NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR

The original and first copy of this report are to be

WATER WELL REPORT

3899) State Well No. 5N/35-1AB

filed with the STATE ENGINEER, SALEM, OF OIL 7

Method of sealing strata off

Gravel placed from

Was well gravel packed?

Yes XXNo

TATE OF OREGON (Please type or print)

of well completion. SEP 18 1968
(1) OWNER: SALEM OREGON
T Theres 2 Colonia 24 S Con Co
OZOGO S. E. Stanta St Magazita Company
97060
(2) TYPE OF WORK (check):
New Well KK Deepening Reconditioning Abandon
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check):
Rotary Driven Demostic Conductated Confidence
Cable
CASING INSTALLED: Threaded Welded KK
12 " Diam. from 0 ft. to 356 ft. Gage 375
10 " Diam. from 333 ft. to 562 ft. Gage 250
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage
PERFORATIONS: Perforated? Tres No.
Type of perforator used cutting torch
Size of perforations 3/8 in. by 4 in.
4 per ft. perforations from 442 ft. to 542
perforations from ft. to
perforations from ft. to
perforations from ft. to
perforations fromft. tof
Type
Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.
(8) WATER LEVEL: Completed well.
Static level 302 1 211 ft. below land surface Date 6/27/68
At Jan pressure lbs. per square inch Date
(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level
Was a pump test made? XXes \(\subseteq No \) If yes, by whom? driller
Yield: 925 gal./min. with 25 ft. drawdown after 72 hr
805 " 22 " 48 "
" 708 " 16 " 24 "
Pollon toot
Li Courtoria dator
Artesian flow g.p.m. Date
Temperature of water 66 Was a chemical analysis made? 🗆 Yes 🖎
(10) CONSTRUCTION:
Well seal—Material used Bentonite
Donth of soil 0 to 60
Depth of seat
Were any loose strata cemented off? XX Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\text{Depth}\) \(\ldots\) \(\delta\)
Was a drive shoe used? ZXYes \(\bar{\text{No}}\) No
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes My No

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
r	•
	ۥ w.m.
ner	
. line	of
= :	
	······································
well 6	00 ft.
nd aquifer Report e	f materials; penetrated, ach change illing rates.
m To	SWL
	
	7
7 203	3
3 288	3
18 326	5
6 347	7
7 439	5
2 000	'
	
June	1968
uly	1968
e true to	on. Mate- my best
38	
and this	report is
Type or priv	 nt)
\supset	
ept.	C O
	ad aquifer Report ess. Note dr. Report ess. Note dr. Report ess. Note dr. Report ess. Note dr. Report ess. Report

Size of gravel:

5/35- 1 Umatilla

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GROUND WATER BRANCH
Box 3418 - 623 Post Office Building
Portland 8, Oregon

March 30, 1951

Mr. Robert L. Brunton, City Manager City Hall Milton-Ereewater, Oregon

Dear Mr. Brunton:

APR 9:1951_ STATE ENGINEER SALEM. OREGON

In regard to your March 23 inquiry for records bearing on your plan to secure more water from the wells of the former city of Freewater by increasing the pump capacity:

Of the two city wells, excluding the recent nonproductive "fault-zone" well, this office has some records on the younger "Main Street" well, but little data on the older "City Hall" well. Of the older well I could not even learn the depth, casing, diameter, or driller, though I have it on my list for additional canvassing. I believe Durand drilled it years ago and I have meant to ask him about it. It was equipped with a pump of 250-gallons-per-minute capacity when I saw it, but I could not determine its pumping water level and static water level from the pumpman or by entry into the well myself.

On the newer well we have a log taken from the driller's record. A copy is enclosed in case you do not have one. I did not get the static water level but from known static water levels of wells in that vicinity, I assumed it was somewhere near 40 feet during the winter months. A pumping test run at the times the well was finished in 1936 showed 750 gallon per minute with water level drawn down to 47 feet below the surface and 1,025 gallons per minute with water level drawn down to 68 feet below the surface. A pump of 850-gallons-per-minute capacity was on the well when I visited it 2 years ago. Though that observation may partially answer your question, it would probably be necessary to run pump tests togetain the correctly designed pump for the greater yield you contemplate.

There is some interference, I understand, between your Main Street "younger" well and the Utah Canning Co. well 500% feet to the northeast. The logs of the wells are similar. The Utah Canning Co. well shows that the basalt contained water whose static level was only 15 feet below the surface until the zone at 468-528 feet depth was penetrated; at that time the static water level dropped to 41 feet from the surface. If such is the case in your well, some water may run from the higher strata down the well and out the lower zone. It is suggested that the amount of such change, if any in your well, should be determined as a

matter of planning for a new pump. This office has a well-current mater with which we can measure interchange; if you will notify us when the well is to be clear of pumping equipment. Such an interchange measurement is of value as data applying to well construction over the entire Columbia River basalt area of Oregon and Washington.

I hope this information will answer some of your questions.

Sincerely yours,

RCN:rls Enclosure - 1 log

CC: Mr. Chas. E. Stricklin

R. C. Newcomb District Geologist