiate another concurrent basin study during this time. Similarly, the Department has reallocated staff resources to support the public participation activities described in this report, though our level of engagement will be less than what was done in the Harney Basin and less than would be possible with a dedicated position.

VI. Conclusion

The Department is initiating a 4-year, $4 million groundwater study of the Walla Walla Basin, in cooperation with the U.S. Geological Survey and the Washington Department of Ecology, and in coordination with the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation. The Department has developed a public participation plan to guide our engagement with local stakeholders and other interested parties over the course of the study. Staff will update the Commission as these efforts progress.

Attachments:

1. A Cooperative Study of the Walla Walla River Basin Groundwater System, Oregon - Washington (Groundwater Basin Study Workplan)
2. Walla Walla Groundwater Basin Study – Public Meeting Summary
3. Walla Walla Groundwater Basin Study – Public Participation Plan Summary

Justin Iverson
(503) 302-9728

Harmony Burright
(971) 301-0718

A cooperative study of the Walla Walla River Basin groundwater system, Oregon-Washington

A proposal prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey for the Washington Department of Ecology Central and Eastern Offices and the Oregon Water Resources Department

February 4, 2021

Prepared by:
Andy Long[1] (ajlong@usgs.gov)
Amanda Garcia[2] (cgarcia@usgs.gov)
Hank Johnson[2] (hjohnson@usgs.gov)
Wendy Welch[1] (wwelch@usgs.gov)

[1] U.S. Geological Survey Washington Water Science Center
[2] U.S. Geological Survey Oregon Water Science Center

Contents

Summary

Problem

Groundwater levels in the Walla Walla River Basin (WWRB) have been declining since the 1940s (Newcomb, 1965). The largest demand on groundwater is from irrigated agriculture. Surface water is over appropriated, and groundwater declines reduce summer streamflow required for fish populations, including several listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). The WWRB spans the state boundary of Oregon and Washington, adding to the challenge of managing the basin's water resources. A comprehensive transboundary study to characterize and quantify the groundwater-flow system will inform water management plans at a basin-wide scale.

Objectives and Scope

The objective of this study is to develop a three-dimensional quantitative and conceptual understanding of the groundwater-flow system bounded by the areal extent of the WWRB, with emphasis on the interaction of groundwater and surface water and related hydrogeologic controls.

Relevance and Benefits

The proposed study results will provide local communities, conservation groups, and natural resource and regulatory agencies with the information necessary to characterize current conditions and assess impacts of human activities in the WWRB. The study is consistent with the goals of the USGS Water Availability and Use Science Program (WAUSP) that assists in the determination of water that is available for human and ecological uses now and in the future, and includes evaluating the quantity and quality of water, identifying long-term trends in water availability, and developing an improved ability to forecast water availability for economic and environmental uses. The study will generate published reports, datasets, and models that will be publicly accessible online.

Approach

The approach consists of assembling existing data and collecting new data, including borehole geologic logs, groundwater levels, streamflow, springflow, and geochemistry. On the basis of these data, a hydrogeologic framework will be developed, which will consist of a surficial hydrogeologic map, a three-dimensional digital hydrogeologic model of the subsurface, potentiometric maps of the hydrogeologic units therein, and estimates of the units' hydraulic properties. A groundwater budget will be estimated, which will include evapotranspiration, groundwater recharge, exchanges of groundwater and surface water, groundwater use, and interbasin groundwater flow. A flow-system evaluation will bring the results of all project components together to describe the groundwater system conceptually and quantitatively.

Introduction and background

The 1,777 mi^2 Walla Walla River Basin (WWRB) spans the state boundary of Washington and Oregon and drains into the Columbia River on the east side of the Cascade Mountains (fig. 1). Groundwater levels in the Walla Walla River Basin (WWRB) have been declining since the 1940s (Newcomb, 1965; Vaccaro and other, 2015). These declines of as much as 150 feet suggest that groundwater use exceeds natural recharge (Oregon Water Resources Department, 2018). Irrigated agriculture accounts for the largest groundwater use but groundwater also supplies industrial, municipal, domestic, and livestock needs. Groundwater is well connected to streams in the WWRB (Newcomb, 1965; MacNish and others, 1973), and groundwater declines result in streamflow decreases during the dry summers.

Meeting the needs of rural and urban growth in the basin while maintaining sufficient instream flows for fish is a challenge (Washington Department of Ecology, 2020). Affected fish include several listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Litigation settlements under the ESA have resulted in irrigators in Oregon and Washington limiting their water use (McPherson, 2020). Further complicating the issue, water resources in the basin have been “over-appropriated” in Washington for decades, meaning that if all water-rights holders used their full allotments, streams would run dry (Walla Walla Watershed Management Partnership, 2018).

Water resources in the WWRB are available from deep basalt aquifers (Columbia River Basalt Group), the overlying basin-fill aquifer, streams, and springs. Although these hydraulically connected components of the WWRB have been investigated across multiple scales, a basin-wide understanding of the integrated system is limited. For example, basalt units in some parts of the WWRB exhibit limited connectivity with adjacent basalt units due to complex folding and faulting related to uplift of the Blue Mountains and the Yakima Fold and Thrust Belt (Golder Associates, 2007). Ely and others (2014) and Vaccaro and others (2015) described groundwater flow in these complex basalt aquifers and the basin-fill aquifer for 33,000 mi^2 of the Columbia River Basin, which is 18 times the size of the WWRB. While these studies help to understand groundwater resources in the context of the larger Columbia River Basin, they don’t include the detail desired for management at the WWRB scale.

The Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) has taken steps to mitigate groundwater declines by (1) closing the Oregon part of the basin to additional groundwater development, (2) requiring meters on all permitted wells accessing the basalt aquifer system to better quantify withdrawals, and (3) initiating a public forum to discuss voluntary and regulatory options to reduce water use (Oregon Water Resources Department, 2019). Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) recently established a new strategic planning effort known as *Walla Walla Water 2050* that enlists water users, conservationists, and private citizens along with representatives from tribal, federal, state, and local governments and agencies to help plan the watershed’s future (Washington State Department of Ecology, 2020). One such group is the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR), which maintains treaty rights to fish within the WWRB.

Walla Walla Water 2050 extends and builds on ten years of work done by the Walla Walla Water Management Partnership that focused on water rights, flow issues, and a bi-state flow study which supports restoration of ecological functions to support spring Chinook and ESA-listed steelhead and bull trout.



Figure 1. Study area, showing the Walla Walla River Basin.

Problem

Decades of declining groundwater levels in the WWRB described by Newcomb (1965) and Vaccaro and others (2015) are affecting instream flows and water availability for competing interests. OWRD and Ecology recognize the significance of the problem and have begun management and planning efforts to stabilize groundwater levels across the WWRB and restore instream flows. In concert with these efforts, OWRD and Ecology recognize the need for a comprehensive transboundary study to characterize the groundwater-flow system in the WWRB to inform planning and water management decisions at a basin-wide scale.

Objectives, scope, and tasks

The objective of this study is to develop a quantitative and conceptual understanding of the WWRB groundwater-flow system. The scope will consist of the estimation of the rates and distribution of groundwater recharge and discharge throughout the basin, quantification of the interaction of groundwater and surface water, identification of major hydrogeologic units, characterization of the geologic controls on groundwater flow, and estimation of potentiometric surfaces and groundwater-flow paths. This work plan comprises Phase I of the groundwater study. A future phase of the study, Phase II, will focus on developing a simulation tool, such as a numerical groundwater-flow model, to assess the conceptualization of the flow system and simulate effects of potential future water-use scenarios on groundwater and surface-water resources in the basin. Tasks for Phase I consist of the following:

1. Conduct a literature review, compile existing data, and define data gaps
2. Collect new data where necessary to fill priority data gaps.
3. Develop and describe the hydrogeologic framework. This will consist of defining important hydrogeologic units, developing a three-dimensional surface and subsurface digital model of the units, a summary of the hydraulic properties of each unit, and potentiometric maps of selected units.
4. Estimate the groundwater budget composed of inflows, outflows, and storage changes in the WWRB.
5. Describe the integrated groundwater-flow system, including groundwater flow and trends, geochemistry and groundwater age, and groundwater/surface-water interactions.
6. Select an appropriate tool to simulate the flow-system scenarios and develop a workplan to build this tool for Phase II of this study.
7. Document study findings in peer reviewed reports and provide data in publicly available databases and clearinghouses.

This study is a collaboration primarily between the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Ecology, and OWRD, with input from the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR), which maintains treaty rights to fish within the WWRB. Project tasks are described in detail in the body of this document, with a summary in the Timeline section. This work plan describes how tasks will be carried out among the USGS Oregon Water Science

Center (USGS-OR), the USGS Washington Water Science Center (USGS-WA), Ecology, and OWRD.

Relevance and benefits of the study

The proposed study results will provide local communities, conservation groups, tribes, and natural resource and regulatory agencies with the information necessary to characterize current conditions and assess impacts of human activities in the WWRB. The study is consistent with the goals of the USGS Water Availability and Use Science Program (WAUSP) that assists in the determination of water that is available for human and ecological uses now and in the future, and includes evaluating the quantity and quality of water, identifying long-term trends in water availability, and developing an improved ability to forecast water availability for economic and environmental uses. The study will generate published reports, datasets, and models that will be publicly accessible online.

Literature review and data compilation

General material

Existing information related to wells, springs, stream and canal flow, surface-water management, climate, soils, water-use, and other geohydrologic data will be gathered with special attention given to assessing the accuracy and reliability of the information. Available information will be obtained from published reports, government agencies, public utilities, and other sources. Published reports contain information on wells used to assess geology, water levels, hydraulic properties, water use, and streamflow characteristics and include maps of geology, soils, land use, water tables, and other geohydrologic features. The OWRD and Ecology maintain well information that can be used to help describe geology, water levels, hydraulic properties, and water use. All hydrogeologic data will be stored in digital format. Map data will be acquired and stored in GIS format whenever possible.

Compile and assess existing data

Previously published hydrologic data for the WWRB will be compiled and used as a baseline for understanding the groundwater system and identifying gaps in understanding. On this basis, an analysis of data gaps will guide plans for new data collection including data types and locations. Existing data will be compiled primarily in FY2021 with less intense efforts likely continuing throughout the study duration. Any additional data collection will seek to improve spatial and temporal coverage of water levels, streamflow, and geochemical data. Additional data will be collected as funding permits.

The CTUIR and Walla Walla Basin Watershed Council (WWBWC), among other stakeholders, have collected hydrologic data for several years and have shared a wealth of information through reports and personal contacts. Local hydrologic datasets will be considered in the data compilation component of the study and those selected for inclusion will be quality checked and assured using standard protocols developed by the USGS and OWRD. Data selection criteria will be based, in part, on documented data collection procedures and data publication.

General hydrologic data

Major categories of data to be compiled include the following:

- groundwater levels, including continuous and discrete measurements, from USGS, OWRD, Ecology, and other stakeholders
- geologic borehole logs, drillers' reports, oil and gas borehole logs, and geothermal logs
- streamgage data, including continuous and discrete measurements of flow and stage, from USGS, OWRD, Ecology, and other stakeholders
- spring locations and measured flows
- geochemical data from USGS, OWRD, Ecology, peer-reviewed technical literature, consultant reports, and academic theses and dissertations
- hydraulic properties of hydrogeologic units consisting of transmissivity, hydraulic conductivity, specific yield, and specific storage. Data will be obtained from results of previous studies, aquifer testing, and specific capacity data
- climatological datasets from publicly available sources, including raster data from PRISM, GridMET, or Daymet; and point measurements from Global Historical Climatology Network, AgriMet, SNOTEL, and Snow Course Data if available

Geologic and hydrogeologic frameworks

Three-dimensional geologic and hydrogeologic framework models and groundwater-flow models of the WWRB will be compiled. Several geologic and hydrogeologic framework models have been developed over the last 40 years such as Drost and others (1986), Whiteman and others (1994), Burns and others (2010), and Kahle and others (2009, 2011).

Water budgets and associated datasets

Previous estimates of the groundwater and surface-water budgets for the WWRB will be compiled from published sources and used to guide a refined and updated estimate of the groundwater budget. These include simulated water budgets from groundwater models (Petrides Jimenez, 2012; Ely and others, 2015; Scherberg and others, 2018) as well as earlier estimates (Newcomb, 1965; Barker and MacNish, 1976; MacNish and Barker, 1976; Bauer and Vaccaro, 1990).

Water use and management data, including surface-water routing and surface-water and groundwater irrigation data, will be compiled to provide a basis for estimating water-use components of the water budget. Existing information on the current and historical routing of surface water and extent of irrigated land will be compiled from available sources such as OWRD, Ecology, Washington Department of Agriculture, and the Walla Walla Irrigation District. Available managed aquifer recharge data for both sediment and basalt aquifers will be compiled.

New data collection

Groundwater-level measurements

Groundwater-level measurements will be used for mapping potentiometric surfaces, understanding seasonal and long-term effects of pumping, and assessing recent changes in groundwater level. When possible, previously monitored wells will be selected for new measurements to facilitate long-term trend analysis.

Existing network

Groundwater-level measurements by OWRD and USGS-WA have been ongoing in the WWRB. OWRD's annual winter-synoptic well network consists of 185 wells in Oregon, 125 of which are completed in basalt and 60 of which are completed in basin fill. OWRD's quarterly measurement network consists of about 12 wells completed in basin fill or basalt; basalt wells are equipped with continuous recorders. USGS-WA has been measuring a winter-synoptic well network of 25 basalt wells since 2018 and visited an additional 53 basin-fill wells with the WWBWC during January 2020.

Future plans

OWRD and USGS-WA are actively working to increase the number of wells in their synoptic and quarterly networks in the basin. OWRD plans to expand the annual synoptic network in Oregon to include approximately 10 additional basalt wells in upland areas near the southern basin boundary (fig. 1) and 10 additional basin-fill wells in lowland areas. USGS-WA plans to expand the Washington synoptic network to include 20-30 of the 53 basin-fill wells visited in 2020 and an additional 80-120 basin-fill and basalt wells in the Washington part of the WWRB to ensure sufficient areal and depth coverage in basin-fill and basalt units. Particular emphasis will focus on including wells in the Touchet subbasin. The well inventory and network expansion by the USGS-WA is supported by a Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 agreement that was delayed because of the COVID-19 pandemic and will commence in 2021. The total synoptic well network in Washington will then be 125-175 wells. The synoptic networks for Oregon and Washington will be measured during winter of FY22 and FY23 as part of Phase 1 data collection.

Quarterly well networks will be expanded to include 50 wells in Washington and as many as 40 wells in Oregon as part of this Phase I workplan. Quarterly networks will represent a subset of synoptic well networks and will be focused on measuring static water-level conditions. Quarterly networks will be measured about every 3 months starting in April 2022 and ending in July 2024. These quarterly network measurements will follow directly after the quarterly measurements planned for 2021 as part of the FY 2020 agreement previously mentioned. Also, the winter synoptic well network that began in 2018 will be repeated in February 2021 and 2022.

Wells with continuous water-level recorders are important to track groundwater-system responses to variable pumping and recharge patterns. Currently, 19 WWRB wells are equipped with continuous transducers—7 wells in Oregon operated by OWRD and 12 wells in Washington operated by Ecology. Oregon recorder wells are completed in basalt units, whereas the Washington wells are completed in basin-fill. As many as five additional transducers will be deployed by OWRD in synoptic wells in Oregon during this study. In Washington, USGS will install water-level recorders in eight wells, contingent upon finding suitable wells that are not pumped and obtaining access permission.

Conduct seepage runs

Streams and irrigation canals in the WWRB gain and lose water through exchange with the groundwater system. Quantifying the volume and location of the gain or loss is important for a groundwater budget. A seepage run consists of streamflow measurements at multiple locations along a stream and its tributaries. The purpose is to quantify stream gains and losses for the reaches between measurement points. A seepage run was conducted for the Walla Walla River, the Touchet River, and Mill Creek during August 2020 (fig. 2), which consisted of 64 measurement stations in Washington and 22 stations in Oregon. Agricultural diversions and outflows from water treatment plants were measured. Return flows from agricultural diversions were assumed minimal during this time. USGS and Ecology made the Washington measurements, and ORWD made the Oregon measurements. The August 2020 seepage run will be repeated four times: twice annually during periods of low irrigation.

Monitor streamflow

Continuous streamflow data are valuable for analyzing groundwater flow patterns and discharge, because baseflow and seepage can be estimated from these records to assess groundwater gains and losses. Thirteen real-time streamgages currently are operating within the WWRB by USGS (5 stations), Ecology (4 stations) and OWRD (4 stations) (fig. 2). The Walla Walla River, Touchet River, and Mill Creek each host three stations, and the North Fork and South Fork Walla Walla River each host one station. Two additional stations, operated by USGS under a separate agreement, are to be installed during this study: Mill Creek at Last Chance Road and Walla Walla River at 15th Street Bridge, Milton-Freewater. The CTUIR and WWBWC operate several additional streamgages in the WWRB.



Figure 2. August 2020 seepage run measurement locations.

Petrides Jimenez (2012) noted that the largest sources of uncertainty in simulated basin-fill water budgets were ungaged streams discharging onto basin-fill sediments and canal diversions. Miscellaneous, historical measurements are available for some currently ungaged sites and diversions along the Little Walla Walla and Mud creek are actively being measured by the WWBWC. Additionally, annual seepage runs will provide a discharge measurement for a single point in time. To improve the temporal coverage at ungaged streams and irrigation canals, several options are being considered to estimate (1) annual discharge and (2) gains and losses, including:

- quarterly discrete streamflow measurements at approximately 30 ungaged sites; this network could include many additional sites to observe and document the presence or absence of flow,
- installation of additional continuous streamgages that monitor stage but not flow, which are less costly than full streamgages,
- installation of cameras to document the presence or absence of flow, and
- deployment of pressure transducers or temperature sensors to document the presence or absence of flow.

One or more of these, or similar, options will be employed; selection criteria will be guided by previous publications, field reconnaissance, consultation with project partners, and available funding and staff to install and maintain gaging stations and process data.

Conduct hydraulic tests in wells

Opportunities for aquifer tests will be pursued by OWRD to improve estimates of hydraulic properties in basalt and basin-fill units. These may include slug tests, single-well aquifer tests, and (or) multiple-well aquifer tests. The necessity will be determined after reviewing available aquifer-test and drillers' test data and existing and derived estimates of hydraulic properties for major hydrogeologic units. OWRD plans to conduct approximately 2 multiple-well aquifer tests during the first two years of the study. During Phase I, additional hydraulic testing opportunities will be evaluated by USGS and OWRD. For example, production wells used for public water supply or irrigation that are within adequate proximity to potential monitoring wells, such as non-pumping irrigation wells, will be identified. Opportunities for this type of multiple-well test and other similar opportunities will be pursued during Phase II and included in the Phase II workplan.

Collect new geochemical data

Geochemical tracers will be used to quantify the residence time of groundwater, elucidate flow paths, and help identify recharge areas. Tracers to be used include stable isotopes of water (^{2}H and ^{18}O), tritium (^{3}H), sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6), carbon-14 (^{14}C), and dissolved gases. A summary of the budgeted number of samples of each type is provided in table 1, but the actual number of each type of sample will depend on access, sampling conditions, and project needs. Adjustments to sample types and sample number will be made within the constraints of a fixed sampling budget. Water samples from 50-60 synoptic wells in Washington, completed at a range of depths, will be sampled for analysis of stable isotopes of water (^{2}H and ^{18}O) and tritium (^{3}H), as part of the 2020 funded work that was delayed because of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 1. Budgeted number of geochemical tracer samples.

Analysis	Number of samples	Analytical lab
Stable isotopes of water	300	USGS Stable Isotope Lab, Reston, VA
Tritium	100	USGS Tritium Lab, Menlo Park, CA *or* University of Miami Tritium Lab, Miami, FL
Sulfur hexafluoride	50	USGS Groundwater Dating Lab, Reston, VA
Carbon-14	50	National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry Facility at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA
Dissolved gases	50	USGS Groundwater Dating Lab, Reston, VA *or* USGS Noble Gas Lab, Denver, CO

Continuous measurements of specific conductance will be used to estimate baseflow in Mill Creek, NF Walla Walla River, and SF Walla Walla River using an end-member mixing chemical hydrograph separation method. Conductivity sondes will be deployed at or near gaging stations on the three rivers. The sonde on Mill Creek will be deployed and maintained by USGS staff, and the sondes on NF Walla Walla River and SF Walla Walla River will be deployed and maintained by staff from OWRD. Measurements of specific conductance and temperature will be made opportunistically from wells and streams throughout the basin using handheld meters.

Measure spring discharge

Springs with published measurements and other notable unmeasured springs will be inventoried and measured where possible. Piper and others (1933) and Newcomb (1965) reported about 30 sizable springs each discharging more than 100 gallons per minute, most of which issued from stream-channel walls on the alluvial fan and valley lowlands. A subset of these springs has been monitored by the WWBWC since about 2005. As of 2017, 5 of the springs monitored each discharged more than 600 gallons per minute (Cobb and Keller, 2019). Barker and MacNish (1976) identified five spring discharge zones on the WWRB alluvial fan and lowlands where coarse sediment intersects clay and silt. Spring locations will be compiled from existing datasets such as the USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD; U.S. Geological Survey, 2019) and previous reports and selected sites will be field inventoried. A subset of 10 – 15 major springs will be measured twice per year to obtain an estimate of annual discharge and evaluate temporal variability in discharge. Where springs discharge into stream channels within the seepage run network, discharges will be quantified from the seepage data.

Hydrogeologic framework development

The hydrogeologic framework will be constructed using information from borehole geologic logs, drillers' reports, geologic maps, land-surface altitudes, and previous investigations. The horizontal extent of the framework will extend beyond the WWRB to include an area that can potentially influence groundwater flow within the boundaries of the WWRB. Knowledge will be gained from the hydrogeologic framework developed for the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System (CPRAS; Ely and others, 2015). The new framework will be at a higher spatial resolution than the CPRAS framework and will include more recent borehole data, geologic maps, and land-surface data. The spatial distribution, continuity, and hydrologic properties of major hydrogeologic units in the basin will be determined and processes used to identify and combine geologic units with similar hydrologic properties will be described. Structural controls on groundwater flow also will be identified and described.

Define hydrogeologic units

Hydrogeologic units for the WWRB will be defined using hydraulic properties, surficial geologic mapping, interpretation of subsurface lithology from driller's well reports, and rock geochemistry. The geologic and hydraulic characteristics of each hydrogeologic unit will be described and summarized. If there are sufficient data, the spatial distribution of one or more hydraulic properties may be developed for one or more hydrogeologic units.

Develop a surficial hydrogeologic map

A surficial hydrogeologic map will be developed from published geologic mapping and hydrologic interpretation of those units. The first step in creating the hydrogeologic map will be to create a simplified geologic map depicting surficial exposures of geologic units and major structural features on the basis of published geologic mapping in the region. Existing geologic maps cover different parts of the basin and will be used to create one seamless map. To the extent possible, the new basin-wide map will resolve discrepancies in mapping by different authors to provide a unified understanding of the geology across the study area, particularly across the state line. As needed, study personnel will consult with geologists from Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) and Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to ensure consistent geologic interpretation and incorporate new information from recent mapping in the area. The next step will be to combine geologic units of similar hydraulic properties, spatial continuity, or other geologic characteristics into hydrogeologic units defined to meet the objectives of this study.

Define the three-dimensional geometry of hydrogeologic units

The three-dimensional geometry of the subsurface hydrogeology will be defined using lithology, mineralogy, and geochemistry from drilling programs, lithologic information interpreted from drillers' well reports, geophysical surveys, and any other source of subsurface information. Top and bottom elevations and thickness of hydrogeologic units will be produced as raster datasets. Where the hydrogeologic units crop out, the land surface will define the unit top. These datasets will be published in a data release as GIS layers.

Develop potentiometric maps

Potentiometric surface maps will be developed for major hydrogeologic units underlying WWRB. The extent and number of maps will be determined once the hydrogeologic units have been developed and after an evaluation of available data to constrain the potentiometric surfaces. It is expected that at least two maps will be developed—one representing hydraulic heads in basin fill and one or more representing those of the basalt. The ability to define more than one basalt unit as part of the hydrogeologic framework and develop associated potentiometric maps will depend on data availability. The potentiometric surface maps will be produced as geospatial datasets and published along with the final hydrogeologic framework report.

Estimate hydraulic properties and evaluate connectivity of hydrogeologic units

Estimates of hydraulic conductivity (K), transmissivity (T), specific storage (S_s), and specific yield (S_y) will be compiled from the literature and calculated from new or existing specific capacity, pump-test, or slug-test data. New, calculated values will be derived from analytical and (or) simple numerical models, or empirical methods.

Hydraulic connectivity between surface water and groundwater will be evaluated through seepage runs and evaluation of groundwater levels in response to seasonal changes in streamflow. Connectivity among subsurface units will be evaluated using previously published aquifer tests and ongoing isolated pumping that can be monitored and used to evaluate hydraulic connections.

Groundwater budget estimation

The groundwater budget in the WWRB will be estimated and evaluated over multiple decades. The budget period will be determined after evaluating data availability. Groundwater budget components consist of recharge, discharge, and changes in groundwater storage. Recharge or inflow occurs through infiltration of precipitation, stream channel losses, irrigation losses from canals and beneath fields, artificial aquifer recharge, and potentially, interbasin groundwater flow. Discharge or outflow occurs through stream baseflow, springs, lakes, groundwater pumpage, evapotranspiration (ET), and potentially, interbasin groundwater flow. Changes in groundwater storage represent differences between groundwater recharge and discharge. Estimates of sub-area water budget components made in this study will be compared with estimates from previous studies such as those from the CPRAS model (Ely and others, 2015).

Recharge

Infiltration of precipitation

Groundwater recharge from infiltration of precipitation will be estimated using tools such as the Deep Percolation Model (Bauer and Vaccaro, 1986, 1990), the Soil-Water-Balance (SWB) Model (Westenbroek, 2010), or Precipitation Runoff Modeling System (PRMS; Markstrom and others, 2015). Water balance tools will be informed using many types of spatial and temporal data including land use and cover, soils, and climate among others. Estimates of recharge from precipitation will be constrained using local and regional groundwater discharge estimates.

Stream channel and irrigation canal losses

Recharge from stream channel and irrigation canal losses will be estimated using a surface-water balance incorporating streamflow, irrigation and management diversions, crop water use, groundwater levels, and existing canal loss data. Water exchange between streams and canals and the groundwater system will be evaluated using existing streamgage data, seepage run measurements, and nearby groundwater-level measurements. Elevation differences between shallow wells near streams and stream stage will be used to assess the groundwater response to stream and canal losses. The complexity of natural groundwater and surface-water exchanges coupled with exchanges related to irrigation activities might preclude distinguishing recharge from stream channel losses, from surface-water irrigation losses beneath canals and fields, and from losses related to managed aquifer recharge. Recharge estimates along stream reaches will represent the sum of gains and losses.

Infiltration of irrigation water

Recharge from infiltration of irrigation water will be assessed using estimates of irrigation application volumes and crop water use. The difference between crop water use and water application estimates is referred to as efficiency losses. Efficiency losses can be attributed to runoff, return flow to the stream, wind losses, evaporation, or recharge. Efficiency losses will be apportioned to these components based on published estimates for similar crop and soil types. Water application estimates will be based on groundwater use (see Groundwater use section) and surface-water application data and published irrigation efficiency estimates based on crop type, irrigation application method, and soil type (Washington State Department of Ecology, 2005).

Estimates of stream, canal, and field irrigation losses to the groundwater system can be refined using field measurements within streams and canals, and beneath irrigated crops. Temperature profiling coupled with two-dimensional numerical models can be used to estimate seepage losses beneath channels (Metcalf, 2003; Naranjo and Smith, 2016). Irrigation losses beneath crops can be refined with unsaturated-zone water flux estimates based on soil-water potential measurements. USGS will pursue discussions with OWRD, Ecology, and Washington State University Extension to evaluate the potential for field measurements and applicable sites.

Artificial aquifer recharge

Artificial aquifer recharge estimates will be based on existing data. Managed aquifer recharge (MAR) in WWRB provides a notable input into shallow basin fill and basalt units. As of Fall 2020, 18 MAR sites have been equipped to recharge the shallow basin fill, 15 of which are currently being used (Cobb and Keller, 2019). The basin-fill MAR sites are comprised of infiltration basins and subsurface perforated pipes, typically operate during winter and spring, and are supplied by diverted surface water. The 18 MAR sites have the capacity to contribute nearly 10,000 acre-ft of aquifer recharge annually if fully operational during November–May. The city of Walla Walla operates an aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) program where surface water is diverted during winter months and injected into deeper basalt units for use during water-limited periods.

Discharge

Seepage and baseflow to streams

Groundwater discharge to streams as baseflow through springs and seeps will be estimated using previously published measurements and new streamflow measurements made during this study. Seepage-run data will be used to estimate stream gains and losses to groundwater in different stream reaches and in selected canals. This analysis will be compared with previous seepage runs conducted by the WWBWC (2014). Graphical and chemical hydrograph separation methods and (or) low-flow measurements will be used to estimate baseflow from streamgage records where available. Estimates in ungaged watersheds or those in data-limited areas will be estimated from hydrologically similar gaged watersheds. Existing shallow wells near perennial streams will be used to determine elevation differences between groundwater and the streams, and to assess groundwater response to stream elevation.

Springs, evapotranspiration, and seepage to lakes

Spring discharge historically represented a considerable portion of groundwater discharge in the WWRB (Piper and others, 1933; Newcomb, 1965; Barker and MacNish, 1976). Springs discharge groundwater from basin fill on the flanks of lowland areas and from the basalt aquifer in upland areas of the basin. Most spring discharge occurs in stream channels near the interface of higher and lower permeability hydrogeologic units, and through cliff walls where groundwater moving downward encounters a low permeability unit. Historical and new measurements of discharge will be aggregated and compared where possible to evaluate current discharge and potential changes in discharge related to climate and water management. Where springs discharge diffusely into stream channels, discharge will be accounted for in baseflow estimates.

Groundwater discharge by evapotranspiration (ET) from wetland, riparian, and phreatophyte areas, and from areas where shallow groundwater is with a few feet of land surface, historically represented a notable portion of groundwater discharge (Piper and others, 1933; Barker and MacNish, 1976). Land use change from wetlands and phreatophytes to irrigated land

and declining water levels in the shallow unconfined aquifer likely have reduced natural groundwater discharge by ET to minimal volumes. Groundwater discharge by ET will be estimated as ET minus precipitation and any surface-water inputs within mapped groundwater discharge areas.

Groundwater-lake exchanges likely comprise a minor component of the groundwater budget because few lakes, ponds, and reservoirs (hereafter generally referred to as lakes) exist within the WWRB. Groundwater-lake exchanges will be evaluated by considering surface-water inflows and outflows and hydraulic gradients between groundwater and lake stage if this component of the water budget is found to be important.

Groundwater Use

Groundwater use in the WWRB includes irrigation, livestock, domestic, municipal, and industrial. Rates of groundwater use in Washington and Oregon are not tracked to the degree of accuracy and spatial resolution needed for this project and therefore will be estimated indirectly. Non-irrigation water use data will be evaluated using population density from the US Census, public water-supply data from the Washington Department of Health, Ecology and OWRD water-use records, land-cover data, and USGS water use assessments. Irrigation water use will be estimated from ET estimates from irrigated fields with available groundwater pumpage data. ET of applied irrigation water will be estimated by OWRD and USGS using a remote-sensing based ET model such as SSEBop (calibrated to regional ET measurements from agricultural fields), precipitation data and mapped agricultural field boundaries. OWRD will provide all associated estimates during 2016–2020 as part of a separate state-wide study, and OWRD and USGS will provide at least 10 years of estimates during 1990-2015 using complimentary methods. The number of years within this 26-year period will depend on cloud cover. Reported pumpage data and water-source type (groundwater, surface water, or both) will be evaluated using mapped field boundaries, OWRD and Ecology water-rights information, user reported pumpage volumes from OWRD and Ecology water-use reporting databases, and surface-water diversion records. For fields irrigated with groundwater and surface water, ET will be apportioned by water source using diversion and pumpage records, where available and coupled, and local knowledge. Where pumpage data is limited, relations between available pumpage data and crop ET estimates can be used to estimate pumpage for similar crop types and irrigation methods.

A decision support tool for water-use estimation such as Bright (2020) might be incorporated with agricultural and domestic water use estimates to determine the horizontal and vertical distribution of groundwater extraction. Although the volume of groundwater use for irrigation can be spatially distributed using remote-sensing based methods, irrigated fields might not be linked to individual wells. Also, the depths of some irrigation, livestock, and domestic wells and the aquifer they draw from might not be included in the available data.

Interbasin groundwater flow

Previous studies indicate groundwater in the basalt aquifer likely flows across the boundaries of the WWRB (Ely and others, 2015). Upgradient inflows serve as a source of groundwater recharge to the basin and downgradient outflows are a source of groundwater discharge. An initial estimate of interbasin groundwater flow will be provided by the CPRAS model and used as a basis for improving estimates. Project estimates of interbasin groundwater flow will be evaluated using Darcy's Law and simplifying assumptions about subsurface geometry through which groundwater flows. Hydraulic gradients determined from mapped groundwater levels, transmissivity estimates of hydrogeologic units, and the hydrogeologic framework will be used to estimate groundwater fluxes across basin boundaries.

Flow-system evaluation

Groundwater-level trends

Groundwater-level trends will be evaluated using qualitative and (or) statistical methods. Time-series of groundwater levels can highlight seasonal recharge and discharge patterns and long-term stresses on the flow system. Well-construction data, nearby surface-water features, precipitation patterns, land use (such as agriculture or MAR), and groundwater-flow paths will be considered when evaluating groundwater levels.

Geochemistry and age dating

The compiled and newly collected geochemical data will be synthesized to provide insight into relationships among water in the WWRB. The distribution of age and isotopic tracers in groundwater and surface water will provide information on groundwater flowpaths, recharge locations, and residence time of groundwater.

Groundwater occurrence and flow

Groundwater occurrence and flow will be described using a compilation of potentiometric surface maps, subsurface hydraulic property and connectivity evaluations, and geochemical data. Assessments of groundwater occurrence and flow include identification of confined and unconfined conditions, horizonal and vertical hydraulic gradients, flow directions within and between major hydrogeologic units, apparent structural controls, and proximity to recharge and discharge features. Possible changes in groundwater flow directions resulting from water use and management, and the effects of these changes on interbasin flow, if any, will be assessed.

Groundwater/surface-water interactions

The interaction of groundwater and surface water will be evaluated on the basis of data from seepage runs, water-budget estimates of stream gains and losses, canal losses, and MAR coupled with groundwater-flow directions, groundwater-level trends, and water-chemistry data. Rates, locations, and timing of groundwater/surface-water interactions along stream reaches, canals, and at spring complexes will be described. Seasonal and annual groundwater/surface-water exchanges will be summarized and individual and combined physical and anthropogenic factors affecting these exchanges will be evaluated where sufficient data exists. Hydrograph separation methods will be applied to streamgages with daily records to estimate daily baseflow.

Workplan development for Phase II—simulation tool

A descriptive workplan for Phase II of the study will be developed near the completion of Phase I. The Phase II workplan will describe the development of a hydrologic simulation tool, such as a three-dimensional groundwater flow model or another appropriate tool. The approach taken to build this tool will be decided before the conclusion of Phase I and will be guided by discussions with project partners and other WWRB stakeholders. This tool will simulate forward-looking scenarios for prediction of hydrologic outcomes, which will assist in informing water-management decisions.

Project coordination

The magnitude and scope of this study requires good coordination among cooperating agencies directly involved in the proposed work and with other State and Federal agencies involved in land- and/or water-management issues in the basin, Tribal governments, local governments, and other local stakeholders. Meetings among cooperating agencies (USGS, Ecology, and OWRD) and other stakeholders will occur regularly throughout the study. The USGS maintains a SharePoint site for sharing documents, such as this workplan, among the cooperating agencies. Another document at this site is a study team coordination plan that describes the structured meeting schedule, progress reports, and other communication. Staff from USGS-OR and USGS-WA will hold project meetings every 1-2 weeks to share progress and coordinate tasks. Quarterly meetings among technical staff and managers of cooperating agencies that have been ongoing since July 2019 will continue during the study. USGS will participate in annual stakeholder meetings organized by state agencies.

Quality assurance / quality control

USGS Quality Assurance Plans for groundwater, surface-water, and water-quality activities in Oregon and Washington will be used to guide the collection and review of groundwater data, surface-water data, and water-quality data during the study (Kozar and Kahle, 2013; Conn and others, 2017; Mastin, 2019). OWRD protocols for measuring water levels, discharge, and water quality were developed from USGS guidance, and data are comparable to USGS measurements, ensuring comparability across the Washington-Oregon state line.

Quality control for geochemistry will consist of blank and replicate samples. One field blank sample will be collected for major ions. Blank samples are not possible for the other sample types. All samples for noble gases, dissolved gases, and SF6 will be collected and analyzed in replicate. Five percent of samples for stable isotopes, tritium, carbon-14, and major ions will be collected and analyzed as replicates.

Data management plan

In accordance with the USGS-OR and USGS-WA data management plans and USGS fundamental science practices, all data associated with the project will be stored in appropriate, publicly accessible databases and clearinghouses. Data collection will be shared among USGS, OWRD, and Ecology. Overall, this will be a coordinated effort, but each agency will largely manage staffing and tasks independently. The data collection agency will also serve the data publicly from the following sources:

1. USGS National Water Information System (NWIS): https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis
2. USGS National Spatial Data Infrastructure (NSDI) node: http://water.usgs.gov/lookup/getgislist
3. OWRD data portal: https://www.oregon.gov/OWRD/pages/index.aspx
4. OWRD near real-time data: https://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/sw/hydro_near_real_time/
5. Environmental Information Management System (EIM): https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/eim/search/
6. Streamflow data from Ecology – https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/eap/flows/regions/state.asp

Reviewed and approved data and metadata not released through one of the listed sources will be made available online through USGS ScienceBase or other appropriate USGS, Ecology, or OWRD data clearinghouses. USGS data management will follow USGS Scientific Data Management policies and requirements described in Conn and others (2019) and in USGS Instructional Memos 2015.1 through 2015.4 (USGS, 2015a-d)

Continuous and discrete streamflow, water-level, and chemical data collection by USGS will be checked, reviewed, and approved at approximately quarterly intervals according to USGS Continuous Records Processing policy. All data collected by USGS will be made available to the public via the National Water Information System (NWIS; http://waterdata.usgs.gov/or/nwis/) or through OWRD or Ecology online databases.

Spatial datasets such as maps and other datasets constructed for the study will be documented with all appropriate metadata and made available through the NSDI node or through the appropriate USGS data release or other appropriate USGS, Ecology, or OWRD geospatial data clearinghouses following guidelines of the Open Data Initiative.

Any models used or aquifer tests analyses performed during the study will be documented and archived according to USGS policy (USGS, 2015e) and the Open Data Initiative. A copy of any models will be available to the public through an approved USGS repository such as ScienceBase. Aquifer-test analyses and associated modeling will be quality assured through project reviews as well as through the aquifer-test and model-review process and made publicly available.

Products

Reports planned include a USGS Scientific Investigations Report (SIR) that provides a quantitative conceptual model of the WWRB groundwater-flow system and documents the basin hydrogeology and water budget. One or more products summarizing the study results, such as a USGS Factsheet, or a USGS Geo-Narrative—an online story map—will be published. Other USGS products will include data releases containing the geospatial datasets defining the hydrogeologic framework, recharge model, groundwater budget, and water-use estimates. Other data including streamflow, groundwater levels, and geochemical data will be available in NWIS or in publicly available OWRD or Ecology databases. An SIR or data-series report will document the results of seepage runs. The number of reports and their topics may change as the study develops; any changes to report products will be discussed with and approved by the cooperating agencies. A workplan describing development and documentation of a hydrologic simulation tool for the WWRB (Phase II) also will be developed. All USGS-authored products will be peer reviewed following standard USGS protocols (U.S. Geological Survey, 2016).

Cooperating agencies also might provide open-file reports (OFRs) documenting results associated with specific study tasks. Possible OFRs include documentation of results from aquifer or slug tests conducted by OWRD and documentation of methods and results pertaining to irrigation water use including crop ET and irrigation pumpage estimates made by OWRD.

Timeline

Table 2. Project tasks and timeline

[Washington Department of Ecology, Ecology; Oregon Department of Water Resources, OWRD; USGS Washington Water Science Center; USGS-WA; USGS Oregon Water Science Center, USGS-OR. The first agency listed for each task will lead the effort. General "USGS" indicates tasks will be equally shared.]

Project task	Fiscal year				Agency assigned to
	21	22	23	24	
Project management					
Team meetings	x	x	x	x	All
Stakeholder meetings	x	x	x	x	All
Literature review and data compilation					
General material	x	x			USGS
Compile and assess existing data and estimates					USGS
General hydrologic data	x				USGS
Geologic and hydrogeologic frameworks	x	x			USGS
Groundwater budget estimates and associated datasets	x	x			All
Data collection					
Groundwater-level measurements	x	x	x		USGS-WA/OWRD
Conduct seepage runs	x	x			USGS-WA/OWRD/Ecology
Streamflow monitoring	x	x	x		USGS-WA/OWRD
Conduct pump or slug tests in wells		x	x		OWRD
Collect new geochemical data	x	x	x		USGS-OR/USGS-WA/OWRD
Spring discharge measurements	x	x			USGS-WA/OWRD
Hydrogeologic framework					USGS-WA/USGS-OR/OWRD
Define hydrogeologic units	x				USGS-WA/USGS-OR/OWRD
Develop a surficial hydrogeologic map	x				USGS-WA/USGS-OR
Develop a digital hydrogeologic model of the subsurface	x	x			USGS-WA/USGS-OR/OWRD
Develop potentiometric maps		x	x		USGS-WA/USGS-OR
Estimate hydraulic properties and evaluate connectivity of hydrogeologic units	x	x	x	x	USGS-WA/USGS-OR/OWRD
Groundwater budget estimation					USGS-OR/USGS-WA/OWRD
Recharge					
Infiltration of precipitation	x	x	x	x	USGS-OR/USGS-WA
Stream channel and irrigation canal losses	x	x	x	x	OWRD/USGS-OR/USGS-WA
Infiltration of irrigation water	x	x	x		USGS-OR/OWRD/USGS-WA
Managed aquifer recharge	x	x			USGS-OR/OWRD/USGS-WA
Discharge					USGS-OR/OWRD/USGS-WA
Seepage and base flow to streams	x	x	x		USGS-OR/USGS-WA/OWRD
Springs, ET, and seepage to lakes	x	x	x		USGS-OR/OWRD/USGS-WA
Groundwater Use	x	x	x		USGS-OR/OWRD/USGS-WA
Interbasin groundwater flow	x	x	x		USGS-WA/USGS-OR/OWRD
Flow-system evaluation					USGS-WA/USGS-OR
Groundwater-level trends	x	x	x		USGS-OR/USGS-WA
Geochemistry and age dating		x	x	x	USGS-WA/USGS-OR
Groundwater occurrence and flow		x	x		USGS-OR/USGS-WA
Groundwater/surface-water interactions		x	x		USGS-WA/USGS-OR
Workplan development for phase II--simulation tool			x	x	All
Project coordination	x	x	x	x	All
Quality assurance / quality control	x	x	x	x	All
Data management plan (execution of)	x	x	x	x	All

Products					
USGS scientific investigations report(s)			x	x	USGS
Fact sheet/geo-narrative			x	x	USGS
Data releases			x	x	USGS

References cited

Allen, R.G., Tasumi, M., Morse, A., Trezza, R., Wright, J.L., Bastiaanssen, W., Kramber, W., Lorite, I., and Robison, C.W., 2007, Satellite-Based Energy Balance for Mapping Evapotranspiration with Internalized Calibration (METRIC)—Applications: Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, v. 133, no. 4, p. 395–406.

Barker, R.A., and MacNish, R.D., 1976, Digital model of the gravel aquifer, Walla Walla River Basin, Washington and Oregon: State of Washington Water Supply Bulletin No. 45, 49 p.

Bauer, H.H., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1986, Documentation of a deep percolation model for estimating groundwater recharge: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-536, 180 p., https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ofr86536

Bauer, H.H., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1990, Estimates of groundwater recharge to the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho, for predevelopment and current land-use conditions: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 88-4108, 37 p., https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wri884108

Bright, V.A.L, 2020, A Decision Support Tool to Aid Public Supply and Domestic Water Use Estimates at Varying Spatial Scales: talk presented at the American Water Resources Association 2020 Virtual Geospatial Water Technology Conference, August 2020.

Burns, E.R., Morgan, D.S., Peavler, R.S., and Kahle, S.C., Three-dimensional model of the geologic framework for the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5246, 44p.

Cobb, M., and Keller, J., 2019, Water year 2018 Oregon Walla Walla Basin aquifer recharge report: Walla Walla Basin Watershed Council, 132 p., http://www.wwbwc.org/images/Projects/AR/Reports/WY2018_MAR_AnnualReport_Final_Stamped.pdf

Conn, K.E., Huffman, R.L., and Barton, Cynthia, 2017, Quality-assurance plan for water-quality activities in the U.S. Geological Survey Washington Water Science Center: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2017–1044, 66 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20171044.

Conn, K.E., Mastin, M.C., Long, A.J., Dinicola, R.S., and Barton, C., 2019, Data management plan for the U.S. Geological Survey Washington Water Science Center: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2019-1049, 23 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr2019-1049.

Drost, B.W., Whiteman, K.J., and Gonthier, J.B., 1986, Geologic framework for the Columbia Plateau Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 8704238, 10 p.

Ely, D.M., Burns, E.R., Morgan, D.S., and Vaccaro, J.J., 2014, Numerical simulation of groundwater flow in the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington (ver. 1.1, January 2015): U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2014–5127, 90 p., http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/sir20145127.

Kahle, S.C., Olsen, T.D., and Morgan, D.S., 2009, Geologic setting and hydrogeologic units of the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3088, 1 sheet, accessed September 4, 2020, at http://pubs.usgs.gov/sim/3088/.

Kahle, S.C., Morgan, D.S., Welch, W.B., Ely, D.M., Hinkle, S.R., Vaccaro, J.J., and Orzol, L.L., 2011, Hydrogeologic framework and hydrologic budget components of the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2011–5124, 63 p.

Kozar, M.D., and Kahle, S.C., 2013, Quality-assurance plan for groundwater activities, U.S. Geological Survey, Washington Water Science Center: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2013-1151, 88 p., http://pubs.usgs.gov/ofr/2013/1151/.

Mastin, M.C., 2017, Surface-water quality-assurance plan for the U.S. Geological Survey Washington Water Science Center (ver. 1.1, August 2017): U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2016-1020, 85 p., http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20161020.

MacNish, R.D., and Barker, R.A., 1976, Digital Simulation of a basalt aquifer system, Walla Walla River Basin, Washington and Oregon: State of Washington Water Supply Bulletin No. 44, 51 p.

McPherson, R., 2020, Water resources adjudication assessment legislative report—Watersheds proposed for urgent adjudication and future assessment: Washington Department of Ecology, Publication 20-11-084., https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/summarypages/2011084.html, accessed October 8, 2020.

Metcalf, B.E., 2003, Monitoring of groundwater and surface water interactions on the Walla Walla River, Oregon for the purpose of restoring in-stream flows for ESA listed fish habitat: Oregon State University Master's Thesis, 128 p.

Naranjo, R.C., and Smith, D.W., 2016, Quantifying seepage using heat as a tracer in selected irrigation canals, Walker River Basin, Nevada, 2012 and 2013: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2016-5133, 169 p., http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/sir20165133.

Newcomb, R.C., 1965, Geology and ground-water resources of the Walla Walla River Basin Washington-Oregon: State of Washington Water Supply Bulletin No. 21, 151 p.

Oregon Water Resources Department, 2018, Groundwater in the Walla Walla Subbasin, https://www.oregon.gov/owrd/WRDPublications1/WWSB%20Groundwater%20Management%20Handout.pdf, accessed December 16, 2020.

Petrides Jimenez, A.C., 2012, Managed artificial aquifer recharge and hydrological studies in the Walla Walla Basin to improve river and aquifer conditions: Oregon State University doctoral dissertation, 225 p.

Piper, A.M., Robinson, T.W., and Thomas, H.E., 1933, Ground water in the Walla Walla Basin, Oregon-Washington, U.S. Geological Survey Unpublished Preliminary Report, p. 72-142. p.

Scherberg, J., Keller, J., Patten, S., Baker, T., and Milczarek, M., 2018, Modeling the impact of aquifer recharge, in-stream water savings, and canal lining on water resources in the Walla Walla Basin: Sustainable Water Resources Management, v. 4, p. 275-289.

Senay, G.B., Bohms, S., Singh, R.K., Gowda, P.H., Velpuri, N.M., Alemu, H., Verdin, J.P., 2013, Operational evapotranspiration mapping using remote sensing and weather datasets: a new parameterization for the SSEB approach: Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 49, p. 577-591.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2015a, Instructional Memorandum OSQI 2015-01—Scientific data management foundation: accessed September 26, 2016 at http://www.usgs.gov/usgs-manual/im/IM-OSQI-2015-01.html.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2015b, Instructional Memorandum OSQI 2015-02—Metadata for scientific data, software, and other information products: accessed September 26, 2016 at http://www.usgs.gov/usgs-manual/im/IM-OSQI-2015-02.html.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2015c, Instructional Memorandum OSQI 2015-03—Review and approval of scientific data for release: accessed September 26, 2016 at http://www.usgs.gov/usgs-manual/im/IM-OSQI-2015-03.html.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2015d, Instructional Memorandum OSQI 2015-04—Preservation requirements for digital scientific data: accessed September 26, 2016 at http://www.usgs.gov/usgs-manual/im/IM-OSQI-2015-04.html.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2015e, Office of Groundwater Technical Memorandum 2015.02—Policy and guidelines for archival of surface-water, groundwater, and water-quality model applications: accessed September 26, 2016 at http://water.usgs.gov/admin/memo/GW/gw2015.02.pdf.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2016, Fundamental Science Practices: Peer Review, Chapter 502.3 *in* USGS Survey Manual Directives: accessed October 28, 2020 at https://www.usgs.gov/about/organization/science-support/survey-manual/5023-fundamental-science-practices-peer-review.

U.S. Geological Survey, variously dated, National field manual for the collection of water-quality data: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods Book 9, accessed September 2, 2020 at https://www.usgs.gov/mission-areas/water-resources/science/national-field-manual-collection-water-quality-data-nfm.

Vaccaro, J.J., Kahle, S.C., Ely, D.M., Burns, E.R., Snyder, D.T., Haynes, J.V., Olsen, T.D., Welch, W.B., and Morgan, D.S., 2015, Groundwater availability of the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1817, 87 p., http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/pp1817.

Walla Walla Basin Watershed Council (WWBWC), 2014, Seepage Assessment Reports 2009–2017: accessed July 1, 2020 at https://www.wwbwc.org/monitoring/monitoring-reports.html#seepage-assessment-reports.

Walla Walla Watershed Management Partnership, 2018, Walla Walla pilot local water management program, Final progress report, October 2018, Pursuant to Second Substitute House Bill 1580, Chapter 183, Laws of 2009 (RCW 90.92): Walla Walla Watershed Management Partnership, https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/ezshare/ocr/projects/walla/watershedreport.pdf, accessed December 15, 2020.

Washington State Department of Ecology, 2005, Determining Irrigation Efficiency and consumptive Use: Washington State Department of Ecology GUID-1210.

Washington Department of Ecology, 2020, Walla Walla Water 2050 management plan, https://ecology.wa.gov/Water-Shorelines/Water-supply/Water-supply-projects-EW/Walla-Walla-2050, accessed December 15, 2020.

Westenbroek, S.M., Kelson, V.A., Dripps, W.R., Hunt, R.J., and Bradbury, K.R., 2010, SWB—A modified Thornthwaite-Mather soil-water-balance code for estimating groundwater recharge: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods 6-A31, 60 p., accessed July 24, 2020, at https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/tm6A31.

Whiteman, K.J., Vaccaro, J.J., Gonthier, J.B., and Bauer, H.H., 1994, The hydrogeologic framework and geochemistry of the Columbia Plateau Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1413-B, Water Resources Investigations Report: 73 p.

October 14, 2020 Walla Walla River Basin Groundwater Study Public Scoping Meeting Summary

Contents

Overview

On October 14, 2020, from 4-6pm, the Oregon Water Resources Department, in cooperation with the Washington State Department of Ecology, and the US Geological Survey hosted approximately 75 participants for a virtual public meeting to learn about and discuss the scope of the forthcoming Walla Walla Basin Groundwater Study as well as future opportunities for public participation. The full meeting can be viewed at: https://youtu.be/l2PYhz0u3d0.

Additional feedback is welcome and encouraged through November 13, 2020 and can be submitted one of three ways:

1) **Preferred**: Via a short online survey – click this link
2) Via email to wrd_dl_wallawalla@oregon.gov
3) Via a phone call with Harmony Burright (971-301-0718)

Your feedback will be taken into consideration by the cooperating agencies when they meet in late November to further refine and agree upon the scope of the Groundwater Study and discuss future opportunities for public participation. The final Work Plan for the Groundwater Study and a draft Public Participation Plan will be made available in 2021. Input and feedback will be sought on both. To receive future updates, please sign up for email blasts at: http://eepurl.com/hb4cmL.

Meeting Summary

Welcoming Remarks

Justin Iverson, with the Oregon Water Resources Department, and Melissa Downes, with the Washington State Department of Ecology, described what led the agencies to initiate the Groundwater Study, the purpose of the public meeting and expressed appreciation for everyone's participation. (2:22 https://youtu.be/l2PYhz0u3d0?t=142)

1. The availability of surface water from the Walla Walla River system has been limited by several events, including meeting the water needs of Endangered Species Act listed fish species. Limited surface water availability has increased pressure on groundwater development over time.
2. The deep basalt system has seen renewed pressure, water level declines, and calls from senior users not receiving their usual and accustomed amount of water.
3. The alluvial aquifer exists between the surface water and deep basalt system. The alluvial aquifer has been extensively developed. There have also been efforts to recharge the alluvial system through Managed Aquifer Recharge projects by Walla Walla Basin Watershed Council.
4. The agencies initiated this study to form a foundational understanding of the interconnected workings of these three components of the hydrologic system to support future decisions with respect to management of the limited water resources of this basin.
5. The agencies are happy to be doing so in close coordination with each other, as the hydrologic system is continuous across the state line and management decisions made by each state have ramifications beyond the border that we need to be accounted for.
6. There are many participants in this meeting who are also involved in other complementary efforts, such as the Walla Walla Bi-State Flow Study and/or the Walla Walla Water 2050 process. The agencies frequently hear about the groundwater data gaps during these other forums and this effort will help to fill some of those gaps.
7. The agencies are in a fortunate position with respect to existing available data and want to recognize that several entities have been collecting hydrologic data in the basin, including the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation and the Walla Walla Basin Watershed Council, as well as municipalities and other individual users that measure, record, and report water use, which gives us a head start on the study.

Introductions

The Groundwater Study Team, which is made up of scientists and support staff from the US Geological Survey, Oregon Water Resources Department, and Washington State Department of Ecology were introduced by a representative of each cooperating agency. Participants were also invited to introduce themselves in the chat and share one word they associate with groundwater and one word they associate with science. See Figure 1 below. (11:44 https://youtu.be/l2PYhz0u3d0?t=704).

US Geological Survey Presentation

Amanda Garcia, with the US Geological Survey delivered a brief presentation describing groundwater studies, what they generally include, and the value that groundwater studies provide. She also described why a study is needed, the goals of this particular study, the questions to be addressed by this study, study roles and tasks. The cooperating agencies are currently discussing and reaching agreement on the scope of the groundwater study, which will be included in a work plan along with tasks, timeline, deliverables, and budget. Public input provided at the meeting will inform the scope of the Groundwater Study. (19:10 https://youtu.be/l2PYhz0u3d0?t=1150).

Figure 1. Word Associations

Groundwater **Science**



Breakout Discussions #1 – Questions for the Groundwater Study to Answer

Participants were broken out into break out rooms to answer the following prompt:

- What is one question you hope the groundwater study is able to answer? What makes this an important question to you?

Groundwater Study Team members reflected on what they heard when everyone came back together as a larger group. (32:43 https://youtu.be/l2PYhz0u3d0?t=1963).

Click this link or view Attachment A to review each piece of feedback received. Additional feedback can be provided using this link by November 13, 2020.

Major themes heard in the breakout rooms included the following:

- Desire to better understand connectivity between surface water, alluvial aquifer, and deep basalt aquifer as well as the timescale for water movement throughout the system.
- Desire to better understand how Managed Aquifer Recharge has impacted the system, whether it has been effective, and how the system can be effectively recharged.
- Desire to better understand how much water is being used and the extent to which the system is fully or over appropriated, how that affects water availability for potential future uses, and opportunities to improve how water is used and shared.
- A desire to understand groundwater level trends and how they vary across the basin as well as connectivity/compartmentalization of geologic units in order to understand and address area specific interests and concerns.
- A desire to understand the water budget for different parts of the system (e.g., surface water, alluvial system, basalt aquifer, different units in the basalt aquifer) and how much water discharges to the Columbia River.

- A desire to understand how a model will be useful to basin management efforts, the types of questions or issues that are appropriate for modelling, and potential limitations of a model.
- A desire to understand current and future impacts to various water users, including rural residents who rely on wells, as well as impacts to fish species.
- There were also questions about future policy, regulation, and management (what will happen?) and how the science will be used to inform these future actions.

These questions are important for personal reasons (a desire to ensure there is water for continued use) as well as basin-wide considerations. There is a shared desire to ensure long-term sustainability of groundwater resources for the basin and to ensure water for multiple uses and future generations. There is an interest in making sure that future projects are well designed and effective and that basin water users find ways to effectively share water, increase efficiency, and reduce economic impacts/support economic development. Participants have a desire to identify and fill key data gaps, promote cooperation and mitigate future conflict/litigation.

Public Participation Plan Presentation

Harmony Burright with the Oregon Water Resources Department described that the Groundwater Study Team will be developing a Public Participation Plan that describes the steps of the Groundwater Study as well as the public participation activities associated with each of those steps. The Study is expected to take approximately four years. The cooperating agencies need to balance participation activities with available budget and resource constraints.

The cooperating agencies value public participation and see that it is essential to making sure that the study results are trusted, are useful to basin stakeholders, and can be integrated into other water management efforts in the basin. The cooperating agencies recognize that there is a lot already going on the basin and wants to ensure that participation activities are well timed and coordinated with other efforts and that they are effective and not burdensome.

A draft of the Public Participation Plan will be available in early 2021 for the public to provide feedback and input before it is finalized. (37:18 https://youtu.be/l2PYhz0u3d0?t=2238).

Breakout Discussions #2 – Public Participation Opportunities

Participants were broken out into break out rooms to answer the following prompt:

- Imagine that the groundwater study is complete and that the final study is something that you and your partners trust and find useful. Describe one thing that the study team did to create final products that you trust and use? What did your participation look like?

Groundwater Study Team members reflected on what they heard when everyone came back together as a larger group. (42:19 https://youtu.be/l2PYhz0u3d0?t=2539).

Click this link or view Attachment B to review each piece of feedback received. Additional feedback can be provided using this link by November 13, 2020.

Major themes heard in the breakout rooms included the following:

- There is interest in hearing updates from the Groundwater Study Team at key milestones in the study. Participants want to be informed of activities and preliminary findings as the study progresses and do not want to wait until the end.
- There is an interest in receiving regular updates about what has happened or is happening, especially with respect to data collection efforts.
- There is interest in ensuring an open and transparent process and a desire that the scientists remain neutral and unbiased in their work.
- There is an interest in making sure that the study builds off of and utilizes data and information from past studies.
- There is an interest in making sure that data is reliable, well-vetted, and sufficient to support conclusions.
- There is interest in making sure that all data included in the study is made publicly available so that it can be used in other efforts.
- There is an interest in making sure that there are opportunities for basin stakeholders to contribute observations, knowledge, and expertise to the study process.
- There is an interest in making sure that technical information can be conveyed effectively to multiple audiences who may not have a technical background and can be conveyed in a concise manner using data visualization or handouts.
- Participants want to make sure that there are opportunities to provide input and feedback throughout the process and that the Groundwater Study Team members will listen to, respect, and value their contributions.
- Participants want to make sure that the Groundwater Study results provide a solid basis for future cooperation and action.

Next Steps

Additional feedback is welcome and encouraged through November 13, 2020 and can be submitted one of three ways:

1) **Preferred**: Via a short online survey – click this link
2) Via email to wrd_dl_wallawalla@oregon.gov
3) Via a phone call with Harmony Burright (971-301-0718)

Your feedback will be taken into consideration by the cooperating agencies when they meet in late November to further refine and agree upon the scope of the Groundwater Study and discuss future opportunities for public participation. The final Work Plan for the Groundwater Study and a draft Public Participation Plan will be made available in 2021. Input and feedback will be sought on both. To receive future updates, please sign up for email blasts at: http://eepurl.com/hb4cmL.

Attachment A. Breakout Room #1 Comments

Prompt: What is one question you hope the groundwater study is able to answer? What makes this an important question to you?

Question	Importance
What is the timescale of surface water and groundwater connectivity?	The shallow aquifer needs all the water it can get and we want to be able to use as much water as possible without compromising aquifer recharge.
What is/will be surface and ground water availability for future development in all areas/for all industries?	Potential for economic development and economic impacts.
Will there be a time in the near future when the regulatory agencies (Oregon Water Resources Department and the Washington Department of Ecology) will be canceling/curtailing junior ground water rights as water availability decreases, as has already happened for some surface water rights?	Agriculture has already lost some use of surface water and filled the deficit by using ground water. If they are also cut off from ground water sources there is concern as to how water needs can still be met.
How to effectively recharge. How can we replace groundwater that is being used?	Groundwater isn't being recharged and there is drawdown.
Would like to learn more about the Basalt aquifer.	This is an important water source for use.
Can we recharge the aquifer? How do we get better at using the water we do have?	Inform the wise use of water, not over watering and reducing evaporation, there is room for improvement.
What are travel times in the alluvial aquifer?	Knowing what is the end point of the Managed Aquifer Recharge water that is being recharged, timeline, and outflow (surface water, pumped for use)
Characterizing the connectivity of the basalt aquifer, to what degree are the aquifers connected and compartamentalized (basalt and alluvial)?	This impacts how we govern the use of water and manage the intentional recharge of aquifers.
Understanding what the water levels are doing over time (is it spatially dependent).	Have a better understanding and getting to a common agreement on what the aquifers are doing.
There are estimates of over 100,000 acre-feet per year of the basalt aquifer discharging to the Columbia River system, we could revisit and understand the source of this info and improve our knowledge of aquifer discharge amounts.	Understand the outflows of the groundwater system.
Answer connectivity issue between surface and groundwater.	Agency mission is water quantity and quality and water habitat.

Question	Importance
Understand connectivity.	Understand contribution of Walla Wallas efforts to connectivity
Are we overappropriated?	Community behavior is predicated by water resource information.
Connectivity and synthetic recharge efforts.	Balance to accommodate nature or human interventions over time.
What are the geologic units that are hydrologically connected and what is the water budget for each unit? Recharge and discharge - magnitude of loss or gain	Interested in cooperating on projects, who do we need to work with?
What ongoing data gaps do we see/opportunities for coordination?	Sustainability of resource.
Scope: in progress? connection between data gaps and project needs - density and scale of data collection.	Need good data in certain locations for good decision making .
How is GW development impacting surface water?	Impact on surface water right holders.
Finding out about illegal water uses.	Enforcement of water rights - there is not enough water - we need to use the water we have more efficiently.
How long before we run out of water in different aquifers?	Help the groundwater last as long as possible.
Quantify by state the impacts on the aquifers.	Promote harmony and cooperation between the states.
What is the alluvial aquifer water budget and its relationship to stream flow.	Need to know effects on organisms in the watershed, especially salmon.
How are basalt aquifers connected?	Make any regulation targeted to problematic aquifers, not overly general.
Will the groundwater study look at the declining base flows in the Walla Walla River and is there a correlation with the dropping basalt water levels?	Irrigators in Milton Freewater rely on water in the Walla Walla for base flows. Concerned there is a correlation between dropping basalts and dropping river flows.
Just how oversubscribed is the groundwater system, but also water generally in the Walla Walla basin? What do we know about how quickly it would recover if groundwater use was curtailed?	Own a farm near the border that is irrigated by a deep basalt aquifer. Convinced that water use will need to be rationed across the watershed. The water use throughout the Walla basin needs to be rationalized. Not everyone is going to get what they have been promised - which gets to the policy question of how we are going to do this?

Question	**Importance**
How the study will be designed? We know that the basalt aquifer is compartmentalized. The aquifer is broken up with varying degrees. What is going to be the scale and accuracy of the groundwater flow model? How is the model going to be designed to address the scale dependency?	People will have big picture questions and small picture questions. Want to know what it means to me specifically - from the different irrigator perspective. People will want localized answers.
This question is going to take a bit of time. The water recharge work that has been done over the past few years seems to have declined/stopped. Is there any way for us to complete study on recharge while this study is completed?	Near Umpapine OR on the WA side; there was an effect from recharge for miles around it. There was no more funding to work on recharge. The water source is out of the Walla Walla. Had a site that could have handled recharge. If the study takes 4-5 years: Every day this goes by, there is a loss of opportunity. The recharge is proven to work and would be beneficial.
What role to flow bariers play in assessing or determinging groundwater trends. How does that inform an assignment of a sustainable yield.	Importance of scale and subsurface variability throughout the basin.
How do residential wells with restricted water use affect the larger aquifer? Both shallow and deep.	Important because it will impact availability of water.
Changes in water levels over time - impacts to quantity and quality.	How do the Managed Aquifer Recharge projects affect quantity and quality? Also, water is a finite resource so how does moving it around affect availability between sources. Concerned for future generations.
Will the study help domestic well users understand groundwater quantity/level/quality, and make projections into the future re: how that will affect their access to water in future decades.	Many users in the basin she's interacted with are concerned about future availability and quality.
Resolve potential for litigation and conflict.	Head off and mitigate litigation.
Can we get along? Can we improve understanding the aquifer?	To mitigate litigation and continue diverse and strong agriculture production.
How are we going to keep long term users using the basin and help the new folks?	Important for health and economy.
I want to better understand the hydraulic connectivity.	Understand allocation and accessibility for different users.
Information for stakeholders options to meet water needs in the future in a collaborative way that is best for all parties.	

Question	Importance
Future water availability in basin and the effect on regulation for groundwater and surfacewater.	as a Walla Walla resident, this will affect me personally as well as the basin as a whole.
Better sense of scale of system and interaction of groundwater and surface water for assisting in regulation.	Effectiveness of regulation.
How is alluvial aquifer connected to deep basalt aquifer.	Shallow and deep aquifer connection.
Information acquired how will it move forward in providing options for future use?	

Other Questions/Comments
There is some concern regarding differing regulation schemes in OR and WA. How will the two states collaborate on an overall solution that is fair to people in both states?
The watershed council 2013 integrated model is a good starting point for development of the groundwater model.
Need to coordinate this with the 2050 strategic planning effort.
Report should explain geology - make up of how aquifer works and changes.
What will this report mean, given that the basin crosses into two states; i.e. two different sets of laws.
How is groundwater affected by surface water diversions?
What are the effects of conservation projects (piping) on the groundwater system?
How does Managed Aquifer Recharge affect the groundwater system? How much does it help?
No silver bulllet, but there may be potential for storage to help with demand.

Facilitator and Groundwater Study Team Observations
The overall theme seemed to concern the need to understand connectivity. That is, connectivity between surface water and groundwater, groundwater connection across the state line, the connection of basalt aquifers in the WWRB to the regional system and the Columbia River, and the interconnection of different aquifers. Will we differentiate multiple basalt aquifers? If we build a model, how will we apply model boundaries to address connectivity outside of the model boundary?
What solutions might we come up with? How long will groundwater be sustainable? What's happening on my farm (e.g., why are my streams drying up)?
Group had a great understanding of groundwater and water issues and different technical questions arose that all point towards a need for a strong scientific underpinning. They covered (1) groundwater/surface water connection and management; (2) How will the groundwater respond to different management methods (such as curtailment) and other water sources, recharge); (3) how do we characterize what the model does and does not do and how do we use it in the future - site specific information versus the model; (4) the length of time of the study and the need for management approaches to continue such as recharge.
Common thread is sustainability, multiple uses of a finite resource: how much groundwater can we use sustainably? Balance available water with water use.
Hope study will help resolve questions regarding future management; aquifer recharge, storage etc.
Foundation to assist with implementation of conservation efforts based on results of the study.
Sustainable yield of the system for water budget/appropriation is important.
How/will this change management practices in the future?

Attachment B. Breakout Room #2 Comments

Prompt: Imagine that the groundwater study is complete and that the final study is something that you and your partners trust and find useful. Describe one thing that the study team did to create final products that you trust and use? What did your participation look like?

Study Team Actions	Participation
People need to feel they've been heard and understood. Need to have a forum to ask good questions and get honest answers.	Be available and kept informed of opportunities to express thoughts and opinions.
Represent the Hudson Bay District Improvement Co. Would like OWRD to emphasize to the public the need and advantage of groundwater recharge projects as a way to supplement/mitigate ground water use.	District has a potential recharge site near Hermiston and wants to work on getting it permitted/operational.
The Study Team needs to foster public confidence that honest public input is encouraged and that the study data is being used for the greatest good.	Role is to express ideas and know that her thoughts are valued and can have an impact.
Wants to see timely responses to information requests, and be able to review public feedback to the study team. Information requests might include the project process, the number of wells being measured, preliminary results, etc.	Role is to provide timely input during the course of the study.
People hear about things upfront and don't hear about conclusions after things are already completed - share preliminary conclusion	Continue public meetings.
Keep stakeholders involved in the discussions, need to be based on good, reliable, trustworthy info.	
Visualization of data/info and being frequently present in front of stakeholders.	These topics are very technical, it is important to effectively translate this info in ways everyone can understand. Using new platforms and data visualization.
Trust is earned, need to spend the time with people to earn it, trust facilitates a quicker process.	Stay connected with the flow study and the 2050 strategic plan, important to not have these going in different directions - this will improve trust.
Relationship building with stakeholders, being respectful/friendly and hearing people out.	Responsiveness and be willing to reach out and ask questions.
Consistency and continuity in updates, for examples quarterly check-ins.	
Quarterly updates might be good, in public meeting format.	

Study Team Actions	**Participation**
Provide opportunities during updates for feedback and comments.	
When providing updates, define technical terms and use diagrams as much as possible.	
It is really useful to the study team to hear local observations, for example about well behavior and stream changes.	
We should develop a process for well owners to offer up their well or stream access for measurement in the study.	
Ensure that final project is actually usable and implementable - ensure that participants trust in the science and understand the scope of the study	
Ensure that milestones are being met and participant are continuously being engaged.	
Openness, honesty and transparency throughout the process.	
As we move through the process from year-to-year, will the public be continuously updated on findings (honest updates) throughout the year.	Keep data in front of public.
Follow up question: will you have enough data to make valid conclusions?	
Basis of an action plan. Where each party fits into plan.	Looking at data is the interesting part.
Data rich results. Data should be tested and vetted by appropriate scientists	How it integrates into agency's program. Results will inform grant program.
Based on science and data. Politics for a later time.	Along for the ride.
Data density and spatial distribution of data/temporal distribution of data - not just static measurements - better understanding of connection between wells.	Involved in data collection - management - interference of wells.
More of what we are doing now; interviewing local community (like Chris Kowitz has been doing) - not disconnected from local knowledge base - public engagement	Collecting data; sharing information; samples from upper watershed; collaboration

Study Team Actions	**Participation**
Periodic update and check-in from study team - progress and understandings. Solicit input from stakeholders - update scope of work if necessary; what is the plan for data in the future? Would build trust to provide some the data/sources to local groups (watershed boards, etc.) to continue the work of the study.	Limited involvement in Basin; scrutinize water budget or balance numbers.
See trends - draw patterns; how much is it dropping and how much? Would like to know how and when data is collected.	Advocating for more groundwater recharge; irrigator depends on water for livelihood; member of irrigation district - feet on the ground/policy.
Use existing studies that have been completed in both states.	Public meetings at major milestones to update progress.
Full inventory of all groundwater inputs and outputs.	Several meetings such as this (public engagement) / updates at major milestones.
Study utilized previous studies across the basin to develop a wholistic view and report for the entire community	
Make sure science fully reviewed, based in reality.	
Involve tribes in decision making.	Involved in helping to choose gaging stations, range of alluvial wells.
Use statistics to characterize confidence in results.	Let study team do science.
Involve policy and management decision makers to ensure they understand the results.	
Provide regular drafts to the community and incorporate feedback from community.	Provide feedback on drafts.
Observe, even if wasn't able to actively participate, so that data that have available, even if the data isn't synthesized. Share data along the way. Transparency throughout on both sides of the basin. Where I can help by adding my own farm to the effort and add our use/water/farm. There is a long history of water use. There is a general suspicion of regulatory agencies. Water is precious and scarce. One of the things most concerned about is to get good data on the use of their water. It seems like we would get better data if there was a safe harbor rule so that participants wouldn't be incriminating themselves.	

Study Team Actions	Participation
Main concern is to have the gw team be neutral and science driven to the extent that it can be. My role is to advocate and provide information that I have. My role is to not talk about info I don't have. From a farmer's perspective, someone that has drilled a lot of wells. If they could provide a model of what they are going to do, this is not the first study that is done. When they don't have actual data, if using modeling data, to make sure that everyone understands that - if its not actual data. Make sure people know what is modeled data.	
Process needs to be transparent. Communication with basin stakeholders will be very important for establishing trust. Would hope there would be updates at local planning efforts, maybe at the watershed council. Keep us informed along the way. Allow us to ask questions and provide input.	
Utility and use. Years down the road, these ground flow models take on a life of their own. They create their own certainty that wasn't supposed to. (chambers creek model). Have to assume certain element to calibrate. Things that are used to calibrate the model versus actual. Would be good to characterize and specify what were the assumptions. Need to specify what you can use it for and what you cannot. Have a really clear description of its utility and some of the big assumptions that go itno it. Prevent it from being misused long after the study. Have it well documented that anyone can pull out and look at. Can't use it to do everything.	
Information exchange, timely reports on study progress (newsletters to email distribution list, newspaper), ability for folks to provide continuous input. Realistic to engage the general public? Will want the bottom line at the end with openness and transparency for trust.	
Would like to remain informed, frequent incremental updates and the opportunity for feedback. As public questions develop there’s a forum for exchange.	

Study Team Actions	**Participation**
Accessibility to data and work product – data in GIS readily available (e.g., groundwater recharge dataset). Is data usable and readily accessible. Appreciates these public meetings (and breakout rooms), keep advertising broadly, consider multiple sessions at variable times.	
Appreciated public meetings in the Harney Basin and assumes this is the plan for WW. Liked the information exchange and accessibility to study team members. As a state water quality agency appreciates the detailed science – would like to participate by using resulting data to inform setting up long-term water quality monitoring stations in the basin.	
Input of different groups includes a balancing of the data from different sources.	All sides and perspectives are respected. No one data source is dismissed.
We know what data is being collected.	We understand the data and what its use is. We can see and understand the report.
We know that it is not collecting dust. Filling data gaps and not creating more.	Use data for regulatory knowledge and management.
Provides definition and information for all economic sectors.	Informs future economy.
Consistent information expects to see decline in groundwater; can we take it forward and work towards options that are viable? Will the results be able to be understood by all users/interested parties?	Represents multiple groundwater and surfacewater users so would like to attend meetings and able to provide information to users/individual stakeholders. Would like to participate as a resident
Ensure public is along throughout the process and be available to build trust in the process/organiztion for local users and stakeholders. Communication with public and connection with other efforts is clear.	
Ensure clear connections between each phase of the study so people from various backgrounds can understand/follow along throughout the process.	
Building events such as this one. Report back out on next steps and progress reports not just a final report at the end. High level science, but usable in other forums as well (i.e. WW 2050).	

Study Team Actions	Participation
Strict rulebook of USGS should protect accuracy of the study. The ability to adapt the study as it progress to meet needs of local users. Need flexibility to adapt with data/progress.	Sit in and see how study addresses these points.
Trust should go along with the process/analysis.	Hoping for high level of involvement being a resident and employed in the Walla Walla basin.
Report should be very large with a lot of data, but we hope the public meetings/fact sheets will hopefully help people understand the technical details and progress of the study. Hopefully feedback will continue throughout the process.	

Facilitator/Groundwater Study Team Member Observations
How will we keep people appraised of the project progress and milestones? We need to be open and transparent about how things are going to build public trust. Are we getting data out there quickly for public access? Public meetings and updates should be concise and respective of peoples' time. Did we create something they can use?
There is curiosity regarding the timeline of the study.
How do people feel about COVID for collecting data? Send out letters/ phone call. Would probably be well received. No complaints about people coming out. Probably wouldn't have concerns with USGS. Teresa willing to help. WWID could also put info in billings in January.
Data availability (easy to download in usable formats), continuous incremental communication of study progress and opportunities during the study for public communication/exchange, recognize variable potential uses of the data and variable science backgrounds of all users.
Do we get more than one chance to get it right?
Provide understandable results and maintain connection/communication throughout the process.
Communication throughout the process and making it understandable to all interested parties.

Walla Walla River Groundwater Study Public Participation Plan Overview

Study Basics

- The ultimate objective of the study is to develop an updated, comprehensive understanding of the Walla Walla River Basin groundwater system based on accepted scientific methodology so that there is a solid technical foundation for future planning, policy, and management.
- The study is a collaboration between the Oregon Water Resources Department (Department), the US Geological Survey (USGS), and the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology), in close coordination with the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR).
- No decisions about policy or management will be made in this process. Information gathered through the groundwater study may be used in policy and management decisions made by Oregon and Washington, but those will have separate public participation processes.
- The current study timeline is 2021-2024 and assumes a 4-year study beginning in early 2021.

Overview of Groundwater Study Steps



Public Participation Objectives

- Information about the study process and findings is shared at key milestones and there are opportunities for public input and feedback.
- Basin stakeholders have opportunities to share data, information, knowledge, and insights that inform and improve the groundwater study.
- The public and basin stakeholders:
  - Have an improved understanding of the groundwater system and groundwater budget.
  - Trust the groundwater study process and finding/resulting information is useful for water planning and management.
- The groundwater study process facilitates dialogue and cooperation.

Communication Objectives

- The public and basin stakeholders know what is happening, when, and why, especially as it relates to data collection efforts.
- The public and basin stakeholders are made aware of opportunities to learn more about the study and provide input and feedback at key milestones in the groundwater study.
- Materials summarizing the study process and findings are developed and distributed to help facilitate a shared understanding of the groundwater study investigation and results.
- Communication activities are well coordinated between cooperating agencies to avoid duplication or confusion when sharing information and opportunities with the public.
- Public input and feedback shared with the groundwater study team is made available as well as whether and how that input and feedback are considered and/or incorporated into the study.

Expected Public Participation Activities

This plan may need to be modified if there are any changes associated with the scope, schedule, costs, and resources of the study. Modifications will be communicated via the email distribution list. In general there will be two public events held each year, a virtual event in the spring, and an in-person event in the fall. In addition a Technical Advisory Group will be convened two times a year to facilitate a deeper exchange on topics of interest.

Description	Public Participation Activities
Study Scope and Work Plan	• Public scoping meeting and survey • Public feedback on Work Plan and Public Participation Plan
Current Understanding	• Public Workshop: Groundwater data "show and tell" for basin stakeholders to share data and information with groundwater study scientists • Public Workshop: What is your current conceptual understanding? • Basin Tour: Exploring areas of interest • Virtual Presentation: High-level summary of available studies, current understanding of the basin, key questions and data gaps • Technical Advisory Group meeting(s)
Data Collection	• Volunteer Opportunity: Volunteer your well or provide access to your property for data collection • Quarterly updates via email • Public Open House: What data did we collect? Why? How? What is it telling us? • Technical Advisory Group meeting(s)
Analysis/ Interpretation	• Three Virtual Presentations on the Hydrogeologic Framework, Water Budget, and Integrated Groundwater-Flow System o Current understanding, data to be collected, methods for analysis o Updates on data collection and analysis, key insights and questions o Preliminary findings/updated understanding, including draft graphs and figures • Potential Field Tour: Highlight and explore specific areas of interest • Technical Advisory Group meeting(s)
Updated Understanding	• Public Open House: What is the updated understanding of the groundwater system and budget? • Technical Advisory Group meeting(s)
Report Writing	• Updates on report writing
Peer Review and Publication	• Updates on peer review and publication process • Public Open House: Announcement of final study report(s), walk through of published study, celebration of project completion

Expected Communication Activities

- Quarterly updates summarizing past, current, and upcoming activities will be sent via email to the distribution list (see right).
- Press releases announcing activities will be drafted and distributed to local media outlets in Washington and Oregon.
- Concise, easy to understand, summary materials describing the study process and findings will be developed and distributed as time and resources allow.
- The cooperating agencies are committed to an open and transparent process.
- Summary materials will be maintained and shared to ensure transparency and accountability to public input and feedback received over the course of the groundwater study.

Stay Connected and Informed

- Sign up for the email distribution list for all individuals who express interested in water management activities in the Walla Walla River Basin: Link.
- Visit the Department web-page dedicated to the groundwater study process: Link.
- Visit the USGS web-page dedicated to the groundwater study products at: Link.
- Visit the Washington Department of Ecology webpage: Link.